

On Totally Geodesic Affine Immersion in Locally Product Riemannian Manifolds

J. P. Srivastava and Sudershan Khajuria

Department of Mathematics, University of Jammu, Jammu, India.

(Received December 14, 1997)

Abstract : In this paper the totally geodesic affine immersions $f : (M, \nabla) \rightarrow (\bar{M}, \bar{\nabla})$ are studied in the case when $(\bar{M}, \bar{\nabla})$ is an affine locally product manifold of recurrent curvature. It is proved that (M, ∇) is flat or of recurrent curvature.

1. Preliminaries

Let (M, ∇) and $(\bar{M}, \bar{\nabla})$ be connected differentiable manifolds with torsion free affine connection ∇ and $\bar{\nabla}$ with a Riemannian metric g and \bar{g} respectively. Then Gauss and Wiengarten formulae given by

$$(1.1) \quad (a) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y), \quad (b) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X V = -A_V X + D_X V$$

for all $x, y \in TM$ and $V \in T^1M$, where $\bar{\nabla}$, ∇ and D are respectively the Riemannian, induced Riemannian and induced connections in \bar{M} , M and the normal bundle of M respectively. B is the second fundamental form related to A by $g(B(X, Y), U) = g(A_U X, Y)$.

The submanifold M of \bar{M} is known to be

- (i) totally geodesic in \bar{M} if $B = 0$.
- (ii) minimal if $\mu = \text{Trace}(B) / \text{Dim}(M) = 0$, and
- (iii) totally umbilical if $B(X, Y) = g(X, Y)\mu$, $X, Y \in TM$.

Fundamental Gauss and Codazzi equations for the affine immersion can be written as follows :

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + A_{B(X, Z)}Y - A_{B(Y, Z)}X \\ &\quad + (\nabla_X B)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y B)(X, Z), \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.3) \quad \bar{R}(X, Y)V = \left(\nabla_Y A \right)_V X - \left(\nabla_X A \right)_V Y + B(A_V X, Y) - B(X, A_V Y) + R^1(X, Y)V$$

for vector fields X, Y and Z tangent to M . Taking the normal component of (1.1a) we obtain the equation of Codazzi as

$$(1.4) \quad (\bar{R}(X, Y)Z)^1 = (\bar{\nabla}_X B)(Y, Z) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y B)(X, Z).$$

For a submanifold M of a locally product Riemannian manifold \bar{M} we put

$$FX = tX + fX \quad \text{and} \quad FV = hV + sV$$

where tX is the tangential part of FX and fX the normal part of FX . Then t is an endomorphism of the tangent bundle TM and f is a normal bundle value 1-form on the tangent bundle. In this case

$$(1.5) \quad t^2 X = X - hfX, \quad ftX + sfX = 0,$$

$$(1.6) \quad s^2 V = V - fhV, \quad thV + hsV = 0.$$

The covariant derivatives $\nabla_X B$ and $\nabla_X A$ are defined by

$$(1.7) \quad \nabla_X B(Y, Z) = D_X(B(Y, Z)) - B(\nabla_X Y, Z) - B(Y, \nabla_X Z)$$

$$(1.8) \quad \left(\nabla_X A \right)_V Y = \nabla_X A_V Y - A_V \nabla_X Y - A_{D_X V} Y.$$

2. Riemannian Product Immersion

Let \bar{M}^m and \bar{M}^n be Riemannian manifolds of dimension m and n respectively. We consider the product manifold $\bar{M} = \bar{M}^m \times \bar{M}^n$ of dimension $m + n$, then \bar{M} admits the product structure tensor field F such that $F^2 = I$, where I the identity tensor and $g(FX, Y) = g(X, FY)$ for any vector field X and Y on \bar{M} .

