# On Projective *h*-Matsumoto Change

M. K. Gupta, Suman Sharma\* and Abha Sahu

Department of Mathematics, Guru Ghasidas Viswavidyalaya, Bilaspur (C.G.), India \*Corresponding Author's E-mail: <a href="mailto:sharma.suman209@gmail.com">sharma.suman209@gmail.com</a>

(Received November 05, 2022, Accepted November 23, 2022)

**Abstract:** Recently, we have studied the *h*-Matsumoto change and obtained Cartan connection coefficient for the transformed space<sup>1</sup>. In this paper, we have derived the necessary and sufficient condition for the *h*-Matsumoto change to be projective and also obtained that there is no non-trivial projective *h*-Matsumoto change such that the *h*-vector  $b_i$  is gradient.

**Keywords:** Finsler space, Projective change, Matsumoto change, *h*-vector.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: **53B40**.

## **1. Introduction**

Projective changes of Finsler metric on a manifold in Finsler geometry is an interesting topic to study. Two Finsler metrics of the space  $F^n$  and  $\overline{F}^n$  are said to be projectively related if any geodesic of  $F^n$  is also geodesic of  $\overline{F}^n$ and vice versa. The projective relation is said to be trivial if the corresponding Spray coefficients are equal. In 1961, A. Rapscák has given a crucial result with the projective change<sup>2</sup>. He proved necessary and sufficient condition for a transformation to be projective transformation. The projective change between a Finsler space with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric<sup>3</sup> and the associated Riemannian metric have been studied by H. Park and Y. Lee<sup>4</sup>, while S. Bácsó<sup>5</sup> has considered the projective change between Finsler spaces with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric. B. Tiwari *et al.*<sup>6</sup> discussed projective changes between two special Finsler space of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric. M. K. Gupta and P. N. Pandey<sup>7</sup> have derived the relation between projective change and Kropina change with an *h*-vector and also obtained the condition for which the Randers change with an *h*-vector to be projective<sup>8</sup>. In the previous paper<sup>1</sup>, we have discussed the h-Matsumoto change given by

(1.1) 
$$\overline{L}(x,y) = \frac{L^2(x,y)}{L(x,y) - b_i(x,y)y^i},$$

where  $b_i(x, y)$  is an *h*-vector<sup>9</sup> on  $(M^n, L)$ . The aim of present paper is to derive the necessary and sufficient condition for the *h*-Matsumoto change (1.1) to be projective. The terminologies and notations are referred to Matsumoto<sup>10</sup>.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $F^n = (M^n, L)$  and  $\overline{F}^n = (M^n, \overline{L})$  be two Finsler spaces on the same underlying manifold  $M^n$ , where  $\overline{L}$  is defined as (1.1). The metric tensor  $g_{ij}$ for the transformed space  $\overline{F}^n$  is obtained as follows<sup>1</sup>

(2.1) 
$$\overline{g}_{ij} = pg_{ij} + p_1 l_i l_j + p_2 (m_i l_j + m_j l_i) + p_3 m_i m_j$$

where  $\tau = \frac{L}{\beta}$ ,  $m_i = b_i - \frac{1}{\tau} l_i$ , and

(2.2) 
$$p = \frac{\tau^2(\tau + \rho \tau - 2)}{(\tau - 1)^3}, \quad p_1 = \frac{\tau^2(1 - \rho \tau)}{(\tau - 1)^3}, \quad p_2 = \frac{\tau^3}{(\tau - 1)^3}, \quad p_3 = \frac{3\tau^4}{(\tau - 1)^4}.$$

The inverse metric tensor  $\overline{g}^{ij}$  of the metric tensor  $g_{ij}$  is calculated as<sup>1</sup>

(2.3) 
$$\overline{g}^{ij} = qg^{ij} + q_1 l^i l^j + q_2 (l^i m^j + l^j m^i) + q_3 m^i m^j,$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad q = \frac{1}{p}, \quad q_1 = \frac{-1}{2} \Big[ \frac{p_1 p_3 - p_2^2}{(p_1 + p) p_3 - p_2^2} + \frac{2p^2 p_2^2 p_3}{(3p + 2p_3 m^2) \{(p_1 + p) p_3 - p_2^2\}^2} \Big],$$
$$q_2 = \frac{-2p_2 p_3}{(3p + 2p_3 m^2) \{(p_1 + p) p_3 - p_2^2\}}, \quad q_3 = \frac{-2p_3}{p(3p + 2p_3 m^2)}.$$

