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#### Abstract

A pseudo-differential operator on $\mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ space is defined and using the theory of Fourier transformation its various properties are studied. Keywords: Pseudo-differential operator, convex function, Fourier transformation, Sobolev space. AMS Classification: 46F12, 46F05.


## 1. Introduction

The spaces $W_{M}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ were investigated by Friedman ${ }^{1}$ and Gel'fand and Shilov ${ }^{2}$. It was shown that the Fourier transformation

$$
\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{F}: \mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

is linear and continuous, where $\mathrm{M}, \Omega$ are convex functions and $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}$ are spaces of $n$ - dimensional real and complex numbers.

The theory of pseudo-differential operators is given by Wong ${ }^{3}$, Zaidman ${ }^{4}$, Pathak ${ }^{5}$ and others. They studied pseudo-differential operator by exploiting the theory of Fourier transformation on Schwartz space, Geverey type space and other spaces also.

Pseudo-differential operators on certain Gel'fand and Shilov space were studied by Cappiello, Gramchev and L. Rodino ${ }^{6}$ by using theory of Fourier transformation.

Our main aim in this paper is to define the pseudo-differential operator on $\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$-space and to study its various properties by the Fourier transformation tool because its distributional space $\left[\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\prime}\right]$ is more general than Schwartz distributional space [ $\left.\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]^{\prime}$.

Now, we recall the definitions of $W_{M}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$-spaces and pseudodifferential operator from the papers ${ }^{1,2}$ on $\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$-space.

[^0]Let $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and $\Omega_{\mathrm{j}}$ be the convex functions such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}} \mu_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\xi_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \geq 0\right)  \tag{1.1}\\
& \Omega_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}} \omega_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\eta_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \mathrm{d} \eta_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}} \geq 0\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\mathrm{j}=1,2,3, \ldots$..n.
We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu(\xi)=\left(\left(\mu_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right), \ldots,\left(\mu_{n}\left(\xi_{n}\right)\right),\right. \\
& \omega(\eta)=\left(\left(\omega_{1}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right), \ldots,\left(\omega_{\eta}\left(\eta_{n}\right)\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right), \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)+\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}\right) \leq \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{1.3}\\
& \Omega_{\mathrm{j}}\left(-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\Omega_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}\right), \Omega_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)+\Omega_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}\right) \leq \Omega_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}+\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The space $W_{M}\left(R^{n}\right)$ consists of all $C^{\infty}$-functions which satisfy the inequalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}^{(\mathrm{k})} \phi(\mathrm{x})\right| \leq \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} \exp [-\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{ax})] \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{x}^{(k)}=D_{x}^{\left(k_{1}\right)} D_{x}^{\left(\mathrm{k}_{2}\right)} \ldots \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}, \mathrm{k}=\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}, \mathrm{k}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ and $\exp [-M(a x)]=\exp \left[-M_{1}\left(a_{1} x_{1}\right)-M_{2}\left(a_{2} x_{2}\right) \ldots . M_{n}\left(a_{n} x_{n}\right)\right]$ and $C_{k}, a>0$ are constants depending on the function $\square$.

A function $\phi \in \mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ if and only if for $\mathrm{b}>0$ there exists a constant $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{k}} \phi(\mathrm{z})\right| \leq \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} \exp [\Omega(\mathrm{by})], \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z^{k}=z_{1}{ }^{k_{1}} z_{2}{ }^{k_{2}} z_{3}{ }^{k_{3}} \ldots . z_{n}{ }^{k_{n}}$,

$$
\exp [\Omega(\mathrm{by})]=\exp \left[\Omega_{1}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)+\Omega_{2}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{2} \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)+\ldots .+\Omega_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]
$$

and constants $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}>0, \mathrm{~b}>0$ depend on function $\phi$.
Now, we define the duality of the functions $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x})$ and $\Omega$ ( y ) in the following way:

