# **Pseudo-Differential Operators on** $W^{\Omega}(C^n)$ – Space<sup>\*</sup>

S. K. Upadhyay

Department of Applied Mathematics Institute of Technology and DST- CIMS Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi – 221 005, India E-mail: <u>sk\_upadhyay@yahoo.com</u>

(Received February 20, 2010)

Abstract: A pseudo-differential operator on  $W(C^n)$  space is defined and using the theory of Fourier transformation its various properties are studied.

**Keywords:** Pseudo-differential operator, convex function, Fourier transformation, Sobolev space.

AMS Classification: 46F12, 46F05.

### 1. Introduction

The spaces  $W_M(R^n), W^{\Omega}(C^n)$  were investigated by Friedman<sup>1</sup> and Gel'fand and Shilov<sup>2</sup>. It was shown that the Fourier transformation

 $F: W_M(\mathbb{R}^n) \to W^{\Omega}(\mathbb{C}^n), F: W^{\Omega}(\mathbb{C}^n) \to W_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ 

is linear and continuous, where M,  $\Omega$  are convex functions and R<sup>n</sup>, C<sup>n</sup> are spaces of n- dimensional real and complex numbers.

The theory of pseudo-differential operators is given by Wong<sup>3</sup>, Zaidman<sup>4</sup>, Pathak<sup>5</sup> and others. They studied pseudo-differential operator by exploiting the theory of Fourier transformation on Schwartz space, Geverey type space and other spaces also.

Pseudo-differential operators on certain Gel'fand and Shilov space were studied by Cappiello, Gramchev and L. Rodino<sup>6</sup> by using theory of Fourier transformation.

Our main aim in this paper is to define the pseudo-differential operator on  $W^{\Omega}(C^n)$ -space and to study its various properties by the Fourier transformation tool because its distributional space  $[W^{\Omega}(C^n)']$  is more general than Schwartz distributional space  $[S(R^n)]'$ .

Now, we recall the definitions of  $W_M(R^n)$ ,  $W^{\Omega}(C^n)$ -spaces and pseudodifferential operator from the papers<sup>1,2</sup> on  $W^{\Omega}(C^n)$ -space.

\*Presented at CONIAPS XI, University of Allahabad, Feb.20-22, 2010.

Let  $M_i$  and  $\Omega_i$  be the convex functions such that

(1.1) 
$$M_j(x_j) = \int_0^{x_j} \mu_j(\xi_j) d\xi_j \ (x_j \ge 0)$$

(1.2) 
$$\Omega_{j}(\mathbf{y}_{j}) = \int_{0}^{y_{j}} \omega_{j}(\eta_{j}) \, \mathrm{d}\eta_{j} \, (\mathbf{y}_{j} \ge 0)$$

for  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots n$ . We set

$$\mu(\xi) = ((\mu_1(\xi_1)), ..., (\mu_n(\xi_n)), \\ \omega(\eta) = ((\omega_1(\eta_i)), ..., (\omega_\eta(\eta_n)))$$

and

(1.3) 
$$M_j(-x_j) = M_j(x_j), M_j(x_j) + M_j(x_j) \le M_j(x_j + x_j)$$

(1.4) 
$$\Omega_{j}(-y_{j}) = \Omega_{j}(y_{j}), \ \Omega_{j}(y_{j}) + \Omega_{j}(y_{j}') \leq \Omega_{j}(y_{j} + y_{j}')$$

The space  $W_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consists of all  $C^{\infty}$ -functions which satisfy the inequalities:

(1.5) 
$$\left| D_x^{(k)} \phi(x) \right| \leq C_k \exp[-M(ax)]$$

where  $D_x^{(k)} = D_x^{(k_1)} D_x^{(k_2)} \dots D_x^{(k_n)}$ ,  $k = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$  and exp  $[-M(ax)] = exp [-M_1 (a_1x_1) - M_2(a_2x_2) \dots - M_n (a_nx_n)]$  and  $C_k$ , a > 0 are constants depending on the function  $\Box$ .

A function  $\varphi\!\in\!W^\Omega\left(C^n\right)$  if and only if for b>0 there exists a constant  $C_k\!>\!0$  such that

(1.6) 
$$|z^k\phi(z)| \leq C_k \exp[\Omega(by)],$$

where  $z^{k} = z_{1}^{k_{1}} z_{2}^{k_{2}} z_{3}^{k_{3}} \dots z_{n}^{k_{n}}$ ,

 $\exp[\Omega(by)] = \exp[\Omega_{1}(b_{1}y_{1}) + \Omega_{2}(b_{2}y_{2}) + .... + \Omega_{n}(b_{n}y_{n})]$ 

and constants  $C_k > 0$ , b > 0 depend on function  $\phi$ .

