Framed Metric Submanifold of Kähler and Nearly Kähler Manifolds

S.B. Pandey

Department of Mathematics, Kumaun University Campus, Almora, U.P.

(Received October 14, 1996)

Abstract. The conditions for the framed metric structure induced on the submanifold of a Kähler manifold and for the induced framed metric structure on the submanifold of a Kähler manifold to be normal are obtained. Few algebraic relations in the framed metric submanifold of a Kähler manifold to be normal with U_x as a killing vector have also been

derived. Conditions for a manifold V_n to be a framed metric submanifold of a nearly Kähler manifold V_m are obtained. Some results in a framed metric submanifold of a nearly Kähler manifold are obtained and conditions for this manifold to be totally geodesic and having U as a killing vector have been investigated.

1. Introduction

Let us consider an even dimensional differentiable manifold V_m of differentiability class C^{∞} with a vector-valued real linear function F of class C^{∞} , satisfying

$$(1.1) F^2 + I_m = 0$$

The V_m is said to be an almost complex manifold and $\{F\}$ is said to give an almost complex structure to V_m . If the almost complex manifold is endowed with an almost complex structure F and a Hermite metric G such that

$$(1.2) G(FX, FY) = G(X, Y).$$

then $\{F,G\}$ is said to give to V_m an almost Hermite structure and the manifold V_m is called an almost Hermite manifold I

An almost Hermite manifold for which

(1.3)
$$(E_{y}F)Y=0$$
,

is satisfied, is called a Kähler manifold, where E is the Riemannian connexion on V_n . An almost Hermite manifold for which

(1.4)
$$(E_{y}F) Y + (E_{y}F) X = 0.$$

is satisfied, is called a nearly Kähler or an almost Tachibana manifold. Let us consider an n-dimensional differentiable manifold V_n of differentiability class C^n , where n = r + s.

and r is even with f-structure of rank r. Let there exist on V_n , s vector fields U and s l-forms u^x , such that

(1.5) a)
$$f^2 + I_n = u^x \otimes U_x$$

equivalently

(1.5) b)
$$\overline{X} + X = u(X) U_x$$
 c) $fX = \overline{X}$

(1.5) d)
$$\overline{U}_{x} = 0$$
, e) $u (U)_{y} = \delta_{y}^{x}$, f) $u \circ f = 0$.

where X is an arbitrary vector field X in V_n . Then we say that f-structure has complemented frames and V_n is said to be a globally-framed f-manifold or simply a framed manifold. Let a metric tensor g be defined in a framed manifold V_n , satisfying

(1.6) a)
$$g(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = g(X, Y) - \overset{x}{u}(X) \overset{x}{u}(Y)$$
, b) $\overset{x}{u}(X) \stackrel{def}{=} g(X, U)$

Then the system $\{f, U, u, g\}$ is said to give to V_n a framed metric structure and the manifold V_n is called a framed metric manifold.

Let us put

$$(1.7) 'f(X, Y) = g(\overline{X}, Y)$$

Then f(X, Y) = -f(Y, X), i.e. f is skew-symmetric in X and f, and $f(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = f(X, Y)$, i.e. f is hybrid in f and f.

A framed manifold V_n is said to be normal $\int_0^1 [f, f] + du \otimes U = 0$, where [f, f] is the Nijenhuis tensor of the structure f. This equation is equivalent to

$$(D_{fX}f) Y - (D_{fY}f) X + f(D_{Y}f) X - f(D_{X}f) Y + [(D_{X}u)(Y) - (D_{Y}u)(X)] U = 0.$$

Let us now consider the two differentiable manifolds V_m and V_n of class C^{∞} with structures $\{F,G\}$ and $\{f,g\}$ and dimensions m and n respectively. Let b be the inclusion map defined by $b:V_n\to V_m$, such that $p\in V$ $n\Rightarrow bp\in V_m$. Inclusion map b induces a

Jacobian map B, defined by $B:T_n^1\to T_m^1$ where T_n^1 is tangent space to V_n at a point p and T_m^1 is tangent space to V_m at the point bp such that X in V_n at $p\Rightarrow BX$ in V_m at bp. Let g be the induced metric tensor in V_n , then $(G(BX,BY)) \circ b = g(X,Y)$. Let $N_\alpha:\alpha=n+1,\cdots,m$ be a system of C^∞ mutually orthogonal unit normal vector fields in V_n at p. Then

(1.8) a)
$$(G(N_{\alpha}, BX)) \circ b = 0$$
, b) $(G(N_{\alpha}, N_{\beta})) \circ b = \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta \end{cases}$

