

Certain Properties and Integral Transforms of the k-Generalized Mittag-Leffler Type Function $E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z)^*$

R. K. Bairwa and S. C. Sharma

Department of Mathematics

University of Rajasthan, Jaipur-302004, Rajasthan, India

Email: rajendrabairwa1984@gmail.com, sureshchand26@gmail.com

(Received September 13, 2015)

Abstract: In this paper, we have introduced and study of the k-generalized Mittag-Leffler type function $E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z)$. Moreover, we proved some of its properties including usual Differential, Integration, Euler (Beta) transforms, Laplace transforms and Whittaker transforms. Some special cases have also been discussed.

Keywords: k-Generalized Mittag-Leffler function, k-Mittag-Leffler function, k-Pochhammer symbol, k- Gamma function, k- Beta function, Euler (Beta) transform, Laplace transform, and Whittaker transform.

2010 Mathematics Classification No.: 33E12

1. Preliminaries

The k-Pochhammer symbol $(x)_{n,k}$ was introduced by Diaz and Pariguan¹ in the form as

$$(1.1) \quad (x)_{n,k} = x(x+k)(x+2k)\dots(x+(n-1)k), \text{ where } x \in C, k \in R, n \in N.$$

k – Gamma function $\Gamma_k(x)$ was defined by Diaz and Pariguan¹ as

$$(1.2) \quad \Gamma_k(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{t^k}{k}} t^{x-1} dt, \quad x \in C, \quad k \in R, \quad \operatorname{Re}(x) > 0,$$

and

$$\Gamma_k(x+k) = x\Gamma_k(x).$$

*Presented at NSRDPAM & 19th PDVML -2015, Department of Mathematics, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur during September 12-13, 2015.

k – Beta function $B_k(x, y)$ was also defined by Diaz and Pariguan¹ as

$$(1.3) \quad B_k(x, y) = \frac{1}{k} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{x}{k}-1} (1-t)^{\frac{y}{k}-1} dt, \quad x \in C, \quad k > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(x) > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(y) > 0,$$

and

$$B_k(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma_k(x) \Gamma_k(y)}{\Gamma_k(x+y)}.$$

The well known Gamma function $\Gamma(n)$ is defined as

$$(1.4) \quad \Gamma(n) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{n-1} dt, \quad \operatorname{Re}(n) > 0.$$

The Beta function $B(m, n)$ is defined as

$$(1.5) \quad B(m, n) = \int_0^1 t^{m-1} (1-t)^{n-1} dt = \frac{\Gamma(m) \Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(m+n)}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(m) > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(n) > 0.$$

The Fox- Wright function ${}_p\psi_q(z)$ was defined by Srivastava and Karlsson² as

$$(1.6) \quad {}_p\psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, A_1), \dots, (a_p, A_p); \\ (b_1, B_1), \dots, (b_q, B_q); \end{matrix} ; z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i + A_i n)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j + B_j n)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!},$$

where

$$z, a_i, b_j, A_i, B_j \in C, \quad \operatorname{Re}(a_i) > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(A_i) > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p, \quad \operatorname{Re}(b_j) > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(B_j) > 0,$$

$$j = 1, \dots, q \text{ and } 1 + \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^q B_j - \sum_{i=1}^p A_i\right) \geq 0.$$

The following known identities are required in our sequel³.

Result I: Let $\gamma \in C$, and $k, s \in R$, then the following identity holds

$$(1.6) \quad \Gamma_s(\gamma) = \left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{s}-1} \Gamma_k\left(\frac{k\gamma}{s}\right),$$

and in particular case

$$(1.7) \quad \Gamma_k(\gamma) = (k)_k^{\gamma-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right).$$

Result II: Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $k, s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the following identity holds

$$(1.8) \quad (\gamma)_{nq,s} = \left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{nq} \left(\frac{k\gamma}{s}\right)_{nq,k},$$

and in particular case

$$(1.9) \quad (\gamma)_{nq,k} = (k)^{nq} \left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)_{nq}.$$

2. A New Generalized Mittag-Leffler Type Function

In this section, we introduce and define a new Generalized Mittag-Leffler type function, called as k – Generalized Mittag-Leffler function $E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z)$ and mention some of its special cases.

Definition 2.1: Let $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\delta) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\nu) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\sigma) > 0$ and $p, q > 0$, $q \leq \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) + p$, the k – Generalized Mittag-Leffler function denoted by $E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z)$ and is defined as

$$(2.1) \quad E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n,k} (\gamma)_{q n,k} z^n}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta) (\nu)_{\sigma n,k} (\delta)_{p n,k}},$$

where $(x)_{\tau n,k}$ is the k – Pochhammer symbol given by (1.1) and $\Gamma_k(x)$ is the k – Gamma function given by (1.2).