Let M be a k -dimensional submanifold of \bar{M} . If $FT_x(M) \subset T_x(M)$ for each point x of M , then M is said to be an F -invariant in \bar{M} . Let \bar{M} be a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold, i.e. $\bar{\nabla}_X F = 0$. If M is an F -invariant submanifold of a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold \bar{M} , then $(\nabla_X F)V = 0$ and $sB(X, Y) = B(X, fY)$. Then we have

Theorem 2.1 : Let M be an F -invariant submanifold of a Riemannian product manifold $\bar{M} = \bar{M}^m \times \bar{M}^n$. Then M is a Riemannian product manifold $M^p \times M^q$ where M^p is a submanifold of \bar{M}^m and M^q is a submanifold of \bar{M}^n , M^p and M^q being both totally geodesic in \bar{M} .

We denote by the same F the almost product structure on M , we now define the curvature tensor R^1 of the normal bundle of M by

$$(2.1) \quad R^1(X, Y) = D_X D_Y - D_Y D_X - D_{[X, Y]}.$$

If $R^1 = 0$, the normal connexion of M is said to be flat. It is well known that $R^1 = 0$ if and only if we can choose an orthonormal frame $\{V_a\}$ of the normal bundle TM^1 such that $D_{V_a} = 0$ for all a .

Lemma 2.2 : Let M be an F invariant submanifold of a locally Riemannian product manifold $\bar{M} = \bar{M}^m \times \bar{M}^n$. If the normal connection of M is flat, then the normal connection of M^p in \bar{M}^m and that of M^q in \bar{M}^n are both flat, where $M = M^p \times M^q$.

Proof : Let V be a vector field in TM^{p1} in \bar{M}^m . We can suppose that

$$T_X(\bar{M}^m) = \{X \in T_X(\bar{M}) : FX = X\}.$$

For any vector field X tangent to M , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F D_X V &= F \bar{\nabla}_X V + F A_V X = \bar{\nabla}_X FV + F A_V X \\ &= -A_{FV} X + D_X FV + F A_V X = D_X V \end{aligned}$$

because $FV = V$. Therefore, if $V \in TM^{p1}$, then $D_X V = TM^{p1}$ which means that TM^{p1} is parallel. From this we see that the normal connection of M^p in \bar{M}^m is flat. Similarly, we can see that the normal connection of M^q in \bar{M}^n is also flat. We assume that \bar{M}^m and \bar{M}^n are complex space forms with constant sectional curvature c_1 and c_2 and denote them by $\bar{M}^m(c_1)$ and $\bar{M}^n(c_2)$ respectively. Let M be an F -invariant submanifold of $\bar{M} = \bar{M}^m(c_1) \times \bar{M}^n(c_2)$. We denote by R the Riemannian curvature tensor of M . Then the Gauss equation of M is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad R(X, Y)Z &= \frac{1}{16} (c_1 + c_2) [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(tY, Z)tX \\ &\quad - g(tX, Z)tY + 2g(X, tY)tZ + g(FY, Z)FX \\ &\quad - g(FX, Z)FY + g(FtY, Z)FtX - g(FtX, Z)FtY] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2g(FX, tY) FtZ] + \frac{1}{16} (c_1 - c_2) [g(FY, Z) X \\
& - g(FX, Z) Y + g(Y, Z) FX - g(X, Z) FY + g(FtX, Z) tX \\
& - g(FtY, Z) tY + g(tY, Z) FtX - g(tX, Z) FtY + 2g(FX, tY) tZ \\
& + 2g(X, tY) tZ] + A_B(Y, Z)^{X-A} B(X, Z)^Y.
\end{aligned}$$

and the Codazzi equation by

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.3) \quad & (\nabla_X B)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y B)(X, Z) \\
& = \frac{1}{16} (c_1 + c_2) [g(tY, Z) fX - g(tX, Z) fY + 2g(X, tY) fZ \\
& + g(FtY, Z) FfX - g(FtX, Z) FfY + 2g(FX, tY) FfZ] \\
& + \frac{1}{16} (c_1 - c_2) [g(FtY, Z) fX - g(FtX, Z) fY + g(tY, Z) FfX \\
& - g(tX, Z) FfY + 2g(FX, tY) fZ + 2g(X, tY) fFZ].
\end{aligned}$$