Let E be the Riemannian connexion in V_m and let D be the induced Riemannian connexion in V_n . The Gauss equations and the Weingarten equations are given by 1

(1.9)
$$E_{BX}BY = BD_XY + H(X, Y)N_{\alpha}$$

(1.10)
$$E_{BX} {\stackrel{N}{\alpha}} = -BHX + L {\stackrel{C}{\alpha}} {\stackrel{C}{\alpha}} {\stackrel{N}{\beta}},$$

where 'H are the symmetric bilinear functions in V_n and

(1.11)
$$g(HX, Y) \stackrel{def}{=} {}'H(X, Y) = g(X, HY).$$

H are known as Weingarten maps and L are third fundamental forms in V_n . If the submanifold be totally geodesic¹, then

$$(1.12) 'H(X, Y) = 0.$$

The transformations of BX and N = 0 by F are represented in the forms of tangential and normal parts as follows:

(1.13) a)
$$FBX = \overline{BX} + \overset{x}{u}(X) \overset{N}{\alpha}$$
 b) $FN = -BU, \overset{x}{\alpha}$

The conditions that V_n be a framed metric submanifold of a Kähler manifold V_m are 3

(1.14) a)
$$(D_X f) Y = \overset{x}{u} (Y) HX - \overset{y}{\alpha} (X, Y) U,$$
 b) $(D_X \overset{x}{u}) Y = -\overset{y}{\alpha} (X, Y) - \overset{x}{b} (X) \overset{x}{u} (Y).$

The condition that on the framed metric submanifold of a Kähler manifold, U is a Killing vector is $\frac{3}{x}$

(1.15)
$$\overline{HX} - L(X) U = 0.$$

If the framed metric structure on the submanifold of a Kähler manifold is totally geodesic³, then

(1.16) a)
$$(D_X f) Y = u(Y) H X$$
, b) $(D_X' f) (Y, Z) = 0$,

c)
$$(D_X^x u)(Y) = -L(X)^x u(Y)$$
, d) $(D_X^x u)(\overline{Y}) = 0$.

2. Submanifold of Kähler Manifold

Theorem 2.1. The condition for the framed metric structure induced on the submanifold of a Kähler manifold to be normal is

(2.1)
$$H\overline{X} = \overline{HX} + L(X) U_{x}$$

PROOF. Let

(2.2)
$$M(X, Y) = [f, f] + d^{X}_{u} \otimes U_{x},$$

which is equivalent to

$$M(X, Y) = (D_{fX}f) Y - (D_{fY}f) X + f(D_{Y}f) X - f(D_{X}f) Y + [(D_{X}u)(Y) - (D_{Y}u)X] U.$$

Substituting the equations (1.14) a) and (1.14) b) in this equation we get

$$M(X, Y) = \overset{x}{u}(Y) \left[\overset{H}{\overrightarrow{X}} - \overset{H}{\overrightarrow{X}} \overset{X}{-} \overset{L}{\alpha}(X) \overset{U}{U} \right] - \overset{x}{u}(X) \left[\overset{H}{\alpha} \overset{Y}{\overline{Y}} - \overset{H}{\overrightarrow{Y}} \overset{Y}{-} \overset{L}{\alpha}(Y) \overset{U}{U} \right].$$

If the equation (2.1) holds, we have M(X, Y) = 0, i.e. $[f, f] - d \overset{x}{u} \otimes U = 0$. Hence the statement.

Theorem 2.2. If the induced framed metric structure on the submanifold of a Kähler manifold is normal, then

•

(2.3) a)
$$(D_{\overline{X}}f)\overline{Y} = -H(X,Y)U_x + U(Y)U(HX)U_x$$

b)
$$(D_{\overline{X}}^{x}u)(Y) = -\frac{H}{\alpha}(X,Y) + u(Y)\frac{H}{\alpha}(X,U) - L_{\beta\alpha}(\overline{X})u(Y),$$

c)
$$(D_{\overline{X}}f)\overline{Y} = (D_{\overline{X}}\overset{x}{u})(Y)\underset{x}{U} + \underset{\beta\alpha}{L}(\overline{X})\overset{x}{u}(Y)\underset{x}{U}.$$

PROOF. Barring X and Y in equation (1.14a) and using equations (1.5d), (1.6), (1.11) and (2.1), we get

$$(D_{\overline{X}}f)\overline{Y} = H(X,\overline{Y})U_{x}.$$

Now, using equations (1.5a), (1.6b) and (1.11) in this equation, we get the equation (2.3a). Barring X in equation (1.14b), we get

$$(D_{\overline{X}}^{x}u)(Y) = -H_{\alpha}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) - L_{\beta\alpha}(\overline{X})^{x}u(Y).$$

Using the equations (1.5a), (1.6a), (1.11) and (2.1) in equation (2.5), we have the equation (2.3b). Comparing the equations (2.3a) and (2.3b), we get the equation (2.3c).