Special cases of $E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z)$:

On giving some particular values to the parameters $k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma$, we can obtain certain Mittag-Leffler functions defined earlier

- (a) For $k=1$, Eqn. (2.1) reduces to Mittag-Leffler function defined by Khan and Ahmed⁴,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad E_{1,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n,1} (\gamma)_{q n,1} z^n}{\Gamma_1(\alpha n + \beta) (\nu)_{\sigma n,1} (\delta)_{p n,1}} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n} (\gamma)_{q n} z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta) (\nu)_{\sigma n} (\delta)_{p n}} \\
 &= E_{\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Putting $k=1, \mu=\nu, \rho=\sigma, p=1, \delta=1$, Eqn. (2.1) reduces to Mittag-Leffler function defined by Shukla and Prajapati⁵,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad E_{1,\alpha,\beta,\mu,\rho,1,1}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n,1} (\gamma)_{q n,1} z^n}{\Gamma_1(\alpha n + \beta) (\mu)_{\rho n,1} (1)_{1n,1}} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{q n} z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta) n!} = E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,q}(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

- (c) On taking $k=1, \mu=\nu, \rho=\sigma, p=1, q=1, \delta=1$ Eqn. (2.1) reduces to Mittag-Leffler function defined by Prabhakar⁶,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad E_{1,\alpha,\beta,\mu,\rho,1,1}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,1}(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n,1} (\gamma)_{1n,1} z^n}{\Gamma_1(\alpha n + \beta) (\mu)_{\rho n,1} (1)_{1n,1}} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_n z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta) n!} = E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

- (d) Giving $k=1, \mu=\nu, \rho=\sigma, p=q=\delta=\gamma=1$, Eqn. (2.1) reduces to Mittag-Leffler function defined by Wiman⁷,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.5) \quad E_{1,\alpha,\beta,\mu,\rho,1,1}^{\mu,\rho,1,1}(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n,1} (1)_{1n,1} z^n}{\Gamma_1(\alpha n + \beta) (\mu)_{\rho n,1} (1)_{1n,1}} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)} = E_{\alpha,\beta}(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

- (e) Assigning $k=1, \mu=\nu, \rho=\sigma, p=q=\delta=\gamma=\beta=1$, Eqn. (2.1) reduces to Mittag-Leffler function $E_\alpha(z)$ defined by Gosta Mittag-Leffler⁸,

$$(2.6) \quad E_{1,\alpha,1,\mu,\rho,1,1}^{\mu,\rho,1,1}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n,1}(1)_{1n,1} z^n}{\Gamma_1(\alpha n + 1)(\mu)_{\rho n,1}(1)_{1n,1}}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)} = E_\alpha(z).$$

3. Basic Properties

In this section, we introduce some new basic properties of the of $E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z)$.

Theorem 3.1: We introduced here an elegant relation between k – Generalized Mittag-Leffler type function $E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z)$ and Generalized Mittag-Leffler type function as follows

$$(3.1) \quad E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z) = k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} E_{\frac{\alpha}{k},\frac{\beta}{k},\frac{\nu}{k},\frac{\sigma}{k},\frac{\delta}{k},p}^{\frac{\mu}{k},\frac{\rho}{k},\frac{\gamma}{k},q}\left(k^{\rho+q-\sigma-p-\frac{\alpha}{k}}, z\right).$$

Proof: From the definition of k – Generalized Mittag-Leffler function given by the Eqn. (2.1), we have

$$E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n,k}(\gamma)_{q n,k} z^n}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta)(\nu)_{\sigma n,k}(\delta)_{p n,k}},$$

On using Eqns. (1.7) and (1.9), we have

$$E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z) = k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right)_{\rho n} \left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)_{q n} \left(k^{\rho+q-\sigma-p-\frac{\alpha}{k}} z\right)^n}{\Gamma_k\left(\frac{\alpha}{k} n + \frac{\beta}{k}\right) \left(\frac{\nu}{k}\right)_{\sigma n} \left(\frac{\delta}{k}\right)_{p n}}$$

$$E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z) = k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} E_{\frac{\alpha}{k},\frac{\beta}{k},\frac{\nu}{k},\frac{\sigma}{k},\frac{\delta}{k},p}^{\frac{\mu}{k},\frac{\rho}{k},\frac{\gamma}{k},q}\left(k^{\rho+q-\sigma-p-\frac{\alpha}{k}}, z\right).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem (3.1).