3. Totally Geodesic Immersion

Since for a totally geodesic immersion $\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y$, the Gauss equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.1) \quad R(X, Y)Z & = \frac{1}{16} (c_1 + c_2) [g(Y, Z) X - g(X, Z) Y \\
& + g(tY, Z) tX - g(tX, Z) tY + 2g(X, tY) tZ + g(FY, Z) FX \\
& - g(FX, Z) FY + g(FtY, Z) FtX - g(FtX, Z) FtY \\
& + 2g(FX, tY) FtZ] + \frac{1}{16} (c_1 - c_2) [g(FY, Z) X - g(FX, Z) Y \\
& + g(Y, Z) FX - g(X, Z) FY + g(FtX, Z) tX - g(FtY, Z) tY \\
& + g(tY, Z) FtX - g(tX, Z) FtY + 2g(FX, tY) tZ \\
& + 2g(X, tY) tFZ].
\end{aligned}$$

In this case the Ricci Tensor S of M is given by

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} S(X, Y) = & \frac{1}{16} (c_1 + c_2) [(k-2)g(X, Y) + g(FX, Y) \operatorname{Tr} F \\ & + 6g(tX, tY)] + \frac{1}{16} (c_1 - c_2) [(k-2)g(FX, Y) \\ & + g(X, Y) \operatorname{Tr} F + 6g(FtX, tY)]. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that f is an affine immersion. We define covariant derivative $\nabla^2 A$ by

$$(3.3) \quad \left(\nabla_{XY}^2 A \right)_V W = \left(\nabla_X \left(\nabla_Y A \right) \right)_V W - \left(\nabla_{\nabla_X Y} A \right)_V W$$

for arbitrary vector fields X, Y, Z, W tangent to M and V a normal vector field. After a simple calculation we have

$$(3.4) \quad \left(\nabla_{XY}^2 A \right)_V W - \left(\nabla_{YX}^2 A \right)_V W = (R(X, Y)A)_V W$$

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\nabla_{XY}^2 A \right)_V W = & \nabla_X \nabla_Y A_V W - \nabla_W A_V \nabla_Y W \\ & - \nabla_X A_{D_Y V} W - \nabla_Y A_V \nabla_X W + A_V \nabla_Y \nabla_X W \\ & + A_{D_Y V} \nabla_X W - \nabla_Y A_{D_X V} W + A_{D_X V} \nabla_Y W + A_V \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} W \\ & + A_{D_Y D_X V} W - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} A_V W + A_{D_{\nabla_X Y} V} W. \end{aligned}$$

In consequence of (3.5) we have

$$(3.6) \quad (R(X, Y)A)_V W = R(X, Y)A_V W - A_V R(X, Y)W - A_{R^1(X, Y)V} W$$

Theorem 3.1 : *We have*

$$(3.7) \quad \bar{R}(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z.$$

$$(3.8) \quad \bar{R}(X, Y)V = -\left(\nabla_X A \right)_V Y + \left(\nabla_Y A \right)_V X + R^1(X, Y)V.$$

Theorem 3.2 : *For a totally geodesic immersion*

$$(3.9) \quad \left(\bar{\nabla}_W \bar{R} \right)(X, Y)Z = \left(\nabla_W R \right)(X, Y)Z$$

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_W \bar{R})(X, Y)V &= (R(X, Y)A)_V W + A_V R(X, Y)W \\ &\quad - \left(\nabla_{WX}^2 A \right)_V Y + \left(\nabla_{WY}^2 A \right)_V X + \left(\nabla_W R^1 \right)(X, Y)V. \end{aligned}$$

