Fixed Point Theorems for Compatible and Non Compatible Self Maps

Giniswamy

Department of Mathematics
P. E. S College of Science, Arts and Commerce, Mandya, India
Email: gswamypes@gmail.com

C. Jevanthi

Department of Mathematics
Teresian College, Siddartha Nagar, Mysore – 570011.
Email: jaiprab@yahoo.co.in

P. G. Maheshwari

Department of Mathematics Govt. First Grade College, Vijaya Nagar, Bangalore Email: maheshwari616@yahoo.com

(Received January 5, 2012)

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to prove common fixed point theorems using the concept of weak reciprocal continuity for compatible and non-compatible maps in metric space. These results generalize the common fixed point theorems by Pant, Bisht and Arora¹ and thereby generalize several fixed point theorems.

Keywords: Fixed points, R - weakly commuting maps, compatible and non compatible maps, weak reciprocal continuity.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25.

1. Introduction

Kannan² in 1968 proved a fixed point theorem for a map satisfying a contractive condition that did not require continuity at each point. Most of the common fixed point theorems for contraction mappings invariably require a compatibility condition besides assuming continuity of at least one of the mappings. From then onwards, the study of common fixed points of mappings satisfying contractive conditions has been an area of vigorous research activity. Sessa³ defined concept of weakly commuting. Then Jungck generalized this idea first to compatible mappings^{4,5} and then to weakly compatible mappings⁶. In 1999, Pant⁷ introduced concept of

reciprocal continuous and obtained a common fixed theorem for compatible mappings in which the fixed point was a point of discontinuity for all the mappings. The present paper employs the recent notion of weak reciprocal continuity to obtain new fixed point theorems for compatible as well as non compatible mappings.

Definition: 1. Two self maps f and g of a metric space (X, d) are called compatible if $\lim_n d(fgx_n, gfx_n) = 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_n fx_n = \lim_n gx_n = t$ for some t in X. Thus the mappings f and g will be noncompatible if there exists at least one sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $\lim_n fx_n = \lim_n gx_n = t$ for some t in X but $\lim_n d(fgx_n, gfx_n)$ is either nonzero or nonexistent.

Definition: 2. Two selfmappings f and g of a metric space (X, d) are called R-weakly commuting⁸ at a point x in X if $d(fgx, gfx) \le Rd(fx, gx)$ for some R > 0. The two self-maps f and g are called pointwise R-weakly commuting on X if given x in X there exists R > 0 such that $d(fgx, gfx) \le R$ d(fx, gx).

Definition: 3. Two selfmappings f and g of a metric space (X, d) are called R-weakly commuting of type (A_g) if there exists some positive real number R such that $d(ffx, gfx) \le Rd(fx, gx)$ for all x in X. Similarly, two selfmappings f and g of a metric space (X, d) are called R-weakly commuting of type (A_f) if there exists some positive real number R such that $d(fgx, ggx) \le Rd(fx, gx)$ for all x in X.

Definition: 4. Two selfmappings f and g of a metric space (X, d) are called reciprocally continuous if $\lim_{n\to\infty} fgx_n = ft$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} gfx_n = gt$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\lim_n fx_n = \lim_n gx_n = t$ for some t in X.

Definition: 5. Two selfmappings f and g of a metric space (X, d) are called weakly reciprocally continuous if $\lim_n fgx_n = ft$ or $\lim_n gfx_n = gt$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\lim_n fx_n = \lim_n gx_n = t$ for some t in X.

2. Main Results

Theorem: 1. Let f and g be weakly reciprocally continuous self mappings of a complete metric space (X, d) such that $(i) fX \subseteq gX$

(ii)
$$d(fx, fy) \le a \ d(gx, gy) + b \ d(fx, gx) + c \ d(fy, gy) + e[\ d(fx, gy) + d(fy, gx)]$$
 with $0 \le a, b, c, e < 1$ and $0 \le a + b + c + 2e < 1$.