Theorem 3.2: If $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\delta) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\nu) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\sigma) > 0$ and $p, q > 0$, $q \leq \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) + p$, then

$$(3.2) \quad E_{k, \alpha, \beta, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(z) = \beta E_{k, \alpha, \beta+k, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(z) + \alpha z \frac{d}{dz} E_{k, \alpha, \beta+k, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(z)$$

Proof: In the right-hand side of Eqn. (3.2), and making use of the series form of $E_{k, \alpha, \beta, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(z)$ given by the Eqn. (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\beta E_{k, \alpha, \beta+k, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(z) + \alpha z \frac{d}{dz} E_{k, \alpha, \beta+k, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(z) \right] &= \left[\beta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n, k} (\gamma)_{q n, k} z^n}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta + k) (\nu)_{\sigma n, k} (\delta)_{p n, k}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha z \frac{d}{dz} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n, k} (\gamma)_{q n, k} z^n}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta + k) (\nu)_{\sigma n, k} (\delta)_{p n, k}} \right] \\ &= \left[\beta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n, k} (\gamma)_{q n, k} z^n}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta + k) (\nu)_{\sigma n, k} (\delta)_{p n, k}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\alpha n) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n, k} (\gamma)_{q n, k} z^n}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta + k) (\nu)_{\sigma n, k} (\delta)_{p n, k}} \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha n + \beta) (\mu)_{\rho n, k} (\gamma)_{q n, k} z^n}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta + k) (\nu)_{\sigma n, k} (\delta)_{p n, k}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n, k} (\gamma)_{q n, k} z^n}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta) (\nu)_{\sigma n, k} (\delta)_{p n, k}} \\ &= E_{k, \alpha, \beta, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(z). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem (3.2).

Special Cases:

1. On Setting $k = 1$, in Eqn. (3.2), takes the following result, we have

$$E_{\alpha, \beta, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(z) = \beta E_{\alpha, \beta+1, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(z) + \alpha z \frac{d}{dz} E_{\alpha, \beta+1, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(z),$$

which is the same result as obtained by Khan and Ahmed⁴.

2. Putting $k=1$, $\mu=\nu$, $\rho=\sigma$, $p=1$, and $\delta=1$, Eqn.(3.2), reduces to the following result, we get

$$E_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, q}(z) = \beta E_{\alpha, \beta+1}^{\gamma, q}(z) + \alpha z \frac{d}{dz} E_{\alpha, \beta+1}^{\gamma, q}(z).$$

which is the same result as deduced by Shukla and Prajapati⁵.

3. The Eqn. (3.2) reduces to the following form on taking $k=1$, $\mu=\nu$, $\rho=\sigma$, $p=1$, $q=1$ and $\delta=1$, we get

$$E_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma}(z) = \beta E_{\alpha, \beta+1}^{\gamma}(z) + \alpha z \frac{d}{dz} E_{\alpha, \beta+1}^{\gamma}(z),$$

which is the same result as given by Prabhakar⁶.

Theorem 3.3: If $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\delta) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\nu) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\sigma) > 0$ and $p, q > 0$, $q \leq \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) + p$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$(3.3) \quad \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^m E_{k, \alpha, \beta, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(z) = \frac{(1)_m (\mu)_{\rho m, k} (\gamma)_{q m, k}}{(\nu)_{\sigma m, k} (\delta)_{p m, k}} \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu + \rho m k)_{\rho n, k} (\gamma + q m k)_{q n, k} (1+m)_n}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \alpha m + \beta) (\nu + \sigma m k)_{\sigma n, k} (\delta + p m k)_{p n, k}} \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

Proof: In the left-hand side of the Eqn. (3.3), and making use of the series form of $E_{k, \alpha, \beta, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(z)$, given by the Eqn. (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^m E_{k, \alpha, \beta, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(z) &= \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n, k} (\gamma)_{q n, k} z^n}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta) (\nu)_{\sigma n, k} (\delta)_{p n, k}} \\ &= \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n, k} (\gamma)_{q n, k} z^{n-m} n!}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta) (\nu)_{\sigma n, k} (\delta)_{p n, k} (n-m)!} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1)_m (1+m)_n (\mu)_{\rho(n+m),k} (\gamma)_{q(n+m),k}}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \alpha m + \beta) (\nu)_{\sigma(n+m),k} (\delta)_{p(n+m),k}} \frac{z^n}{n!},$$

on using the relation $(\chi)_{n+m,k} = (\chi)_{m,k} (\chi + mk)_{n,k}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^m E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z) &= \frac{(1)_m (\mu)_{\rho m,k} (\gamma)_{q m,k}}{(\nu)_{\sigma m,k} (\delta)_{p m,k}} \\ &\times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu + \rho mk)_{\rho n,k} (\gamma + qmk)_{q n,k} (1+m)_n}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \alpha m + \beta) (\nu + \sigma mk)_{\sigma n,k} (\delta + pmk)_{p n,k}} \frac{z^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem (3.3).