Proof : The relation is a direct consequence of formulae and

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_W \bar{R})(X, Y)Z &= \bar{\nabla}_W \bar{R}(X, Y)Z - \bar{R}(\bar{\nabla}_W X, Y)Z \\ &\quad - \bar{R}(X, \bar{\nabla}_W Y)W - \bar{R}(X, Y)\bar{\nabla}_W Z \\ &= \nabla_W R(X, Y)Z - R(\nabla_W X, Y)Z - R(X, \nabla_W Y)W \\ &\quad - R(X, Y)\nabla_W Z = (\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y$, (3.4) and (1.1b), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_W \bar{R}(X, Y)V &= \bar{\nabla}_W \left((\nabla_Y A)_V X - (\nabla_X A)_V Y + R^1(X, Y)V \right) \\ &= \nabla_W (\nabla_Y A)_V X - \nabla_W (\nabla_X A)_V Y + \bar{\nabla}_W (R^1(X, Y)V) \\ &= \nabla_W (\nabla_Y A)_V X - \nabla_W (\nabla_X A)_V Y - A_{R^1(X, Y)V} W \\ &\quad + D_W R^1(X, Y)V \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R(\bar{\nabla}_W X, Y)V &= \bar{R}(\nabla_W X, Y)V \\ &= (\nabla_Y A)_V \nabla_W X - (\nabla_{\nabla_W X} A)_V Y + R^1(\nabla_W X, Y)V \\ \bar{R}(X, \bar{\nabla}_W Y)V &= \bar{R}(X, \nabla_W Y)V \\ &= (\nabla_{\nabla_W Y} A)_V X - (\nabla_X A)_V \nabla_W Y + R^1(X, \nabla_W Y)V \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}(X, Y) \bar{\nabla}_W V &= \bar{R}(X, Y) \nabla_W V \\
&= \bar{R}(X, Y) \left(-A_V W + D_W V \right) \quad \text{by (1.2)} \\
&= -\bar{R}(X, Y) A_V W + \bar{R}(X, Y) D_W V \\
&= -\bar{R}(X, Y) A_V W + \left(\nabla_Y A \right) D_W V^X \\
&\quad - \left(\nabla_X A \right) D_W V^Y + R^1(X, Y) D_W V
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the above and (3.4) to the formulae

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\bar{\nabla}_W \bar{R} \right) (X, Y) V &= \bar{\nabla}_W \bar{R}(X, Y) V - \bar{R} \left(\bar{\nabla}_W X, Y \right) V - \bar{R} \left(X, \bar{\nabla}_W Y \right) V \\
&\quad - \bar{R}(X, Y) \bar{\nabla}_W V = \nabla_W \left(\nabla_Y A \right)_V X - \nabla_W \left(\nabla_X A \right)_V Y \\
&\quad - A_{R^1} \left(\nabla_W X, Y \right) + D_W R^1(X, Y) V - \left(\nabla_Y A \right)_V D_W X \\
&\quad + \left(\nabla_{\nabla_W X} A \right)_V Y - R^1 \left(\nabla_W X, Y \right) V - \left(\nabla_{\nabla_W Y} A \right)_V X \\
&\quad + \left(\nabla_X A \right)_V \nabla_W Y - R^1 \left(X, \nabla_W A \right) V + R(X, Y) A_V W \\
&\quad - \left(\nabla_Y A \right) D_X V^X + \left(\nabla_X A \right) D_X V^Y - R^1(X, Y) D_X V \\
&= R(X, Y) A_V W - \left(\nabla_{WX}^2 A \right)_V Y + \left(\nabla_{WY}^2 A \right)_V X \\
&\quad - A_{R^1}(X, Y) V W + \left(D_W R^1 \right) (X, Y) V \\
&= (R(X, Y) A)_V W + A_V R(X, Y) W - \left(\nabla_{WX}^2 A \right)_V Y \\
&\quad + \left(\nabla_{WY}^2 A \right)_V X + \left(\nabla_W R^1 \right) (X, Y) V \quad \text{by (3.6).}
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.3 : Assume that $f : (M, \nabla) \rightarrow (\bar{M}, \bar{\nabla})$ is a totally geodesic affine immersion and $(\bar{M}, \bar{\nabla})$ is an affine locally decomposable Riemannian manifold of recurrent curvature say $\bar{\nabla} \bar{R} = \bar{\phi} \times \bar{R}$ then (M, ∇) is (a) flat or (b) of recurrent curvature, precisely $\nabla R = \phi \times R$, ϕ being the pull back of the recurrence $\bar{\phi}$ onto M .

Proof is obvious.