Now, we define the duality of the functions M(x) and  $\Omega$  (y) in the following way:

Let  $M_j(x_j)$  and  $\Omega_j(y_j)$  be defined by (1.1) and (1.2) respectively and let  $\mu_j(\xi_j)$  and  $\omega_j(\eta_j)$  be mutually inverse, i.e.  $\mu_j(\omega_j(\eta_j)) = \eta_j$  and  $\omega_j(\mu_j(\xi_j)) = \xi_j$ , then the corresponding functions  $M_j(x_j)$  and  $\Omega_j(y_j)$  are called dual in sense of Young. The Young inequality is

(1.7) 
$$x_j y_j \le M_j(x_j) + \Omega_j(x'_j), x_j \ge 0, y_j \ge 0,$$

where the equality holds if and only if  $y_j = \mu_j(x_j)$  and  $x_j$  varies in the interval  $x_j^0 < x_j < \infty$  and  $y_j$  varies in the interval  $y_j^0 < y_j < \infty$ . That equality will be

(1.8) 
$$x_j y_j = M_j^0(x_j) + \Omega_j(y_j)$$

and

(1.9) 
$$x_j y_j = M_j(x_j) + \Omega_j^0(y_j).$$

From the papers<sup>1,2</sup> the Fourier-duality relation is given by

$$F\left[W^{\Omega}(C^{n})\right] = W_{M}(R^{n}), F\left[W_{M}(R^{n})\right] = \left[W^{\Omega}(C^{n})\right].$$

A linear partial differential operator P (z, D) for  $z = x + iy \in C^n$  is given by

(1.10) 
$$P(z,D) = \sum_{|\xi| \le m} a_{\alpha}(z) D^{(\alpha)}$$

where  $D^{(\alpha)} = D^{(\alpha_1)} D^{(\alpha_2)} \dots D^{(\alpha_n)}$ 

If we replace  $D^{(\alpha)}$  by a monomial  $\xi^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  then we get a symbol of (1.10). This symbol is

(1.11) 
$$P(z,\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} a_{\alpha}(z)\xi^{\alpha}$$

We take  $\phi \in W^{\Omega}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  then by the property of Fourier transformation from (1.1) and (1.2)

$$(P(z, D)\phi)(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} a_{\alpha}(z) (D^{(\alpha)}\phi)(z)$$
$$= \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} a_{\alpha}(z) (\xi^{\alpha} \stackrel{\wedge}{\phi})^{\vee}(z)$$
$$= \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} a_{\alpha}(z) (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \xi^{\alpha} e^{i < z, \xi >} \stackrel{\wedge}{\phi}(\xi) d\xi$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{i < z, \xi >} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le z} a_{\alpha}(z) \xi^{\alpha}\right) \stackrel{\wedge}{\phi}(\xi) d\xi$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{i < z, \xi >} p(z, \xi) \stackrel{\wedge}{\phi}(\xi) d\xi$$

Hence,

(1.12) 
$$(P(z,D)\phi)(z) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle z,\xi \rangle} p(z,\xi) \dot{\phi}(\xi) d\xi$$

which implies a representation of partial differential operator in terms of symbol  $p(z, \xi)$  by means of Fourier transformation. Instead of  $p(z, \xi)$ , we take the general symbol  $\theta(z, \xi)$  for  $z \in C^n$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  which are no longer polynomial in  $\xi$ . The operator is so called pseudo-differential operator.

Thus, the pseudo-differential operator associated with symbol  $\theta(z, \xi)$  is defined by

(1.13) 
$$(A_{\theta}\phi)(z) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle z,\xi\rangle} \theta(z,\xi) \overset{\wedge}{\phi}(\xi) d\xi.$$

The function  $\theta(z,\xi) \in C^{\infty}(C^n \times R^n)$  which is assumed to be an entire analytic function with respect to  $z=x+iy, \xi \in R^n$  is said to be in the class  $V^m$  iff for any two multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and there exists positive constant  $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ , depending on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that

(1.14) 
$$\left| D_{z}^{(\alpha)} D_{\xi}^{(\beta)} \theta(z,\xi) \right| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \left( 1 + |\xi| \right)^{m-|\beta|}, m \in \mathbb{R}$$

and  $z \in C^n$ ,  $\xi \in R^n$ .