Special Cases:

1. Taking $k=1$, Eqn. (3.3), reduces to the following result, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^m E_{\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z) &= \frac{(1)_m (\mu)_{\rho m} (\gamma)_{q m}}{(\nu)_{\sigma m} (\delta)_{p m}} \\ &\times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu + \rho mk)_{\rho n} (\gamma + qmk)_{q n} (1+m)_n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \alpha m + \beta) (\nu + \sigma mk)_{\sigma n} (\delta + pmk)_{p n}} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the same result as deduced by Khan and Ahmed⁴.

2. On setting $k=1$, $\mu=\nu$, $\rho=\sigma$, $p=1$ and $\delta=1$, Eqn. (3.3), given us

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^m E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,q}(z) &= (\gamma)_{q m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma + qmk)_{q n}}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \alpha m + \beta)} \frac{z^n}{n!} \\ &= (\gamma)_{q m} E_{\alpha,\beta+m\alpha}^{\gamma+qm,q}(z), \end{aligned}$$

which is the same result as obtained by Shukla and Prajapati⁵.

3. Putting $k=1$, $\mu=\nu$, $\rho=\sigma$, $p=1$, $q=1$ and $\delta=1$, Eqn. (3.3), takes the following form, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^m E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(z) &= (\gamma)_m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma + mk)_n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \alpha m + \beta)} \frac{z^n}{n!} \\ &= (\gamma)_m E_{\alpha,\beta+m\alpha}^{\gamma+m}(z), \end{aligned}$$

which is the same result as given by Prabhakar⁶.

Theorem 3.4: If $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\delta) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\nu) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\sigma) > 0$ and $p, q > 0$, $q \leq \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) + p$, then

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma_k(\eta)} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{\beta}{k}-1} (1-u)^{\frac{\eta}{k}-1} E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(zu^{\frac{\alpha}{k}}) du = k E_{k,\alpha,\beta+\eta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z)$$

Proof: In the left-hand side of the Eqn. (3.4), and making use of the series form of $E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z)$, given by the Eqn. (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Gamma_k(\eta)} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{\beta}{k}-1} (1-u)^{\frac{\eta}{k}-1} E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(zu^{\frac{\alpha}{k}}) du \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_k(\eta)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n,k} (\gamma)_{q n,k} z^n}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta) (\nu)_{\sigma n,k} (\delta)_{p n,k}} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{\alpha n + \beta}{k}-1} (1-u)^{\frac{\eta}{k}-1} du \\ &= \frac{k}{\Gamma_k(\eta)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n,k} (\gamma)_{q n,k} z^n}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta) (\nu)_{\sigma n,k} (\delta)_{p n,k}} \frac{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta) \Gamma_k(\eta)}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta + \eta)} \\ &= k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n,k} (\gamma)_{q n,k} z^n}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta + \eta) (\nu)_{\sigma n,k} (\delta)_{p n,k}} = k E_{k,\alpha,\beta+\eta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem (3.4).

Special Cases:

1. The Eqn. (3.4) reduces to the following form on taking $k=1$, we get

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\eta)} \int_0^1 u^{\beta-1} (1-u)^{\eta-1} E_{\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(zu^{\alpha}) du = k E_{\alpha,\beta+\eta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z),$$

which is the same result as obtained by Khan and Ahmed⁴.

2. Taking $k=1, \mu=\nu, \rho=\sigma, p=1$ and $\delta=1$, Eqn. (3.4), reduces to the following result, we have

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\eta)} \int_0^1 u^{\beta-1} (1-u)^{\eta-1} E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,q}(z^\alpha) du = k E_{\alpha,\beta+\eta}^{\gamma,q}(z),$$

which is the same result as given by Shukla and Prajapati⁵.

3. On Setting $k=1, \mu=\nu, \rho=\sigma, p=1, q=1$ and $\delta=1$, Eqn. (3.4), takes the following form, we have

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\eta)} \int_0^1 u^{\beta-1} (1-u)^{\eta-1} E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(zu^\alpha) du = k E_{\alpha,\beta+\eta}^{\gamma}(z),$$

which is the same result as deduced by Prabhakar⁶.