If f and g are either compatible or R-weakly commuting of type (A_g) or R-weakly commuting of type (A_f) then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let x_o be any point in X. Then since $fX \subseteq gX$, there exists a sequence of points x_o , x_I , x_2 , ... x_n , ... such that x_{n+1} is in the preimage under g of fx_n that is,

$$fx_0 = gx_1, fx_1 = gx_2, ..., fx_n = gx_{n+1}, ...$$

Also define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X by

(1)
$$y_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1}$$
 $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$

We claim that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Using (ii) we have

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})$$

$$\leq a \ d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + b \ d(fx_n, gx_n) + c \ d(fx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1})$$

$$+ e[\ d(fx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(fx_{n+1}, gx_n)]$$

$$= a \ d(y_{n-1}, y_n) + b \ d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + c \ d(y_{n+1}, y_n)$$

$$+ e[\ d(y_n, y_n) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n-1})]$$

or

$$d(y_n, y_{n+l}) \leq \left(\frac{a+b+s}{1-(s+s)}\right) d(y_{n-l}, y_n) = k d(y_{n-l}, y_n), \text{ where } k = \left(\frac{a+b+s}{1-(s+s)}\right) < 1.$$

Also for every integer p > 0, we get

$$d(y_n, y_{n+p}) \leq d(y_n, y_{n+l}) + d(y_{n+l}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{n+p-l}, y_{n+p})$$

$$\leq (1 + k + k^2 + \dots + k^{p-l}) d(y_n, y_{n+l})$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1-k^{p}}{1-k}\right) k^n d(y_o, y_l).$$

That is $d(y_n, y_{n+p}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, there exists a point t in X such that $y_n \to t$ as $n \to \infty$. Moreover, $y_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1} \to t$.

Suppose that f and g are compatible mappings. Now, weak reciprocal continuity of f and g implies that $fgx_n \to ft$ or $gfx_n \to gt$. Firstly let $gfx_n \to gt$.

Then compatibility of f and g gives $\lim_n d(fgx_n, gfx_n) = 0$. As $n \to \infty$ we get $fgx_n \to gt$. Using (1) this yields $fgx_{n+1} = ffx_n \to gt$. Using (ii) we get

$$d(ft, ffx_n) \le a \ d(gt, gfx_n) + b \ d(ft,gt) + c \ d(ffx_n, gfx_n) + e[\ d(ft, gfx_n) + d(ffx_n, gt)].$$

On letting $n \to \infty$ we get ft = gt, since b+e < 1. Since compatibility implies commutativity at coincidence point, we get fft = fgt = gft = ggt. Using (ii) we get

$$d(ft, fft) \le a \ d(gt, gft) + b \ d(ft, gt) + c \ d(fft, gft) + e[\ d(ft, gft) + d(fft, gt)]$$
$$= (a + 2e) \ d(ft, fft),$$

that is, ft = fft. Hence ft = fft = gft and ft is a common fixed point of f and g.

Next, suppose that $fgx_n \to ft$. Then $fX \subseteq gX$ implies that ft = gu for some $u \in X$ and $fgx_n \to gu$. Compatibility of f and g implies $gfx_n \to gu$. By virtue of (1) this gives $fgx_{n+1} = ffx_n \to gu$. Using (ii) we get

$$d(fu, ffx_n) \le a \ d(gu, gfx_n) + b \ d(fu, gu) + c \ d(ffx_n, gfx_n)$$

$$+ e[\ d(fu, gfx_n) + d(ffx_n, gu)].$$

As $n \to \infty$ we get fu = gu, since b+e < 1. Compatibility of f and g gives fgu = ggu = ffu = gfu. Finally, using (ii), we get

$$d(fu, ffu) \le a \ d(gu, gfu) + b \ d(fu, gu) + c \ d(ffu, gfu)$$
$$+ e[\ d(fu, gfu) + d(ffu, gu)]$$
$$= (a + 2e) \ d(fu, ffu),$$

that is, fu = ffu. Hence fu = ffu = gfu and fu is a common fixed point of f and g.

Now suppose that f and g are R-weakly commuting of type (A_g) . Weak reciprocal continuity of f and g implies that $fgx_n \to ft$ or $gfx_n \to gt$. Let $gfx_n \to gt$. Then R-weak commutativity of type (A_g) of f and g gives d $(ffx_n, gfx_n) \le Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$. As $n \to \infty$ we get $ffx_n \to gt$. Also, using (ii) we get

$$d(ft, ffx_n) \leq a d(gt, gfx_n) + b d(ft,gt) + c d(ffx_n, gfx_n) + e[d(ft, gfx_n) + d(ffx_n, gt)].$$

On letting $n \to \infty$ we get ft = gt, since b + e < 1. R- weak commutativity of type (A_g) implies $d(fft, gft) \le Rd(ft, gt)$. This gives fft = gft or fft = fgt = gft = ggt. Using (ii) we get

$$d(ft, fft) \leq a d(gt, gft) + b d(ft,gt) + c d(fft, gft) + e[d(ft, gft) + d(fft,gt)]$$

$$= (a + 2e) d(ft, fft),$$

that is, ft = fft. Hence ft = fft = gft and ft is a common fixed point of f and g.