Let $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ and $\Omega_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ be defined by (1.1) and (1.2) respectively and let $\mu_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\xi_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ and $\omega_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\eta_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ be mutually inverse, i.e. $\mu_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\eta_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\right)=\eta_{\mathrm{j}}$ and $\omega_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\xi_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\right)=\xi_{\mathrm{j}}$, then the corresponding functions $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ and $\Omega_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ are called dual in sense of Young. The Young inequality is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}} \leq \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)+\Omega_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \geq 0, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}} \geq 0, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equality holds if and only if $y_{j}=\mu_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)$ and $x_{j}$ varies in the interval $x_{j}^{0}<x_{j}<\infty$ and $y_{j}$ varies in the interval $y_{j}^{0}<y_{j}<\infty$. That equality will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)+\Omega_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)+\Omega_{\mathrm{j}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the papers ${ }^{1,2}$ the Fourier-duality relation is given by

$$
\mathrm{F}\left[\mathrm{~W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{F}\left[\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]=\left[\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]
$$

A linear partial differential operator $P(z, D)$ for $z=x+i y \in C^{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{D})=\sum_{|\xi| \leq \mathrm{m}} \mathrm{a}_{\alpha}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{D}^{(\alpha)} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{D}^{(\alpha)}=\mathrm{D}^{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} \mathrm{D}^{\left(\alpha_{2}\right)} \ldots \mathrm{D}^{\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}
$$

If we replace $\mathrm{D}^{(\alpha)}$ by a monomial $\xi^{\alpha} \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ then we get a symbol of (1.10). This symbol is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z}, \xi)=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq \mathrm{m}} \mathrm{a}_{\alpha}(\mathrm{z}) \xi^{\alpha} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take $\phi \in \mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ then by the property of Fourier transformation from (1.1) and (1.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{D}) \phi)(\mathrm{z}) & =\sum_{|\alpha| \leq \mathrm{m}} \mathrm{a}_{\alpha}(\mathrm{z})\left(\mathrm{D}^{(\alpha)} \phi\right)(\mathrm{z}) \\
& =\sum_{|\alpha| \leq \mathrm{m}} \mathrm{a}_{\alpha}(\mathrm{z})\left(\xi^{\alpha} \hat{\phi}\right)^{\vee}(\mathrm{z}) \\
& =\sum_{|\alpha| \leq \mathrm{m}} \mathrm{a}_{\alpha}(\mathrm{z})(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \xi^{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}<z, \xi>} \hat{\phi}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi \\
& =(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}<z, \xi\rangle}\left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq \mathrm{z}} \mathrm{a}_{\alpha}(\mathrm{z}) \xi^{\alpha}\right) \hat{\phi}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi \\
& =(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}<z, \xi\rangle} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{z}, \xi) \hat{\phi}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{D}) \phi)(\mathrm{z})=(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{z}, \xi>} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{z}, \xi) \hat{\phi}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies a representation of partial differential operator in terms of symbol $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{z}, \xi)$ by means of Fourier transformation. Instead of $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{z}, \xi)$, we take the general symbol $\theta(\mathrm{z}, \xi)$ for $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}, \xi \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ which are no longer polynomial in $\xi$. The operator is so called pseudo-differential operator.

Thus, the pseudo-differential operator associated with symbol $\theta(\mathrm{z}, \xi)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{A}_{\theta} \phi\right)(\mathrm{z})=(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}<z, \xi>} \theta(\mathrm{z}, \xi) \hat{\phi}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\theta(\mathrm{z}, \xi) \in \mathrm{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}} \times \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ which is assumed to be an entire analytic function with respect to $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{iy}, \xi \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is said to be in the class $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{m}}$ iff for any two multi-indices $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and there exists positive constant $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha, \beta}$, depending on $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{z}^{(\alpha)} D_{\xi}^{(\beta)} \theta(z, \xi)\right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}(1+|\xi|)^{m-|\beta|}, m \in R \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}, \xi \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$.

## 2. Properties of the Pseudo-differential Operator

In this section, we study the various properties of the pseudo-differential operators on $\mathrm{W}^{2}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$-space.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\sigma(z, \xi)$ be a symbol belonging to $V^{m}$. Then pseudodifferential operator $A_{\theta}$ maps $W^{\Omega}\left(C^{n}\right)$-into itself.