# 2. Properties of the Pseudo-differential Operator

In this section, we study the various properties of the pseudo-differential operators on  $W^{\Omega}(C^n)$ -space.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\sigma$  (z,  $\xi$ ) be a symbol belonging to  $V^m$ . Then pseudodifferential operator  $A_{\theta}$  maps  $W^{\Omega}(C^n)$ -into itself.

**Proof.** We have

$$(A_{\theta}\phi)(z) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle z,\xi\rangle} \theta(z,\xi) \hat{\phi}(\xi) d\xi \cdot$$

Now,

$$(iz)^{k} (A_{\theta} \phi)(z) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{R^{n}} D_{\xi}^{(k)}(e^{i\langle z,\xi \rangle}) \theta(z,\xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi$$

Integration by parts we get

$$(iz)^{k} (A_{\theta} \phi)(z) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} (-1)^{|k|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{i \langle z, \xi \rangle} D_{\xi}^{(k)} [\theta(z, \xi) \hat{\phi}(\xi)] d\xi$$
$$= (-1)^{|k|} (2\pi)^{-n/2} \sum_{|r| \leq k} {\binom{k}{r}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{i \langle z, \xi \rangle} \Big( D_{\xi}^{(k-r)} \theta \Big)(z, \xi) D_{\xi}^{(r)} \hat{\phi}(\xi) d\xi$$

Hence,

$$(iz)^{k} (A_{\theta} \phi)(z) = (-1)^{|k|} (2\pi)^{-n/2} \sum_{|r| \le k} {k \choose r} \int_{R^{n}} e^{i < z, \xi + 1 >} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\xi_{i} + 1)^{-|\alpha_{i}|} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\xi_{i} + 1)^{|\alpha_{i}|}$$

$$\begin{split} e^{-i < z, l>} \left( D_{\xi}^{(k-r)} \theta \right) &(z, \xi) \ D_{\xi}^{(r)} \stackrel{\wedge}{\varphi}(\xi) \, d\xi \\ &= (-1)^{|k|} \left( 2\pi \right)^{-n/2} \sum_{|r| \le k} {k \choose r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_z^{(\alpha)} \left( e^{i < z, \xi + l>} \right) e^{-i < z, l>} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \xi_i)^{-|\alpha_i|} \\ &\left( D_{\xi}^{(k-r)} \theta \right) (z, \xi) \ D_{\xi}^{(r)} \stackrel{\wedge}{\varphi}(\xi) \, d\xi \cdot \\ &\text{Again, integration by parts we obtain} \\ &(iz)^k \left( A_{\theta} \varphi \right) (z) = (-1)^{|k|} (2\pi)^{-n/2} \sum_{|r| \le k} {k \choose r} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i < z, \xi + l>} \\ &\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \xi_i)^{-|\alpha_i|} D_z^{(\alpha)} \left[ e^{-i < z, l>} \left( D_z^{(k-r)} \theta \right) (z, \xi) \right] D_{\xi}^{(r)} \stackrel{\wedge}{\varphi}(\xi) \, d\xi \\ &= (-1)^{|k|+|r|} \left( 2\pi \right)^{-n/2} \sum_{|r| \le k} {k \choose r} \sum_{\delta \le \alpha} {\alpha \choose \delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i < \xi + l, z>} D_z^{(\delta)} e^{-i < z, l>} \\ &\left( D_z^{(\alpha - \delta)} D_{\xi}^{(k-r)} \theta \right) (z, \xi) \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \xi_i)^{-|\alpha_i|} D_{\xi}^{(r)} \stackrel{\wedge}{\varphi}(\xi) \, d\xi \cdot \\ \end{split}$$

Hence

$$(iz)^{k} (A_{\theta} \phi)(z) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} (-1)^{|k|+|\alpha|} \sum_{|r| \le k} \sum_{|\delta| \le \alpha} {k \choose r} {\alpha \choose \delta} \int_{R^{n}} e^{i < \xi + 1, z >} (1)^{|\delta|} e^{-i < z, 1 >} \left( D_{z}^{(\alpha - \delta)} D_{\xi}^{(k-r)} \theta \right)(z, \xi) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \xi_{i})^{-|\alpha_{i}|} D_{\xi}^{(r)} \widehat{\phi}(\xi) d\xi = (2\pi)^{-n/2} (-1)^{|k|+|\alpha|} \sum_{|r| \le k} \sum_{|\delta| \le \alpha} {k \choose r} {\alpha \choose \delta} (-1)^{|\delta|} \int_{R^{n}} e^{i < \xi, z >} \left( D_{z}^{(\alpha - \delta)} D_{z}^{(k-r)} \theta \right)(z, \xi) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \xi_{i})^{-|\alpha_{i}|} D_{\xi}^{(r)} \widehat{\phi}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Taking absolute of above expression we get