4. Integral Transforms of $E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z)$

In this section, we discuss some useful integral transforms like Euler transforms, Laplace transforms, Whittaker transforms of $E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z)$.

Euler (Beta) Transform of $E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z)$:

Theorem 4.1: If $k \in \mathbb{R}, a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma, \eta \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\gamma) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\delta) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\mu) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\nu) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\sigma) > 0$ and $p, q > 0, q \leq \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) + p$, then

$$(4.1) \quad \int_0^1 z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1} E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(xz^\eta) dz = k^{\frac{1-\beta}{k}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{k}\right) \Gamma(b)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)} \\ \times {}_4\Psi_4 \left[\begin{matrix} \left(\frac{\mu}{k}, \rho\right), \left(\frac{\gamma}{k}, q\right), (a, \eta), (1, 1), \\ \left(\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k}\right), \left(\frac{\nu}{k}, \sigma\right), \left(\frac{\delta}{k}, p\right), (a+b, \eta), \end{matrix} ; \left(k^{\rho+q-\sigma-p-\frac{\alpha}{k}} \right) x \right].$$

Proof: In the Left-hand side of the Eqn. (4.1), and making use of the series form of $E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z)$, given by the Eqn. (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1} E_{k, \alpha, \mu, \beta, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q} (xz^\eta) dz \\
 &= \int_0^1 z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n, k} (\gamma)_{qn, k} (xz^\eta)^n dz}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta) (\nu)_{\sigma n, k} (\delta)_{pn, k}} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n, k} (\gamma)_{qn, k} x^n}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta) (\nu)_{\sigma n, k} (\delta)_{pn, k}} \int_0^1 z^{a+\eta n-1} (1-z)^{b-1} dz \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n, k} (\gamma)_{qn, k} x^n B(a + \eta n, b)}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta) (\nu)_{\sigma n, k} (\delta)_{pn, k}} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^{(\rho+q-\sigma-p)n} \left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right)_{\rho n} \left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)_{qn} x^n}{k^{\left(\frac{\alpha n + \beta}{k} - 1\right)} \Gamma_k\left(\frac{\alpha n}{k} + \frac{\beta}{k}\right) \left(\frac{\nu}{k}\right)_{\sigma n, k} \left(\frac{\delta}{k}\right)_{pn}} \frac{\Gamma(a + \eta n) \Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a + \eta n + b)} \\
 &= \frac{k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{k}\right) \Gamma(b)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu}{k} + \rho n\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k} + qn\right) \Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(a + \eta n) \left(k^{\rho+q-\sigma-p-\frac{\alpha}{k}} x\right)^n}{\Gamma_k\left(\frac{\alpha n}{k} + \frac{\beta}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{k} + \sigma n\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{k} + pn\right) \Gamma(a + \eta n + b) (n!)} \\
 &= k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{k}\right) \Gamma(b)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)} \\
 &\quad \times {}_4\Psi_4 \left[\begin{matrix} \left(\frac{\mu}{k}, \rho\right), \left(\frac{\gamma}{k}, q\right), (a, \eta), (1, 1) \\ \left(\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k}\right), \left(\frac{\nu}{k}, \sigma\right), \left(\frac{\delta}{k}, p\right), (a+b, \eta) \end{matrix} ; \left(k^{\rho+q-\sigma-p-\frac{\alpha}{k}} x\right) x \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem (4.1).

Special Cases:

1. Taking $k=1$, Eqn. (4.1), reduces to the following result, we have

$$\int_0^1 z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1} E_{\alpha, \beta, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(xz^\eta) dz \\ = \frac{\Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\delta)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(\mu)\Gamma(\gamma)} {}_4\psi_4 \left[\begin{matrix} (\mu, \rho), (\gamma, q), (a, \eta), (1, 1) \\ (\beta, \alpha), (\nu, \sigma), (\delta, p), (a+b, \eta) \end{matrix} ; x \right],$$

which is the same result as given by Khan and Ahmed⁴.

2. Putting $k=1$, $\mu=\nu$, $\rho=\sigma$, $p=1$ and $\delta=1$, the Eqn. (4.1) gives us

$$\int_0^1 z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1} E_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, q}(xz^\eta) dz = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} {}_2\psi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} (\gamma, q), (a, \eta) \\ (\beta, \alpha), (a+b, \eta) \end{matrix} ; x \right],$$

which is the same result as obtained by Shukla and Prajapati⁵.