Proof. We have

$$
\left(\mathrm{A}_{\theta} \phi\right)(\mathrm{z})=(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}<z, \xi>} \theta(\mathrm{z}, \xi) \hat{\phi}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi .
$$

Now,

$$
(i z)^{k}\left(A_{\theta} \phi\right)(z)=(2 \pi)^{-n / 2} \int_{R^{n}} D_{\xi}{ }^{(k)}\left(e^{i<z, \xi>}\right) \theta(z, \xi) \hat{\phi}(\xi) d \xi .
$$

Integration by parts we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (i z)^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\theta} \phi\right)(\mathrm{z})=(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2}(-1)^{|\mathrm{k}|} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \ll, \xi\rangle} \mathrm{D}_{\xi}^{(\mathrm{k})}[\theta(\mathrm{z}, \xi) \hat{\phi}(\xi)] \mathrm{d} \xi \\
& \quad=(-1)^{|\mathrm{k}|}(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2} \sum_{|\mathrm{r}| \leq \mathrm{k}}\binom{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{r}} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}<z, \xi\rangle}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\xi}{ }^{(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r})} \theta\right)(\mathrm{z}, \xi) \mathrm{D}_{\xi}^{(\mathrm{r})} \hat{\phi}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,
$(\mathrm{iz})^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\theta} \phi\right)(\mathrm{z})=(-1)^{|\mathrm{k}|}(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2} \sum_{|\mathrm{r}| \leq \mathrm{k}}\binom{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{r}} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}<z, \xi^{\xi}+1>} \prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\xi_{\mathrm{i}}+1\right)^{-\left|\alpha_{i}\right|} \prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\xi_{\mathrm{i}}+1\right)^{\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right|}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{z}, 1>}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\xi}^{(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r})} \theta\right)(\mathrm{z}, \xi) \mathrm{D}_{\xi}^{(\mathrm{r})} \hat{\phi}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi \\
& =(-1)^{|\mathrm{k}|}(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2} \sum_{|\mathrm{r}| \leq \mathrm{k}}\binom{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{r}} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}}^{(\alpha)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{z}, \xi+1>}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{z}, 1>} \prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(1+\xi_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{-\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right|} \\
& \left(\mathrm{D}_{\xi}^{(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r})} \theta\right)(\mathrm{z}, \xi) \mathrm{D}_{\xi}^{(\mathrm{r})} \hat{\phi}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, integration by parts we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{iz})^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\theta} \phi\right)(\mathrm{z})=(-1)^{|\mathrm{k}|}(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2} \sum_{|\mathrm{r}| \leq \mathrm{k}}\binom{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{r}}(-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{z}, \xi+1>} \\
& \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+\xi_{i}\right)^{-\left|\alpha_{i}\right|} D_{z}^{(\alpha)}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}<z, 1>}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}}^{(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r})} \theta\right)(\mathrm{z}, \xi)\right] \mathrm{D}_{\xi}^{(\mathrm{r})} \hat{\phi}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi \\
& =(-1)^{|k|+|r|}(2 \pi)^{-n / 2} \sum_{|r| \leq k}\binom{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{r}} \sum_{\delta \leq \alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\delta} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}<\xi+1, \mathrm{z>}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}}^{(\delta)} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{z}, 1>} \\
& \left(D_{z}^{(\alpha-\delta)} D_{\xi}^{(k-r)} \theta\right)(z, \xi) \prod_{i=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(1+\xi_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{-\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right|} \mathrm{D}_{\xi}^{(\mathrm{r})} \hat{\phi}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\mathrm{iz})^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\theta} \phi\right)(\mathrm{z})=(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2}(-1)^{|\mathrm{k}|+|\alpha|} \sum_{|\mathrm{r}| \leq \mathrm{k}} \sum_{|\delta| \leq \alpha}\binom{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{r}}\binom{\alpha}{\delta} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}<\xi+1, \mathrm{z}\rangle}(1)^{|\delta|} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{z}, 1>} \\
&\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}}^{(\alpha-\delta)} \mathrm{D}_{\xi}^{(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r})} \theta\right)(\mathrm{z}, \xi) \prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(1+\xi_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{-\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right|} \mathrm{D}_{\xi}^{(\mathrm{r})} \hat{\phi}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi \\
&=(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2}(-1)^{|\mathrm{k}|+|\alpha|} \sum_{|\mathrm{r}| \leq \mathrm{k}} \sum_{|\delta| \leq \alpha}\binom{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{r}}\binom{\alpha}{\delta}(-1)^{|\delta|} \\
& \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}<\xi, \mathrm{z>}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}}^{(\alpha-\delta)} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}}^{(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r})} \theta\right)(\mathrm{z}, \xi) \prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(1+\xi_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{-\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right|} \mathrm{D}_{\xi}^{(\mathrm{r})} \hat{\phi}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking absolute of above expression we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\theta} \phi\right)(\mathrm{z})\right| \leq(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2} \sum_{|\mathrm{r}| \leq \alpha} \sum_{|\delta| \leq \alpha}\binom{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{r}}\binom{\alpha}{\delta} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \exp [-|\mathrm{y}||\xi|] \\
&\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{z}}^{(\alpha-\delta)} \mathrm{D}_{\xi}^{(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r})} \theta\right)(\mathrm{z}, \xi)\right|(1+|\xi|)^{-|\alpha|}\left|\mathrm{D}_{\xi}^{(\mathrm{r})} \hat{\phi}(\xi)\right| \mathrm{d} \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