Next, suppose that $fgx_n \to ft$. Then $fX \subseteq gX$ implies that ft = gu for some $u \in X$ and $fgx_n \to gu$. By virtue of (1) this gives $ffx_n \to gu$. R-weak commutativity of f and g of type (A_g) gives $d(ffx_n, gfx_n) \le Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$. As $n \to \infty$ we get $gfx_n \to gu$. Now, using (ii) we have

$$d(fu, ffx_n) \le a \ d(gu, gfx_n) + b \ d(fu, gu) + c \ d(ffx_n, gfx_n) + e[\ d(fu, gfx_n) + d(ffx_n, gu)].$$

As $n \to \infty$ we get fu = gu, since b+e < 1. Again, R-weak commutativity of type (A_g) implies

 $d(ffu, gfu) \le Rd(fu, gu)$. This gives ffu = gfu and ffu = fgu = gfu = ggu. Finally, using (ii) we get

$$d(fu, ffu) \le ad(gu, gfu) + bd(fu, gu) + c d(ffu, gfu) + e[d(fu, gfu) + d(ffu, gu)]$$

= (a + 2e) d(fu, ffu),

that is, fu = ffu, since a + 2e < 1. Hence fu = ffu = gfu and fu is a common fixed point of f and g.

Finally, suppose that f and g are R-weakly commuting of type (A_f) . Now, weak reciprocal continuity of f and g implies that $fgx_n \to ft$ or $gfx_n \to gt$. Let $gfx_n \to gt$. Then R-weak commutativity of type (A_f) gives $d(fgx_n, ggx_n) \le Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$. As $n \to \infty$ and by (1), we get $fgx_n \to gt$. Also, using (ii) we get

$$d(ft, fgx_n) \leq a \ d(gt, ggx_n) + b \ d(ft, gt) + c \ d(fgx_n, ggx_n) + e[\ d(ft, ggx_n) + d(fgx_n, gt)].$$

On letting $n \to \infty$ we get ft = gt, since b + e < 1. By the R- weak commutativity of type (A_f), we have $d(fgt, ggt) \le Rd(ft, gt)$. This gives fgt = ggt and fft = fgt = gft = ggt. Using (ii) we get

$$d(ft, fft) \leq a d(gt, gft) + b d(ft, gt) + c d(fft, gft) + e[d(ft, gft) + d(fft, gt)]$$

$$= (a + 2e) d(ft, fft),$$

that is, (1 - a - 2e) $d(ft, fft) \le 0$. Hence ft = fft = gft and ft is a common fixed point of f and g.

Next, suppose that $fgx_n \to ft$. Then $fX \subseteq gX$ implies that ft = gu for some $u \in X$ and $fgx_n \to gu$. R-weak commutativity of type (A_f) now implies $d(fgx_n, ggx_n) \leq Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$. As $n \to \infty$ we get $ggx_n \to gu$. Now, using (ii)

$$d(fu, fgx_n) \le a \ d(gu, ggx_n) + b \ d(fu,gu) + c \ d(fgx_n, ggx_n) + e[d(fu,ggx_n) + d(fgx_n,gu)].$$

As $n \to \infty$ we get fu = gu, since b+e < 1. Again, R-weak commutativity of type (A_f) implies

 $d(fgu_iggu) \le Rd(fu_igu)$. This gives fgu = ggu and ffu = fgu = gfu = ggu. Finally, using(ii), we get

$$d(fu, ffu) \le a \ d(gu, gfu) + b \ d(fu, gu) + c \ d(ffu, gfu) + e[\ d(fu, gfu) + d(ffu, gu)]$$

= $(a + 2e) \ d(fu, ffu),$

that is, $(1 - a - 2e)d(fu, ffu) \le 0$. Hence fu = ffu = gfu and fu is a common fixed point of f and g.

Uniqueness of the common fixed point theorem follows easily in each of the three cases. We now give examples, one for compatible mappings and one for noncompatible mappings, to illustrate Theorem 1.