Theorem 2.3. If the framed metric submanifold of a Kähler manifold is normal with U as a killing vector, then

(2.6) a)
$$H\overline{X} = 2\overline{HX}$$
, b) $H\overline{X} = 2L(X)U$.

1

PROOF. From equations (1.15) and (2.1), we get the equations (2.6).

Theorem 2.4. If the totally geodesic framed metric submanifold of a Kähler manifold is normal, then

(2.7) a)
$${}'H(X, Y) U = \overset{x}{u}(Y) \overset{x}{u}(HX) U$$
, b) $(D_{\overline{X}}\overset{x}{u})(Y) U = -\underset{\beta}{L}(\overline{X}) \overset{x}{u}(Y) U$.

PROOF. Barring X and Y in equation (1.16a) and using equation (1.5d), we get $(D_{\overline{Y}}f)\overline{Y}=0$. Using this equation in equations (2.3a) and (2.3c), we get the equations (2.7).

3. Submanifold of Nearly Kähler Manifold

Theorem 3.1. The conditions that V_n be a framed metric submanifold of a nearly Kähler manifold V_m are

(3.1) a)
$$(D_X f) Y + (D_Y f) X = u (Y) H X + u (X) H Y - 2' H (X, Y) U,$$

b)
$$(D_X \overset{x}{u})(Y) + (D_Y \overset{x}{u})(X) = -\overset{\prime}{H}(fX,Y) - \overset{\prime}{H}(X,fY) \overset{L}{L}(Y) \overset{x}{u}(X) - \overset{L}{H}(X) \overset{x}{u}(Y).$$

PROOF. Let V_m be a nearly Kähler manifold, then in consequence of the equation (1.4), we get

(3.2)
$$(E_{RY}F) BY + (E_{RY}F) BX = 0.$$

Substituting from the equations (1.19), (1.10), and (1.13) in equation (3.2) and separating tangential and normal parts, we have the equation (3.1).

Theorem 3.2. Let V_n be a framed metric submanifold of a nearly Kähler manifold V_m , then we have

a)
$$(D_X'f)(Y, Z) + (D_Y'f)(X, Z) = \overset{x}{u}(Y)'H(X, Z) + \overset{x}{u}(X)'H(Y, Z) - 2'H(X, Z)\overset{x}{u}(Z),$$
(3.3)

b)
$$(D_{\overline{X}}\overset{x}{u})(\overline{Y}) + (D_{\overline{Y}}\overset{x}{u})(\overline{X}) = \overset{\prime}{H}(\overline{X}, Y) + \overset{\prime}{H}(X, \overline{Y}) - \overset{x}{u}(Y)\overset{x}{u}(H\overline{X}) - \overset{x}{u}(X)\overset{x}{u}(H\overline{Y}),$$

c)
$$(D_{\overline{X}}f)\overline{Y} + (D_{\overline{Y}}f)\overline{X} = 2'f(H\overline{X}, Y)U_{x}$$

d)
$$(D_{\overline{X}} \overset{x}{u} (\overline{Y}) + (D_{\overline{Y}} \overset{x}{u}) (\overline{X}) = f(H_{\alpha} \overline{X}, \overline{Y}) + f(H_{\alpha} \overline{Y}, \overline{X}),$$

e)
$$f(H\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) + f(H\overline{Y}, \overline{X}) + u(Y) u(H\overline{X}) + u(X) u(H\overline{Y}) = H(\overline{X}, Y) + H(X, \overline{Y}).$$

PROOF. From equation (3.1a), we have

$$g\left(\left(D_{X}f\right)Y,Z\right)+g\left(\left(D_{Y}f\right)X,Z\right)=\overset{x}{u}\left(Y\right)g\left(\overset{H}{u}X,Z\right)+\overset{x}{u}\left(X\right)g\left(\overset{H}{u}Y,Z\right)-2\overset{\prime}{H}\left(X,Y\right)g\left(\overset{U}{u},Z\right).$$

(3.4)

Using equations (1.7), (1.6b) and (1.11) in equation (2.11), we get the equation (3.3a). Barring X and Y in equation (3.1b) and using equations (1.5a), (1.5d), (1.6b) and (1.11) in the resulting equation, we have the equation (3.3b). the remaining part of the theorem

follows similarly.