3. On setting $k=1$, $\mu=\nu$, $\rho=\sigma$, $p=1$, $q=1$ and $\delta=1$, Eqn. (4.1), takes the following form, we get

$$\int_0^1 z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1} E_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma}(xz^\eta) dz = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} {}_2\psi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} (\gamma, 1), (a, \eta) \\ (\beta, \alpha), (a+b, \eta) \end{matrix} ; x \right],$$

which is the same result as deduced by Saxena⁹.

Laplace Transform of $E_{k, \alpha, \beta, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(z)$:

Theorem 4.2: If $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $a, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, $\text{Re}(\beta) > 0$, $\text{Re}(\gamma) > 0$, $\text{Re}(\delta) > 0$, $\text{Re}(\mu) > 0$, $\text{Re}(\nu) > 0$, $\text{Re}(\rho) > 0$, $\text{Re}(\sigma) > 0$, $\text{Re}(s) > 0$,

$\left| \frac{x}{s^\eta} \right| < 1$, and $p, q > 0$, $q \leq \text{Re}(\alpha) + p$, then

$$(4.2) \quad \int_0^\infty z^{a-1} e^{-sz} E_{k, \alpha, \beta, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(xz^\eta) dz = s^{-a} k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{k}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{k}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)}$$

$$\times {}_4\psi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \left(\frac{\mu}{k}, \rho \right), \left(\frac{\gamma}{k}, q \right), (a, \eta), (1, 1), \\ \left(\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k} \right), \left(\frac{\nu}{k}, \sigma \right), \left(\frac{\delta}{k}, p \right), \end{matrix} ; \frac{x}{s^\eta} \left(k^{\rho+q-\sigma-p-\frac{\alpha}{k}} \right) \right].$$

Proof : In the Left-hand side of the Eqn. (4.2), and making use of the series form of $E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(z)$, given by the Eqn. (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty z^{a-1} e^{-sz} E_{k,\alpha,\beta,\nu,\sigma,\delta,p}^{\mu,\rho,\gamma,q}(xz^\eta) dz &= \int_0^\infty z^{a-1} e^{-sz} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n,k} (\gamma)_{q n,k} (xz^\eta)^n dz}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta)(\nu)_{\sigma n,k} (\delta)_{p n,k}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n,k} (\gamma)_{q n,k} x^n}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta)(\nu)_{\sigma n,k} (\delta)_{p n,k}} \int_0^\infty z^{a+\eta n-1} e^{-sz} dz \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n,k} (\gamma)_{q n,k} x^n}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta)(\nu)_{\sigma n,k} (\delta)_{p n,k}} \frac{\Gamma(a + n\eta)}{s^{a+n\eta}} \\ &= s^{-a} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{k^{(\rho+q-\sigma-p)n} \left(\frac{\mu}{k} \right)_{\rho n} \left(\frac{\gamma}{k} \right)_{q n} x^n \Gamma(a + \eta n) \Gamma(n+1)}{k^{\left(\frac{\alpha n + \beta}{k} - 1 \right)} \Gamma_k \left(\frac{\alpha n}{k} + \frac{\beta}{k} \right) \left(\frac{\nu}{k} \right)_{\sigma n,k} \left(\frac{\delta}{k} \right)_{p n} n!} \left(\frac{x}{s^\eta} \right)^n \\ &= \frac{s^{-a} k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} \Gamma \left(\frac{\nu}{k} \right) \Gamma \left(\frac{\delta}{k} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{\mu}{k} \right) \Gamma \left(\frac{\gamma}{k} \right)} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma \left(\frac{\mu}{k} + \rho n \right) \Gamma \left(\frac{\gamma}{k} + q n \right) \Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(a + \eta n) \left(\frac{x k^{\rho+q-\sigma-p-\frac{\alpha}{k}}}{s^\eta} \right)^n}{\Gamma_k \left(\frac{\alpha n}{k} + \frac{\beta}{k} \right) \Gamma \left(\frac{\nu}{k} + \sigma n \right) \Gamma \left(\frac{\delta}{k} + p n \right) (n!)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= s^{-a} k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{k}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)} \times {}_4\psi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \left(\frac{\mu}{k}, \rho\right), \left(\frac{\gamma}{k}, q\right), (a, \eta), (1, 1), \\ \left(\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k}\right), \left(\frac{\nu}{k}, \sigma\right), \left(\frac{\delta}{k}, p\right), \end{matrix} ; \frac{x}{s^\eta} \left(k^{\rho+q-\sigma-p-\frac{\alpha}{k}} \right) \right].$$

This completes the proof of the theorem (4.2).

