

Invariant Submanifolds of a Pseudo Normal Nearly Co-symplectic Manifold

Bhagwat Prasad

Department of Mathematics, M. M. T. D. College, Ballia (U.P.), India

(Received January 27, 1998)

Abstract : Tanno¹, Yano and Ishihara² proved that any invariant submanifold of a Sasakian manifold is Sasakian and minimal. Further Kon³ and Endo⁴ proved that an invariant submanifold of a K -contact manifold is K -contact and minimal. The author⁵ generalized this result to the case of a pseudo normal nearly co-symplectic manifold. Kon⁶ proved that the ϕ -sectional curvature K of an invariant submanifold M of a normal contact metric manifold \bar{M} with $\bar{\phi}$ -sectional curvature \bar{K} is less than or equal to \bar{K} . The equality holds if and only if M is totally geodesic. The purpose of this paper is to prove similar results if \bar{M} is a pseudo-normal nearly co-symplectic manifold in place of a normal contact metric manifold.

1. Preliminaries

Let \bar{M} be a $(2n + 1)$ dimensional contact Riemannian manifold with structure tensors $(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g})$. Then they satisfy

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{\phi} \bar{\xi} = 0, \bar{\eta}(\bar{\xi}) = 0, \bar{\phi}^2 = -I + \bar{\eta} \otimes \bar{\xi},$$

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{g}(\bar{\phi} \bar{X}, \bar{\phi} \bar{Y}) = \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) - \bar{\eta}(\bar{X}) \bar{\eta}(\bar{Y})$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \bar{g}(\bar{\phi} \bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = d \bar{\eta}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}), \bar{\eta}(\bar{X}) = \bar{g}(\bar{\xi}, \bar{X})$$

for any vector fields \bar{X} and \bar{Y} on \bar{M} .⁷ On such a manifold we can always define a 2-form $\bar{\Phi}$ by $\bar{\Phi}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \bar{g}(\bar{\phi} \bar{X}, \bar{Y})$. \bar{M} is called a pseudo normal nearly co-symplectic manifold if⁸

$$(1.4) \quad (\bar{D}_X \bar{\phi}) \bar{\phi} \bar{Y} + (\bar{D}_X \bar{\phi}) \bar{Y} = \bar{\eta}(\bar{Y}) (\bar{D}_X \bar{\xi}) - \bar{\eta}(\bar{X}) (\bar{D}_Y \bar{\xi}).$$

In a pseudo normal nearly co-symplectic manifold, the following formulae are satisfied⁵

$$(1.5) \quad (\bar{D}_X \bar{\eta})(\bar{Y}) + (\bar{D}_{\phi X} \bar{\eta})(\bar{\phi} \bar{Y}) = 0,$$

$$(1.6) \quad (a) \quad \bar{D}_{\bar{\xi}} \bar{\xi} = 0, \quad (b) \quad \bar{D}_{\bar{\xi}} \bar{\eta} = 0$$

where \bar{D} is the covariant differentiation with respect to g .

Let M be a $(2m+1)$ -dimensional submanifold of \bar{M} . Applying $\bar{\phi}$ to a tangent vector field X to M , we obtain the vector field $\bar{\phi}X$ which can be represented as a sum of its tangential and normal parts i.e.,

$$\bar{\phi}X = \phi X + \sum_A V_A(X) N_A,$$

where N_A ($A = 1, 2, \dots, 2(n-m)$) are locally mutually orthogonal unit normal vector fields to M , and ϕ and V_A define respectively a $(1, 1)$ -type tensor and a 1-form on M . Moreover, we can put $\bar{\xi} = \xi + \sum u_A N_A$, where ξ is a vector field on M and u_A is a function on M . Now we define 1-form by $\eta(X) = \bar{\eta}(X)$ for any vector field X on M .