The Spray coefficients  $\overline{G}^{i}$  of the transformed space  $\overline{F}^{n}$  is given as follows<sup>1</sup>

(2.5) 
$$\overline{G}^i = G^i + D^i,$$

where

(2.6) 
$$D^i = \frac{1}{2}\overline{g}^{is}\{(p_2l_s + p_3m_s)E_{00} + 2p_2LF_{s0})\},$$

and

$$E_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} + b_{j|i}), \quad F_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} - b_{j|i})$$

Zero '0' in the subscript stands for contraction with  $y^{j}$ , viz.  $F_{s0} = F_{sj}y^{j}$ .

Therefore, we have:

**Theorem 2.1.**<sup>1</sup> For the Matsumoto change with an h-vector, the Cartan connection coefficients for both spaces  $F^n$  and  $\overline{F}^n$  are the same if and only if the h-vector  $b_i$  is parallel with respect to the Cartan connection of  $F^n$ .

# 3. Projective *h*-Matsumoto change

A change  $L \to \overline{L}$  of a Finsler metric on the same underlying manifold  $M^n$  is called projective if any geodesic in  $(M^n, L)$  remains to be a geodesic in  $(M^n, \overline{L})$  and vice versa. M. Matsumoto<sup>11</sup> proved that the change  $L \to \overline{L}$  is a projective change if and only if there exists a scalar P(x, y) which is positively homogeneous of degree one in  $y^i$  and satisfies

(3.1) 
$$\overline{G}^{i}(x,y) = G^{i}(x,y) + P(x,y)y^{i},$$

where  $G^i$  and  $\overline{G}^i$  are the Spray coefficients of the spaces  $F^n$  and  $\overline{F}^n$  respectively, and P(x, y) is called the Projective factor. Now, we find the condition for the *h*-Matsumoto change to be projective.

The equation (2.6) can be rewritten as

(3.2) 
$$D^{i} = \frac{1}{2} \{ [(q+q_{1})p_{2}+q_{2}p_{3}m^{2}]E_{00}+2q_{2}p_{2}LF_{\beta 0} \} l^{i} + \frac{1}{2} \{ \mu E_{00}+2q_{3}p_{2}LF_{\beta 0} \} m^{i}+qp_{2}LF_{0}^{i},$$

where  $\mu = qp_3 + p_2q_2 + p_3q_3m^2$  and  $F_{\beta 0} = F_{s0}m^s$ .

From equation (2.5) and (3.1), it is clear that the h-Matsumoto change is projective if and only if

$$(3.3) D^i = Py^i.$$

In view of (3.2), the above equation can be written as

(3.4) 
$$Py^{i} = \frac{1}{2} \{ [(q+q_{1})p_{2}+q_{2}p_{3}m^{2}]E_{00}+2q_{2}p_{2}LF_{\beta 0} \} l^{i} + \frac{1}{2} \{ \mu E_{00}+2q_{3}p_{2}LF_{\beta 0} \} m^{i}+qp_{2}LF_{0}^{i}.$$

Contracting the equation (3.4) by  $y_i$  and using  $y_i F_0^i = 0 = y_i m^i$ , we get

(3.5) 
$$P = \frac{1}{2L} \{ [(q+q_1)p_2 + q_2p_3m^2]E_{00} + 2q_2p_2LF_{\beta 0} \}.$$