Special Cases:

1. The Eqn. (4.2) reduces to the following form on taking $k=1$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty z^{a-1} e^{-sz} E_{\alpha, \beta, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(xz^\eta) dz = \frac{\Gamma(\nu) \Gamma(\delta) s^{-a}}{\Gamma(\mu) \Gamma(\gamma)} {}_4\psi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} (\mu, \rho), (\gamma, q), (a, \eta), (1, 1), \\ (\beta, \alpha), (\nu, \sigma), (\delta, p), \end{matrix} ; \frac{x}{s^\eta} \right],$$

which is the same result as obtained by Khan and Ahmed⁴.

2. Putting $k=1, \mu=\nu, \rho=\sigma, p=1$ and $\delta=1$, Eqn. (4.2), takes the following form, we have

$$\int_0^\infty z^{a-1} e^{-sz} E_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, q}(xz^\eta) dz = \frac{s^{-a}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} {}_2\psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (\gamma, q), (a, \eta), \\ (\beta, \alpha), \end{matrix} ; \frac{x}{s^\eta} \right],$$

which is the same result as deduced by Shukla and Prajapati⁵.

3. Onsetting $k=1, \mu=\nu, \rho=\sigma, p=1, q=1$ and $\delta=1$, Eqn. (4.2), reduces to the following result, we get

$$\int_0^\infty z^{a-1} e^{-sz} E_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma} (xz^\eta) dz = \frac{s^{-a}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} {}_2\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (\gamma, 1), (a, \eta), \\ (\beta, \alpha), \end{matrix} ; \frac{x}{s^\eta} \right],$$

which is the same result as given by Saxena⁹.

Whittaker Transform of $E_{k, \alpha, \beta, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(\mathbf{z})$:

Theorem 4.3: If $k \in \mathbb{R}, a, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma, \eta \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\nu) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\sigma) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\lambda + \psi) > -\frac{1}{2}$ and then $p, q > 0, q \leq \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) + p$,

$$(4.3) \quad \int_0^\infty t^{\xi-1} e^{-\frac{\phi t}{2}} W_{\lambda, \psi}(\phi t) E_{k, \alpha, \beta, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(\omega t^\eta) dt = \phi^{-\xi} k^{\frac{1-\beta}{k}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{k}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)} {}_5\Psi_4 \left[\begin{matrix} \left(\frac{\mu}{k}, \rho\right), \left(\frac{\gamma}{k}, q\right), \left(\frac{1}{2} + \psi + \xi, \eta\right), \left(\frac{1}{2} - \psi + \xi, \eta\right), (1, 1), \\ \left(\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k}\right), \left(\frac{\nu}{k}, \sigma\right), \left(\frac{\delta}{k}, p\right), (1 - \lambda + \xi, \eta) \end{matrix} ; \frac{\omega k^{\rho+q-\sigma-p-\frac{\alpha}{k}}}{\phi^\eta} \right]$$

Proof: In the left-hand side of the Eqn. (4.3), and substituting $\phi t = v$ and making use of the series form of $E_{k, \alpha, \beta, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(\mathbf{z})$, given by the Eqn. (2.1), we have

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{v}{\phi}\right)^{\xi-1} e^{-\frac{v}{2}} W_{\lambda, \psi}(v) \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\mu)_{\rho n, k} (\gamma)_{qn, k}}{\Gamma_k(\alpha n + \beta) (\nu)_{\sigma n, k} (\delta)_{pn, k}} \left(\frac{v}{\phi}\right)^{n\eta} \frac{1}{\phi} dv$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\phi^{-\xi} k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{k}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu}{k} + \rho n\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k} + qn\right) \left(k^{\rho+q-\sigma-p-\frac{\alpha}{k}}\right)^n}{\Gamma_k\left(\frac{\alpha n}{k} + \frac{\beta}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{k} + \sigma n\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{k} + p n\right)} \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{\omega}{\phi^\eta}\right)^n \int_0^\infty v^{\xi+n\eta-1} e^{-\frac{v}{2}} W_{\lambda, \psi}(v) dv \\
&\quad \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu}{k} + \rho n\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k} + qn\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \psi + \xi + n\eta\right) \\
&= \frac{\phi^{-\xi} k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{k}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \psi + \xi + n\eta\right) \Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma_k\left(\frac{\alpha n}{k} + \frac{\beta}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{k} + \sigma n\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{k} + p n\right)} \\
&\quad (1 - \lambda + \xi + n\eta) n! \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{\omega k^{\rho+q-\sigma-p-\frac{\alpha}{k}}}{\phi^\eta}\right)^n \\
&= \phi^{-\xi} k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{k}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)} {}_5\Psi_4 \\
&\quad \times \left[\left(\frac{\mu}{k}, \rho\right), \left(\frac{\gamma}{k}, q\right), \left(\frac{1}{2} + \psi + \xi, \eta\right), \left(\frac{1}{2} - \psi + \xi, \eta\right), (1, 1), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left(\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k}\right), \left(\frac{\nu}{k}, \sigma\right), \left(\frac{\delta}{k}, p\right), (1 - \lambda + \xi, \eta) \right] \\
&\quad ; \left(\frac{\omega k^{\rho+q-\sigma-p-\frac{\alpha}{k}}}{\phi^\eta}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem (4.3).