Example: 1. Let X = [0,10] and d be the usual metric on X. Define f, g : $X \rightarrow X$ by

$$fx = \begin{cases} 3 & if \ x = 3 \ and \ x > 4 \\ 1 & if \ 3 < x \le 4 \ and \ x < 3, \end{cases}$$
 and
$$gx = \begin{cases} 3 & if \ x = 3 \\ 9 & if \ 3 < x \le 4 \ and \ x < 3 \\ 5 - \frac{\pi}{2} & if \ x > 4 \end{cases}$$

Then f and g satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 1 and have a unique common fixed point at x=3. f and g satisfy the contraction condition (ii) for $a=\frac{1}{4}$, $b=\frac{1}{3}$, $c=\frac{1}{6}$, $e=\frac{1}{12}$. The mappings f and g are R-weakly commuting of type (A_g) since $d(ffx, gfx) \leq d(fx, gx)$ for all x in X. And f and g are weakly reciprocally continuous. To see this, let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $fx_n \to t$, $gx_n \to t$ for some t. Then t=3 and either $x_n=3$ for each n or $x_n=4+\frac{1}{n}$. If $x_n=3$ for each n, $fgx_n=3=f3$ and $gfx_n=3=g3$. If $x_n=4+\frac{1}{n}$ then $fx_n=3$, $gx_n=5-\frac{x_n}{2}\to 3$, $fgx_n=f\left(3-\frac{1}{2n}\right)=1\neq f3$ and $gfx_n=g3=3$. Thus $\lim_{n\to\infty} gfx_n=g3$ but $\lim_{n\to\infty} fgx_n\neq f3$. Hence f and g are weakly reciprocally continuous. It is also obvious that f and g are not reciprocally continuous mappings. These computations also show that f and g are non compatible.

Example: 2. Let X = [1, 10] and d be the usual metric on X. Define f, g : $X \rightarrow X$ by

$$fx = \begin{cases} 5 & \text{if } x \le 5 \\ 3 & \text{if } x > 5 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad gx = \begin{cases} \frac{x+5}{2} & \text{if } x \le 5 \\ 9 & \text{if } x > 5. \end{cases}$$

 $\frac{x_n + 5}{2} \to 5$, $fgx_n = f\left(5 - \frac{1}{2n}\right) = 5 = f5$ and $gfx_n = g5 = 5$. Hence f and g are reciprocally continuous and, therefore, weak reciprocally continuous mappings. To see that f and g are compatible, let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $fx_n \to t$ and $gx_n \to t$ for some t. Then t = 5 and either $x_n = 5$ for each n or $x_n = 5 - \frac{1}{n}$ where $\frac{1}{n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. If $x_n = 5$ for each n, $fgx_n = 5 = f5$, $gfx_n = 5 = g5$ and $d(fgx_n, gfx_n) = 0$. If $x_n = 5 - \frac{1}{n}$ then $fx_n = 5$, $gx_n = \frac{x_n + 5}{2} = 5 - \frac{1}{2n} \to 5$, $fgx_n = f\left(5 - \frac{1}{2n}\right) = 5 = f5$, $gfx_n = g5 = 5$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(fgx_n, gfx_n) = 0$. Hence f and g are compatible.

Example 1 given above pertains to noncompatible mappings. For noncompatible mappings we can extend Theorem 1 to nonexpansive condition. We do this in the next theorem by letting a=1 in condition (ii) of Theorem 1.

Theorem: 2. Let f and g be weakly reciprocally continuous noncompatible selfmappings of a metric space (X, d) satisfying

- (i) $fX \subset gX$
- (ii) $d(fx, fy) \le d(gx, gy) + b d(fx, gx) + c d(fy, gy) + e[d(fx, gy) + d(fy, gx)]$ with $0 \le b$, c, e < 1, and b + c + 2e < 1
- (iii) $d(fx, f^2x) < d(gx, g^2x)$ whenever $gx \neq g^2x$.

If f and g are R-weakly commuting of type (A_g) or R - weakly commuting of type (A_f) then f and g have a common fixed point.

Proof: Since f and g are noncompatible maps, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $fx_n \to t$ and $gx_n \to t$ for some t in X but either $\lim_n d(fgx_n, gfx_n) \neq 0$ or the limit does not exist. Since $fX \subseteq gX$, for each x_n there exists y_n in X such that $fx_n = gy_n$. Thus $fx_n \to t$, $gx_n \to t$, $gy_n \to t$ as $n \to \infty$. By this and using (ii) we obtain $fy_n \to t$. Therefore, we have

(2)
$$fx_n \to t, gx_n \to t, gy_n \to t, fy_n \to t$$
.