Putting the value of P in equation (3.4), we have

(3.6) 
$$(\mu E_{00} + 2q_3p_2LF_{\beta 0})m^i + 2qp_2LF_0^i = 0.$$

Contracting the above equation by  $g_{ij}$ , we obtain

(3.7) 
$$(\mu E_{00} + 2q_3p_2LF_{\beta 0})m_j = -2qp_2LF_{j0}.$$

which on transvecting by  $m^j$  and using  $m^j m_j = m^2$ , we immediately get

(3.8) 
$$(\mu E_{00} + 2q_3p_2LF_{\beta 0})m^2 = -2qp_2LF_{\beta 0}.$$

Eliminating  $F_{\beta 0}$  from equations (3.6) and (3.8), we obtain

(3.9) 
$$F_{i0} = \frac{-\mu E_{00}}{2p_2 L(q+q_3 m^2)} m_i,$$

By using  $\mu = qp_3 + p_2q_2 + p_3q_3m^2$ , the equation (3.9) can be rewritten as

$$F_{i0} = -\frac{1}{2p_2L} \left[ p_3 + \frac{q_2p_2}{q + q_3m^2} \right] E_{00}m_i,$$

calculating R.H.S. of the above equation, we get

$$\left[p_3 + \frac{q_2 p_2}{q + q_3 m^2}\right] = \frac{2\tau p_2}{(\tau - 1)},$$

putting this value on the above equation, we obtain

(3.10) 
$$F_{i0} = \frac{-\tau E_{00}}{L(\tau-1)} m_i \,.$$

The above equation is a necessary condition for the *h*-Matsumoto change to be projective. Conversely, let us suppose that the equation (3.10) is satisfied. Contracting (3.10) by  $m^i$ , we get

(3.11) 
$$F_{\beta 0} = \frac{-\tau E_{00}}{L(\tau - 1)} m^2.$$

Substituting the values from equation (3.10) and (3.11) in equation (3.2), we obtain

$$(3.12) D^{i} = \frac{1}{6} \{ 3(q+q_{1})p_{2} + q_{2}p_{3}m^{2} \} E_{00} l^{i} + \frac{1}{2} \{ \mu - (q_{3}m^{2}+q)\frac{2p_{2}\tau}{(\tau-1)} \} m^{i} E_{00}$$

which on solving by using  $\mu = (qp_3 + p_2q_2 + p_3q_3m^2)$  and the values of the scalars from the equation (2.2), (2.4), the second term of R.H.S. becomes zero. Then the equation (3.12) becomes

(3.13) 
$$D^{i} = \frac{1}{6L} \{ 3(q+q_1)p_2 + q_2p_3m^2 \} E_{00} y^{i},$$

which shows that the h-Matsumoto change is projective with the projective factor P, *i.e.* 

$$P = \frac{1}{6L} \{ 3(q+q_1)p_2 + q_2p_3m^2 \} E_{00}.$$

Thus, we have:

**Theorem 3.1.** *The h-Matsumoto change* (1.1) *is projective if and only if the condition* (3.10) *is satisfied.* 

Now differentiating equation (3.10) with respect to  $y^{j}$ , we get

$$(3.14) \quad F_{ij} + \frac{1}{2L}\rho_0 h_{ij} = \frac{-\tau}{L^2(\tau-1)} \left\{ \left(\rho - \frac{1}{\tau}\right) h_{ij} - l_i m_j \right\} E_{00} - \frac{2\tau}{L(\tau-1)} m_i E_{0j} \\ - \frac{\tau}{L^2(\tau-1)^2)} \left\{ m_j \tau - l_j (\tau-1) \right\} m_i E_{00},$$

where  $\rho_0 = \rho_k y^k$ . The equation (3.14) can be rewritten as

$$F_{ij} = -\left\{\frac{1}{2L}\rho_0 + \frac{\tau\left(\rho - \frac{1}{\tau}\right)}{L^2(\tau - 1)}E_{00}\right\}h_{ij} + \frac{\tau}{L^2(\tau - 1)}(l_im_j + l_jm_i)E_{00}$$
$$-\frac{2\tau}{L(\tau - 1)}m_iE_{0j} - \frac{\tau^2}{L^2(\tau - 1)^2}m_im_jE_{00},$$

taking the skew-symmetry part of the above equation, we have

(3.15) 
$$F_{ij} = \frac{\tau}{L(\tau-1)} (m_j E_{0i} - m_i E_{oj}).$$

Contraction by  $y^{j}$ , the equation (3.15) reduces in (3.10). Therefore (3.15) can also be treated as necessary and sufficient condition for the *h*-Matsumoto change to be projective. Now, let the vector  $b_i$  be gradient, *i.e.*  $b_{i|j} = b_{j|i}$ , then

(3.16)  $F_{i0} = 0.$ 

Therefore equation (3.15) and (3.16), gives

$$m_j E_{0i} - m_i E_{oj} = 0$$
, as  $\frac{\tau}{L(\tau - 1)} \neq 0$ .