Special Cases:

1. The Eqn. (4.3) reduces to the following form on taking $k=1$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty t^{\xi-1} e^{-\frac{\phi t}{2}} W_{\lambda, \psi}(\phi t) E_{\alpha, \beta, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(\omega t^\eta) dt = \phi^{-\xi} \frac{\Gamma(\nu) \Gamma(\delta)}{\Gamma(\mu) \Gamma(\gamma)} \\ \times {}_5\Psi_4 \left[\begin{matrix} (\mu, \rho), (\gamma, q), \left(\frac{1}{2} + \psi + \xi, \eta\right), \left(\frac{1}{2} - \psi + \xi, \eta\right), (1, 1), \\ (\beta, \alpha), (\nu, \sigma), (\delta, p), (1 - \lambda + \xi, \eta) \end{matrix} ; \left(\frac{\omega}{\phi^\eta}\right) \right].$$

which is the same result as obtained by Khan and Ahmed⁴.

2. Taking $k=1, \mu=\nu, \rho=\sigma$ and $p=1$, Eqn. (4.3), reduces to the following result, we get

$$\int_0^\infty t^{\xi-1} e^{-\frac{\phi t}{2}} W_{\lambda, \psi}(\phi t) E_{\alpha, \beta, \delta}^{\gamma, q}(\omega t^\eta) dt \\ = \frac{\phi^{-\xi} \Gamma(\delta)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} {}_4\Psi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} (\gamma, q), \left(\frac{1}{2} + \psi + \xi, \eta\right), \left(\frac{1}{2} - \psi + \xi, \eta\right), (1, 1), \\ (\beta, \alpha), (1 - \lambda + \xi, \eta), (\delta, 1), \end{matrix} ; \left(\frac{\omega}{\phi^\eta}\right) \right],$$

which is the same result as deduced by Shukla and Prajapati⁵.

3. On Setting $k=1, \mu=\nu, \rho=\sigma, p=1, q=1$ and $\delta=1$. The Eqn. (4.3) takes the following form, we have

$$\int_0^\infty t^{\xi-1} e^{-\frac{\phi t}{2}} W_{\lambda, \psi}(\phi t) E_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma}(\omega t^\eta) dt \\ = \frac{\phi^{-\xi}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} {}_3\Psi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} (\gamma, 1), \left(\frac{1}{2} + \psi + \xi, \eta\right), \left(\frac{1}{2} - \psi + \xi, \eta\right), \\ (\beta, \alpha), (1 - \lambda + \xi, \eta) \end{matrix} ; \left(\frac{\omega}{\phi^\eta}\right) \right],$$

which is the same result as given by Saxena⁹.

References

1. R. Diaz and E. Pariguan, On hypergeometric functions and k-Pochhammer symbol, *Div. Mat.*, **15**(2) (2007) 179-192.
2. H. M. Srivastava and P. W. Karlsson, *Multiple Gaussian hypergeometric series*, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1985.
3. G. A. Dorrego and R. A. Cerutti, The K-Mittag-Leffler Function, *Int. J. Cont. Math. Sci.*, **7**(15) (2012) 705-716.
4. M. A. Khan and S. Ahmed, On some properties of generalized Mittag-Leffler Function, *Springer Plus*, **2** (2013) 337.
5. A. K. Shukla and J. C. Prajapati, On the generalization of Mittag-Leffler function, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **336** (2007) 797-811.
6. T. R. Prabhakar, A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel, *Yokohama Math. J.*, **19** (1971) 7-15.
7. A. Wiman, Uber den fundamental Satz in der Theories der Funktionen $E_\alpha(z)$, *Acta Math.*, **29** (1905) 191-201.
8. Mittag-Leffler and G. Surla, nouvelle function $E_\alpha(z)$, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **137** (1903) 554-558.
9. R. K. Saxena, Certain properties of generalized Mittag-Leffler function, Conference of the Society of Special functions and their applications, *3rd annual Conference, Chennai, India*, (2002) 78-81.