Using arguments ${ }^{\mathbf{1 , 2}}$, the above expression yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\theta} \phi\right)(\mathrm{z})\right| \leq(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2} \sum_{|\mathrm{r}| \leq \mathrm{k}|\delta| \leq \alpha} \sum_{\mathrm{R}}\binom{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{r}}\binom{\alpha}{\delta} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha-\delta, \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}}(1+|\xi|)^{\mathrm{m}-|\mathrm{k}|+\mathrm{r}|-|\alpha|} \\
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{r}} \exp [-\mathrm{M}[(\mathrm{a} \xi)]-|\mathrm{y}||\xi|] \mathrm{d} \xi \\
\leq(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2} \sum_{|\mathrm{r}| \leq \mathrm{k} \mid} \sum_{|\delta| \leq \alpha}\binom{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{r}}\binom{\alpha}{\delta} \mathrm{C}_{\alpha-\delta, \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{r}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \exp \left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{a}_{0} \xi\right)\right] \exp [-\mathrm{M}[(\mathrm{a} \xi)]+|\mathrm{y}||\xi|] \mathrm{d} \xi .
$$

From (1.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm { A } _ { \theta } \phi \left((\mathrm{z}) \mid \leq(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2}\right.\right. \sum_{|\mathrm{r}| \leq \mathrm{k}|\mathrm{D}| \leq \alpha} \\
&\binom{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{r}}\binom{\alpha}{\delta} \mathrm{D}_{\alpha-\delta, \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}} \\
& \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \exp \left[-\mathrm{M}\left[\left(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{a}_{0}\right) \xi\right]+|\mathrm{y} \| \xi|\right] \mathrm{d} \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

From the paper ${ }^{7}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\theta} \phi\right)(\mathrm{z})\right| \leq(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{n} / 2} \sum_{|\mathrm{rr}| \leq \mathrm{k}| | 8 \mid \leq \alpha} \sum_{\alpha-\delta, k-r}^{\prime \prime} \exp \left[\Omega\left(\mathrm{a}-2 \mathrm{a}_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{y}\right] \\
& \quad \int_{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}} \exp \left[-\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{a}_{0} \xi\right)\right] \mathrm{d} \xi \\
& \leq \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}} \exp \left[\Omega\left[\left(\mathrm{a}-2 \mathrm{a}_{0}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{y}\right] .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\left(\mathrm{A}_{\theta} \phi\right)(\mathrm{z}) \in \mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) .
$$

Theorem 2.2. $A_{\theta}$ is continuous linear mapping from $\left[\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]$ into / $\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ ].