Let f be an affine immersion. For a 1-form ρ on the normal bundle $N(M)$ and its first and second covariant derivatives with respect to the connection D are defined by

$$(D_X \rho)(V) = X(\rho(V)) - \rho(D_X V),$$

$$(D_{XY}^2 \rho) = D_X(D_Y \rho) - D_{\nabla_X Y} \rho$$

respectively. Assuming $R^1(X, Y) = D^2 XY \rho - D^2 YX \rho$, we obviously have :

Theorem 3.4 : *If the second derivative of the normal connection is symmetric, then the curvature tensor of the normal connection of M vanish identically.*

If f is umbilical i.e., $A(V) = \rho(V)I$ for certain 1-form ρ , then

$$(\nabla_X A)_V Y = (D_X \rho)(V) Y, \quad (\nabla_{XY}^2 A)_V Z = (D_{XY}^2 \rho)(V) Z$$

and

$$(R(X, Y)A)_V Z = (R^1(X, Y) \rho)(V) Z.$$

Proposition 3.1 : *Let $f : (M, \nabla) \rightarrow (\bar{M}, \bar{\nabla})$ be a totally geodesic affine immersion, where $(\bar{M}, \bar{\nabla})$ is an affine locally product Riemannian manifold of recurrent curvature, say $\bar{\nabla} \bar{R} = \bar{\Phi} \otimes \bar{R}$, then we have*

$$(3.11) \quad A_V R(X, Y)W = - (R(X, Y)A)_V W - (\nabla_{WY}^2 A)_V X \\ + (\nabla_{WX}^2 A)_V Y + \phi(W) \left((\nabla_Y A)_V X - (\nabla_X A)_V Y \right)$$

$$(3.12) \quad (D_W R^1)(X, Y)V = \phi(W) R^1(X, Y)V$$

In particular when f is additionally umbilical then

$$(3.13) \quad \rho(V) R(X, Y)W = - (R^1(X, Y) \rho)(V)(W) - ((D_{WY}^2 \rho)(V)) \\ - \phi(W) (D_Y \rho)(V) X + ((D_{WX}^2 \rho)(V)) - \phi(W) (D_X \rho)(V) Y$$

Proof : (3.11) and (3.12) are consequences of the formulae $\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y$, (3.6) and the assumption $\bar{\nabla} \bar{R} = \bar{\Phi} \otimes \bar{R}$. In this case $(R(X, Y)A)_V Z = (R^1(X, Y)\rho)(V)Z$ becomes (3.13).

We shall study the existence of a certain class of f invariant submanifold in a complex space form of non-null holomorphic sectional curvature.

A proper F invariant submanifold M of a locally product Riemannian manifold M is a F invariant with both distributions ∇ and ∇^\perp of non-null dimensions. Also M is totally umbilical if there exists a normal vector field L such that the second fundamental form B satisfies $B(X, Y) = g(X, Y)L$, for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M .

Now we propose :

Theorem 3.5 : *There exists no totally umbilical proper F invariant submanifolds of an elliptic or hyperbolic complex space.*

Proof : Suppose there exists a totally umbilical proper F -invariant submanifold M of a complex space form $M(c_1 \neq 0, c_2 \neq 0)$. Let X and Y be two non-null vector field, from ∇ and D respectively then, for the normal part of $\bar{R}(X, FX)Y$, we get $[\bar{R}(X, FX)Y]^\perp \neq 0$. On the other hand, since M is totally umbilical, the Codazzi equations give $[\bar{R}(X, FX)Y]^\perp = g(FX, Y)D_X L - g(X, Y)D_{FX} L = 0$. Thus, we get a contradiction. This completes the proof.

References

1. K. Nomizu and U. Pinkal : On the Geometry of Affine Immersions, *Math. Z.*, **195** (1987) 165-178.
2. K. Nomizu and U. Pinkal : Cubic form theorem for Affine Immersions, *Results in Math.*, **13** (1988) 338-362.
3. Zbigniew Olszak : On totally Geodesic Affine Immersions, *Journal of Geometry*, **47** (1993) 115-124.
4. K. Yano and M. Kon : Generic submanifolds of Kaehlerian product manifolds, *J. T. S. of India*, **1** (1983) 1-9.