Let us assume that D_X denotes the Riemannian connection on M determined by the induced metric g , the Gauss formula and Weingarten formula can be written as

$$(1.7) \quad \bar{D}_X Y = D_X Y + \sum_A h_A(X, Y) N_A,$$

$$\bar{D}_X N_A = -H_A X + \sum_B L_{BA}(X) N_B,$$

and Gauss equation is given by

$$(1.8) \quad \bar{g}(\bar{R}(X, Y)Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W)$$

$$- \sum_B g(H_B Y, Z) g(H_B X, W) + \sum_B g(H_B X, Z) g(H_B Y, W),$$

for any vector field X, Y, Z and W on M , where \bar{R} is the Riemannian curvature tensor of \bar{M} , R is the Riemannian curvature tensor of M , L_{BA} are the third fundamental forms and h_A and H_A are the second fundamental forms. h_A and H_A satisfy

$$h_A(X, Y) = g(H_A X, Y) = g(X, H_A Y) = h_A(X, Y),$$

M is said to be invariant if $\bar{\phi}X$ is tangent to M and $\bar{\xi}$ is always tangent to M .

If there exists a unit vector \bar{X} in $T_X(\bar{M})$ (where $T_X(\bar{M})$ denotes tangent space at the point x on \bar{M}) orthogonal to $\bar{\xi}$ such that $\{\bar{X}, \bar{\phi}\bar{X}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the plane section, then the sectional curvature

$$\bar{K}(\bar{X}, \bar{\phi}\bar{X}) = g(\bar{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{\phi}\bar{X})\bar{\phi}\bar{X}, \bar{X})$$

is called a $\bar{\phi}$ -sectional curvature. In the same way $K(X, \phi X)$ is defined at a point on M .

2. Invariant Submanifolds of a Pseudo Normal Nearly Co-symplectic Manifold

Lemma 2.1 : *For an invariant submanifold M of a pseudo normal nearly co-symplectic manifold \bar{M} , we have*

$$g(H_A \xi, \xi) = 0.$$

Proof : From (1.7), we have

$$\bar{D}_\xi \xi = D_\xi \xi + \sum_A h_A(\xi, \xi) N_A.$$

By taking the normal parts, we get the result.

Lemma 2.2 : *For an invariant submanifold M of a pseudo normal nearly co-symplectic manifold \bar{M} , we get*

$$(2.1) \quad g(H_A \phi X, \phi X) + g(H_A X, X) = 0,$$

for a vector field X on M orthogonal to ξ .

Proof : By virtue of (1.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}_X(\phi Y) &= D_X(\phi Y) + \sum_A h_A(X, \phi Y) N_A \\ &= (D_X \phi)(Y) + \phi(D_X Y) + \sum_A h_A(X, \phi Y) N_A. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}_X(\phi Y) &= \bar{D}_X(\bar{\phi} Y) = (\bar{D}_X \bar{\phi}) Y + \bar{\phi}(\bar{D}_X Y) \\ &= (\bar{D}_X \bar{\phi}) Y + \bar{\phi} \left(D_X Y + \sum_B h_B(X, Y) N_B \right) \\ &= (\bar{D}_X \bar{\phi}) Y + \bar{\phi}(D_X Y) + \sum_B h_B(X, Y) \bar{\phi} N_B. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(D_X \phi \right) (Y) + \sum_A g \left(H_A X, \phi Y \right) N_A \\ &= \left(\bar{D}_X \bar{\phi} \right) Y + \sum_B g \left(H_B X, Y \right) \phi N_B. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $Y = \phi Y$ and $X = \phi X$ in the above equation, we find

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(D_{\phi X} \phi \right) \phi Y + \sum_A g \left(H_A \phi X, \phi^2 Y \right) N_A \\ &= \left(\bar{D}_{\phi X} \bar{\phi} \right) \phi Y + \sum_B g \left(H_B \phi X, \phi Y \right) \bar{\phi} N_B. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(D_X \phi \right) Y + \left(D_{\phi X} \phi \right) \phi Y + \sum_A \left(g \left(H_A X, \phi Y \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + g \left(H_A \phi X, \phi^2 Y \right) \right) N_A = \left(\bar{D}_X \bar{\phi} \right) Y + \left(\bar{D}_{\phi X} \bar{\phi} \right) \phi Y \\ & \quad + \sum_B \left(g \left(H_B X, Y \right) + g \left(H_B \phi X, \phi Y \right) \right) \bar{\phi} N_B \end{aligned}$$