$$|z^{k} (A_{\theta} \phi)(z)| \leq (2\pi)^{-n/2} \sum_{|r| \leq \alpha} \sum_{|\delta| \leq \alpha} {\binom{k}{r}} {\binom{\alpha}{\delta}}_{R^{n}} \exp\left[-|y||\xi|\right]$$
$$|\left(D_{z}^{(\alpha-\delta)} D_{\xi}^{(k-r)} \theta\right)(z,\xi) |(1+|\xi|)^{-|\alpha|} |D_{\xi}^{(r)} \stackrel{\wedge}{\phi}(\xi)|d\xi|$$

Using arguments<sup>1,2</sup>, the above expression yields

$$|z^{k}(A_{\theta}\phi)(z)| \leq (2\pi)^{-n/2} \sum_{|r| \leq k} \sum_{|\delta| \leq \alpha} {\binom{k}{r}} {\binom{\alpha}{\delta}} \int_{R^{n}} C_{\alpha-\delta,k-r} (1+|\xi|)^{m-|k|+|r|-|\alpha|}$$
$$D_{r} \exp\left[-M\left[(a\xi)\right] - |y||\xi|\right] d\xi$$
$$\leq (2\pi)^{-n/2} \sum_{|r| \leq k} \sum_{|\delta| \leq \alpha} {\binom{k}{r}} {\binom{\alpha}{\delta}} C_{\alpha-\delta,k-r} D_{r}$$

S. K. Upadhyay

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp[M(a_0\xi)] \exp[-M[(a\xi)] + |y||\xi|] d\xi \cdot$$

From (1.3), we have

$$|z^{k}(A_{\theta}\phi((z))| \leq (2\pi)^{-n/2} \sum_{|r| \leq k} \sum_{|\delta| \leq \alpha} {k \choose r} {\alpha \choose \delta} D_{\alpha-\delta,k-r}$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \exp\left[-M\left[(a-a_{0})\xi\right] + |y||\xi|\right] d\xi$$

From the paper<sup>7</sup>, we have

$$|z^{k}(A_{\theta}\phi)(z)| \leq (2\pi)^{-n/2} \sum_{|r| \leq k} \sum_{|\delta| \leq \alpha} D_{\alpha-\delta,k-r}^{"} \exp[\Omega(a-2a_{0})^{-1}y]$$
$$\int_{R^{n}} \exp[-M(a_{0}\xi)]d\xi$$
$$\leq D_{n} \exp[\Omega[(a-2a_{0})^{-1}y].$$

This implies that

$$(\mathbf{A}_{\theta}\phi)(\mathbf{z})\in \mathbf{W}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{C}^{n}).$$

**Theorem 2.2.**  $A_{\theta}$  is continuous linear mapping from  $[W^{\Omega}(C^{n})]$  into  $[W^{\Omega}(C^{n})]$ .

**Proof.** From the paper<sup>2</sup>, if  $\phi_v \rightarrow 0$  converges to zero uniformly in any bounded domain of the z-plane and in addition it satisfies the inequalities

$$|z^{k}\phi_{v}(z)| \leq C_{k} \exp[\Omega(by)],$$

where the constants  $C_k$  and b do not depend on the index v, then  $\phi_v \in [W^{\Omega}(C^n)]$  is said to converge to zero as  $v \to \infty$ . If  $\phi_v \in [W^{\Omega}(C^n)]$ then from Theorem 2.1 the pseudo-differential operator  $A_{\theta} \phi_v$  is a linear mapping from  $W^{\Omega}(C^n)$  into itself. Now using the above arguments  $A_{\theta} \phi_v$ converges uniformly to zero in any bounded domain of the z-plane and in addition it satisfies the inequalities

$$|z^{k}(A_{\theta}\phi_{v})(z)| \leq C_{k} \exp[\Omega(by)],$$

where the constants  $C_k$  and b do not depend on the index v. Then  $A_\theta \phi_v \to 0$ uniformly as  $v \to \infty$ . This implies that  $A_\theta \phi$  maps  $W^{\Omega}(C^n)$  continuously into itself.