Theorem 3.3. If the induced framed metric structure on the submanifold of a nearly Kähler manifold is totally geodesic, then

(3.5)
$$(D_X f) Y + (D_Y f) X = u(Y) H X + u(X) H Y,$$

b)
$$(D_X'f)(Y,Z) + (D_Y'f)(X,Z) = 0,$$

c)
$$(D_X^x u(Y) + (D_Y^x u)(X) = -L_{\beta\alpha}(Y)^x u(X) - L_{\beta\alpha}(X)^x u(Y),$$

d)
$$(D_{\overline{X}} \overset{x}{u}) (\overline{Y}) + (D_{\overline{Y}} \overset{x}{u}) (\overline{X}) = 0.$$

PROOF. Using equation (1.12) in equation (3.1a), we get the equation (3.5a). From equation (3.5a), we have

(3.6)
$$g((D_X f) Y, Z) + g((D_Y f) X, Z) = \overset{x}{u}(Y) g(H X, Z) + \overset{x}{u}(X) g(H Y, Z).$$

Using equations (1.7), (1.11) and (1.12) in equation (3.6), we get the equation (3.5b). From equations (1.12) and (3.1b), we have the equation (3.5c). Barring X and Y in equation (3.5c), we get the equation (3.5d).

Theorem 3.4. The condition that on the framed metric submanifold of a nearly Kähler manifold, U is a killing vector is

(3.7) a)
$$\overline{HX} - L(X) U = 0$$
, or b) $\overline{HX} + L(X) U = 0$.

PROOF. Using equations (1.6) and (1.11) in equation (3.1b), we get

$$(3.8) \qquad (D_X \overset{x}{u})(Y) + (D_Y \overset{x}{u})(X) = g(\overline{H}\overset{Y}{Y} - \underset{\beta\alpha}{L}(Y)\overset{U}{U},X) + g(\overline{H}\overset{X}{X} - \underset{\beta\alpha}{L}(X)\overset{U}{U},Y).$$

Now, if $\overline{HX} - L_{\beta\alpha}(X)$ U = 0, then from equation (3.8), we have

$$(D_X^x u)(Y) + (D_Y^x u)(X) = 0$$
, or $(D_X^x u)(X) = 0$.

Hence we have equation (3.7a). Similarly, from equations (1.6), (1.11) and (3.1b), we have the equation (3.7b).

Theorem 3.5. If U is a killing vector on a framed metric submanifold of a nearly

Kähler manifold, then

(3.9)
$$(D_X f) \overline{Y} + (D_{\overline{Y}} f) X = \overset{x}{u} (X) \underset{\alpha}{H} \overline{Y} + 2 \underset{\beta \alpha}{L} (X) \overset{x}{u} (Y) \underset{x}{U},$$

b)
$$(D_{\overline{X}}f) \overline{Y} + (D_{\overline{Y}}f) \overline{X} = 0,$$

c)
$$(D_X^x u)(Y) + (D_Y^x u)(X) = L(X)^x u(Y) - L(Y)^x u(X),$$

d)
$$(D_{\overline{X}}^{x} \underline{u}) (\overline{Y}) + (D_{\overline{Y}}^{x} \underline{u}) (\overline{X}) = 0.$$

PROOF. Barring Y in equation (3.1a) and using equation (1.5d), we get

$$(3.10) (D_{X}f)\overline{Y} + (D_{\overline{Y}}f)X = \stackrel{x}{u}(X) \stackrel{H}{\eta}\overline{Y} - 2\stackrel{\prime}{H}(X,\overline{Y}) \stackrel{U}{u}.$$

Using equations (1.6), (1.11) and (3.7a) in equation (3.10), we get the equation (3.9a). Barring X and Y in equation (3.9a) and using equation (1.5d) in the resulting equation, we have the equation (3.9b). Using equations (1.6), (1.11) and (3.7) in equation (3.1b), we get the equation (3.9c). Barring X and Y in equation (3.9c) and using equation (1.5d), we get the equation (3.9d).

References

- R.S. Mishra, Structures on a differentiable manifold and their applications, Chandrama Prakashan, Allahabad, 1984.
- S.B. Pandey and Puran Singh, On general contact hypersurfaces of HGF manifold, U. Sci. Phyl. Sciences 5 (2) (1993) 142-147.
- 3. S.B. Pandey and Lata Dasila, Framed metric submanifold of a Kähler manifold, *Proc. Math. Soc. B.H.U.* 10 (1994) 85-90.
- 4. K. Yano, Differential Geometry on Complex and almost Complex spaces, Pergamon Press, New York, 1965.