Contracting the above equation by  $y^j$  and using  $m_j y^j = 0$ , we have

(3.17)  $E_{00} = 0$ , as  $m_i \neq 0$ .

In view of equation (3.16) and (3.17), we have  $F_{ij} = 0 = E_{00}$ , which will imply  $b_{i|j} = 0$ , *i.e.* the *h*-vector  $b_i$  is parallel<sup>1</sup>. Therefore by Theorem 2.1, we get the Cartan connection coefficient for both the spaces  $F^n$  and  $\overline{F}^n$  are identical, which gives  $\overline{G}^i = G^i$ , *i.e.* the projective transformation is trivial. Thus, we have:

**Theorem 3.2**: There is no non-trivial projective h-Matsumoto change such that the h-vector  $b_i$  is gradient.

### Conclusion

Gupta and Pandey<sup>8</sup> have proved that the Randers change with an *h*-vector becomes a projective change if the *h*-vector  $b_i(x, y)$  is a gradient. In the present paper, we have derived the condition for which the *h*-Matsumoto change is projective and also shown that there exists no non-trivial Projective *h*-Matsumoto change such that the *h*-vector  $b_i$  is gradient. We observe that for both Kropina change<sup>7</sup> and exponential change<sup>12</sup> with an *h*-vector we have the same result as in Matsumoto change with an *h*-vector.

Now the question arises is that, *Is there any specific class of change for which we can get the non-trivial Projective transformation with an h-vector to be gradient*?

**Acknowledgment:** With a deep sense of gratitude, one of the authors, Dr. M. K. Gupta, wishes to dedicate this research article to the profound memory of (Late) Prof. P. N. Pandey, the founder General Secretary of IAPS, who, as a pathfinder always motivated to keep moving on and excel in research and academic ventures.

### References

- 1. M. K. Gupta, Abha Sahu, Suman Sharma; Cartan Connection for *h*-Matsumoto change, *ArXiv preprint arXiv:2204.07298*, 2022.
- A. Rapcsák; Eine neue Charakterisierung Finslerscher Rume Skalarer und konstanter Krmmung und projektiv-ebene Rume, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar*, 8-3 (1957) 1-8.
- M. Matsumoto; Theory of Finsler spaces with (α, β)-metric, *Reports on* Mathematical Physics, 31-1 (1992), 43-83.
- 4. H. Park and Y. Lee; Projective changes between a Finsler space with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric and the associated Riemannian space, *Tensor NS*, 60-3 (1999), 327-331.
- 5. S. Bácsó; Projective changes between Finsler spaces with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric, *Tensor*, *N.S.*, 55 (1994), 252-257.
- B. Tiwari, Manoj kumar, Ghanshyam prajapati; On the projective change between two special Finsler spaces of (α, β)-metrics, *An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi. Mat.*(*NS*), 62 (2016), 891-900.
- M. K.Gupta and P. N. Pandey; Finsler space subjected to a Kropina change with an *h*-vector, *Facta universitatis-series: Mathematics and Informatics*, 30-4 (2015), 513-525.
- 8. M. K.Gupta and P. N. Pandey; On hypersurface of a Finsler space with a special metric, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 120 (2008), 165-177.
- 9. H. Izumi; Conformal transformations of Finsler spaces II; *Tensor, N.S.*, 33 (1980) 337-359.

- 10. M. Matsumoto; *Foundations of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces*, Kaiseisha Press, Shigaken, 1986.
- 11. M. Matsumoto; Projective changes of Finsler metrics and projectively flat Finsler spaces, *Tensor, NS*, 34 (1980) 303-315.
- 12. M. K. Gupta and A. K. Gupta; Hypersurface of a Finsler space subjected to an *h*-exponential change of metric, *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics*, 13-10 (2016) 1650129.