Proof. From the paper ${ }^{2}$, if $\phi_{v} \rightarrow 0$ converges to zero uniformly in any bounded domain of the z-plane and in addition it satisfies the inequalities

$$
\left|z^{\mathrm{k}} \phi_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{z})\right| \leq \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} \exp [\Omega(\mathrm{by})]
$$

where the constants $C_{k}$ and $b$ do not depend on the index $v$, then $\phi_{v} \in\left[\mathrm{~W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]$ is said to converge to zero as $v \rightarrow \infty$. If $\phi_{v} \in\left[\mathrm{~W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]$ then from Theorem 2.1 the pseudo-differential operator $A_{\theta} \phi_{v}$ is a linear mapping from $W^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ into itself. Now using the above arguments $\mathrm{A}_{\theta} \phi_{v}$ converges uniformly to zero in any bounded domain of the z-plane and in addition it satisfies the inequalities

$$
\left|\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\theta} \phi_{\mathrm{v}}\right)(\mathrm{z})\right| \leq \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} \exp [\Omega(\mathrm{by})]
$$

where the constants $C_{k}$ and $b$ do not depend on the index $v$. Then $A_{\theta} \phi_{v} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $v \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that $\mathrm{A}_{\theta} \phi$ maps $\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ continuously into itself.

Now we define a distribution of $\mathrm{A}_{\theta} \mathrm{f}$ in $\left[\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]^{\prime}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{A}_{\theta} \mathrm{f}, \phi\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{~A}_{\theta}^{*} \bar{\phi}\right\rangle, \phi \in\left[\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right] \text { and } \mathrm{f} \in\left[\mathrm{~W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]^{\prime}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{\theta}^{*}$ is a formal adjoint of $A_{\theta}$.

Theorem 2.3. $\mathrm{A}_{\theta}$ is a linear mapping from $\left[\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]^{\prime}$ into $\left[\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{f} \in\left[\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]^{\prime}$. Then, for any sequence of functions $\left\{\phi_{v}\right\} \in\left[W^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]$ converging uniformly to zero in any bounded domain of the z-plane in $\left[\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$. Then from (15), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{A}_{\theta} \mathrm{f}, \phi_{v}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{~A}_{\theta}^{*} \overline{\phi_{v}}\right\rangle, \phi \in \mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \text { and } v=1,2,3 \ldots \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By theorem 2.2 we have $A_{\theta}^{*} \overline{\phi_{v}} \rightarrow 0$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$. Hence using (2.2) we observe that $\mathrm{f} \in\left[\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]^{\prime}$. Therefore, we conclude that $\left\langle\mathrm{A}_{\theta} \mathrm{f}, \phi_{v}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that $\mathrm{A}_{\theta} \mathrm{f} \in\left[\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]^{\prime}$.

Definition 2.2. A sequence of distributions $\left\{f_{v}\right\} \in\left[W^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]^{\prime}$ is said to converge to zero in $\left[\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{n}\right)\right]^{\prime}$ if $\left\langle\mathrm{A}_{\theta} \mathrm{f}_{v}, \phi\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$, for all $\phi \in\left[\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]$.

Theorem 2.4. $A_{\theta}$ is a continuous linear mapping from $\left[\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]^{\prime}$ into $\left[\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$. Then using definition 2.2 and (2.1) we find that

$$
\left\langle\mathrm{A}_{\theta} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{v}}, \phi\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{v}}, \mathrm{~A}_{\theta}^{*} \bar{\phi}_{v}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \text { as } v \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Hence, $A_{\theta} f_{v} \rightarrow 0$ in $\left[W^{\Omega}\left(C^{n}\right)\right]^{\prime}$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$.
This implies that $\mathrm{A}_{\theta}$ is a continuous linear mapping from $\left[\mathrm{W}^{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right.$ ]' into itself.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\sigma(z, \quad \xi)$ be a symbol in $V^{0}$. Then, $\mathrm{A}_{\theta}: \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is bounded linear operator for $1 \leq p<\infty$.

Proof. The proof of above theorem is similar to Wong ${ }^{3}$ (pp. 79-88).
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