In consequence of (1.4) and (2.4), we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \eta(Y) \left(D_{\phi X} \xi \right) - \eta(X) \left(D_{\phi Y} \xi \right) + \sum_A \left(g \left(H_A X, \phi Y \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + g \left(H_A \phi X, \phi^2 Y \right) \right) N_A = \bar{\eta}(Y) \left(\bar{D}_{\phi X} \bar{\xi} \right) - \bar{\eta}(X) \left(\bar{D}_{\phi Y} \bar{\xi} \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_B \left(g \left(H_B X, Y \right) + g \left(H_B \phi X, \phi Y \right) \right) \bar{\phi} N_B. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $Y = X$ in (2.5) and using the fact that a unit vector field X orthogonal to $\bar{\xi} = \xi$, we get

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_A \left(g \left(H_A X, \phi X \right) - g \left(H_A \phi X, X \right) \right) N_A \\ &= \sum_B \left(g \left(H_B X, X \right) + g \left(H_B \phi X, \phi X \right) \right) \bar{\phi} N_B. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$g(H_A \phi X, \phi X) = -g(H_A X, X).$$

Hence we have the Lemma 2.2.

Theorem 2.1 : *Let M be an invariant submanifold of a pseudo-normal nearly co-symplectic manifold \bar{M} with $\bar{\phi}$ -sectional curvature \bar{K} . If M has ϕ -sectional curvature K , then $K \leq \bar{K}$. The equality holds if and only if M is totally geodesic.*

Proof : Taking a unit vector field X orthogonal to $\bar{\xi} = \xi$ and using (1.8), we get

$$(2.7) \quad \bar{g}(\bar{R}(X, \phi X) \phi X, X) = g(R(X, \phi X) \phi X, X) \\ - \sum_B g(H_B \phi X, \phi X) g(H_B X, X) + \sum_B g(H_B X, \phi X) g(H_B \phi X, X).$$

By the assumption, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \bar{K} = K - \sum_B g(H_B \phi X, \phi X) g(H_B X, X) \\ + \sum_B g(H_B X, \phi X) g(H_B \phi X, X).$$

By virtue of (2.2), (2.8) yields

$$(2.9) \quad \bar{K} = K + \sum_B \left(g(H_B X, X) \right)^2 + \sum_B \left(g(H_B \phi X, X) \right)^2.$$

Hence, we get $K \leq \bar{K}$. Here if M is totally geodesic, we have $K = \bar{K}$. Conversely, if we have $K = \bar{K}$, we have $g(H_A X, X) = 0$ for a unit vector field X orthogonal of ξ . Therefore we get $g(H_A \phi X, \phi X) = 0$. Moreover, we have $g(H_A \xi, \xi) = 0$. However, any vector X is expressed by the linear combination of a ϕ -basis $(e_1, \dots, e_m, \phi e_1, \dots, \phi e_m, \xi)$ in $T_x(M)$. Therefore, if we use the polarization identity, we obtain $g(H_A X, Y) = 0$, that is, M is totally geodesic.

References

1. S. Tanno : Isometric immersion of a Sasakian manifold in sphere, *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.*, **21** (1969) 448-453.
2. K. Yano and S. Ishihara : Invariant submanifolds of an almost contact manifold, *Kodai, Math. Sem. Rep.*, **21** (1969) 350-364.

3. M. Kon : A Note on Invariant Submanifolds in a K - contact Riemannian manifold, *Tensor N. S.*, **27** (1973) 158-160.
4. H. Endo : Invariant submanifolds in a K -contact Riemannian manifold, *Tensor N. S.*, **28** (1974) 154- 156.
5. Bhagwat Prasad : On a pseudo-normal nearly co-symplectic manifold, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, accepted for publication.
6. M. Kon : Invariant submanifolds of normal contact metric manifolds, *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.*, **25** (1973) 330- 336.
7. S. Sasaki : On differentiable manifolds with certain structure which are closely related to almost contact structure, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **12** (1960) 456-476.
8. R. S. Mishra : *Almost contact metric manifold*, Tensor Society of India, Lucknow, (1991).
9. M. Okumura : *Submanifolds of a Kahlerian manifold and a Sasakian manifold*, Michigan State University, Lecture Note, 1971.
10. H. Endo : Invariant submanifolds in contact metric manifolds, *Tensor N. S.*, **43** (1986) 83-87.