Now we define a distribution of  $A_{\theta}f$  in  $[W^{\Omega}\left( C^{n}\right) ]'$  by

(2.1) 
$$\langle A_{\theta}f, \phi \rangle = \langle f, A_{\theta}^* \overline{\phi} \rangle, \phi \in [W^{\Omega}(C^n)] \text{ and } f \in [W^{\Omega}(C^n)]',$$

where  $\,A_{\theta}^{*}\,$  is a formal adjoint of  $A_{\theta}\,.$ 

**Theorem 2.3.**  $A_{\theta}$  is a linear mapping from  $[W^{\Omega}(C^{n})]'$  into  $[W^{\Omega}(C^{n})]'$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f \in [W^{\Omega}(C^n)]'$ . Then, for any sequence of functions  $\{\phi_{\nu}\} \in [W^{\Omega}(C^n)]$  converging uniformly to zero in any bounded domain of the z-plane in  $[W^{\Omega}(C^n)]$  as  $\nu \to \infty$ . Then from (15), we have

(2.2) 
$$\langle A_{\theta}f, \phi_{\nu} \rangle = \langle f, A_{\theta}^* \overline{\phi_{\nu}} \rangle, \phi \in W^{\Omega}(\mathbb{C}^n) \text{ and } \nu = 1, 2, 3..$$

By theorem 2.2 we have  $A_{\theta}^* \overline{\phi_{\nu}} \to 0$  as  $\nu \to \infty$ . Hence using (2.2) we observe that  $f \in [W^{\Omega}(C^n)]'$ . Therefore, we conclude that  $\langle A_{\theta}f, \phi_{\nu} \rangle \to 0$  as  $\nu \to \infty$ . This implies that  $A_{\theta}f \in [W^{\Omega}(C^n)]'$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A sequence of distributions  $\{f_v\} \in [W^{\Omega}(C^n)]'$  is said to converge to zero in  $[W^{\Omega}(C^n)]'$  if  $\langle A_{\theta}f_v, \phi \rangle \rightarrow 0$  as  $v \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $\phi \in [W^{\Omega}(C^n)]$ .

**Theorem 2.4.**  $A_{\theta}$  is a continuous linear mapping from  $\left[W^{\Omega}(C^{n})\right]'$  into  $\left[W^{\Omega}(C^{n})\right]'$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\phi \in W^{\Omega}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Then using definition 2.2 and (2.1) we find that

 $\langle \mathbf{A}_{\theta} \mathbf{f}_{\nu}, \phi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{f}_{\nu}, \mathbf{A}_{\theta}^* \overline{\phi}_{\nu} \rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \nu \rightarrow \infty$ 

Hence,  $A_{\theta}f_{\nu} \rightarrow 0$  in  $[W^{\Omega}(C^{n})]$ ' as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies that  $A_{0}$  is a continuous linear mapping.

This implies that  $A_{\theta}$  is a continuous linear mapping from  $[W^{\Omega}(C^{n})]'$  into itself.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $\sigma$  (z,  $\xi$ ) be a symbol in  $V^0$ . Then,  $A_{\theta}: L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \to L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  is bounded linear operator for  $l \leq p < \infty$ .

**Proof.** The proof of above theorem is similar to Wong<sup>3</sup> (pp. 79-88).

## References

- 1. A. Friedman, *Generalized Functions and Partial Differential Equations*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J. (1963).
- I. M. Gelfand and G. E. Shilov, Generalized Functions, *Academic Press, New York*, 3 (1967).
- 3. M. W. Wong, *An Introduction to Pseudo-differential Operators*, World Scientific, Singapore 1991.

#### S. K. Upadhyay

- 4. S. Zaidman, Distributions and Pseudo-differential Operators, Longman 1991.
- R. S. Pathak, Generalized Sobolev Spaces and Pseudo-differential Operators on Spaces of Ultradistributions, in: M. Morimoto and T. Kanal (Eds.), Structures and Solutions of Differential Equations, *World Scientific, Singapore*, 343-368 (1996).
- 6. M. Cappiello, Todar Gramchev and L. Rodino, Gel'fand Shilov Spaces, Pseudo-differential Operators and Localization Operators, *Operator Theory Advances and Applications*, **172** (2006)297-312.
- R. S. Pathak and S. K. Upadhyay, W<sup>p</sup>-Spaces and Fourier Transformation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 121 (3) (1994).