Suppose that f and g are R-weakly commuting of type (A_g) . Now, weak reciprocal continuity of f and g implies that $fgx_n \rightarrow ft$ or $gfx_n \rightarrow gt$.

Similarly, $fgy_n \to ft$ or $gfy_n \to gt$. Let us assume that $gfy_n \to gt$. Then R-weak commutativity of type (A_g) of f and g gives $d(ffy_n, gfy_n) \le Rd(fy_n, gy_n)$. On letting $n \to \infty$ we get $ffy_n \to gt$. Also, using (ii) we get

$$d(ffy_n, ft) \le d(gfy_n, gt) + bd(ffy_n, gfy_n) + cd(ft, gt) + e[d(ffy_n, gt) + d(ft, gfy_n)].$$

On letting $n \to \infty$ we get $d(gt, ft) \le c \ d(ft, gt) + e \ d(ft, gt)$. This implies ft = gt, since c + e < l. Again, by the R- weak commutativity of type (A_g) , $d(fft, gft) \le Rd(ft, gt)$. This gives fft = gft and fft = fgt = gft = ggt. If $ft \ne fft$ then using (iii) we get d(ft, fft) < d(gt, ggt) = d(ft, fft), a contradiction. Hence ft = fft = gft and ft is a common fixed point of f and g.

Next, suppose that $fgy_n \to ft$. Then $fX \subseteq gX$ implies that ft = gu for some $u \in X$ and $fgy_n = ffx_n \to ft = gu$. Thus $fgy_n \to ft = gu$ and $ffx_n \to gu$. Hence R-weak commutativity of type (A_g) of f and g yields d $(ffx_n, gfx_n) \le R$ d (fx_n, gfx_n) . As $n \to \infty$ we get $gfx_n \to gu$ that is $ggy_n \to gu$. Now, using (ii)

$$d(fgy_n, fu) \le d(ggy_n, gu) + b d(fgy_n, ggy_n) + c d(fu, gu) + e[d(fgy_n, gu) + d(fu, ggy_n)].$$

As $n \to \infty$ we get $d(gu, fu) \le (c + e) d$ (gu, fu). This implies that fu = gu, since c+e < 1. Again, R-weak commutativity of type (A_g) of f and g implies, d (ffu, gfu) $\le R$ d(fu, gu). This gives ffu = gfu and ffu = fgu = gfu = ggu. If $fu \ne ffu$ then using (iii), we get d(fu, ffu) < d(gu, ggu) = d(fu, ffu), a contradiction. Hence fu = ffu = gfu and fu is a common fixed point of f and g.

Finally, suppose that f and g are R-weakly commuting of type (A_f) . Now, weak reciprocal continuity of f and g implies that $fgx_n \to ft$ or $gfx_n \to gt$. Similarly, $fgy_n \to ft$ or $gfy_n \to gt$. Let $gfx_n \to gt$. Then we have $ggy_n = gfx_n \to gt$. Hence, R-weak commutativity of type (A_f) gives $d(fgy_n, ggy_n) \le Rd(fy_n, ggy_n)$. As $n \to \infty$ we get $fgy_n \to gt$ that is, $ffx_n \to gt$. Also, using (ii) we get

$$d(ffx_n, ft) \leq d(gfx_n, gt) + b d(ffx_n, gfx_n) + c d(ft, gt) + e[d(ffx_n, gt) + d(ft, gfx_n)].$$

On letting $n \to \infty$ we get $d(gt, ft) \le (c + e) d(ft, gt)$. This implies ft = gt, since c + e < l. By the R- weak commutativity of type (A_f) , $d(fgt, ggt) \le Rd(ft, gt)$. This gives fgt = ggt and fft = fgt = gft = ggt. If $ft \ne fft$ then by using (iii) we get d(ft, fft) < d(gt, ggt) = d(ft, fft), a contradiction. Hence ft = fft = gft and ft is a common fixed point of f and g.

Next, suppose that $fgx_n \to ft$. Then $fX \subseteq gX$ implies that ft = gu for some $u \in X$. Hence R-weak commutativity of type (A_f) implies $d(fgx_n, ggx_n) \le Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$. As $n \to \infty$ we get $ggx_n \to gu$. Now, using (ii)

$$d(fgx_n, fu) \leq d(ggx_n, gu) + b d(fgx_n, ggx_n) + c d(fu, gu) + e[d(fgx_n, gu) + d(fu, ggx_n)].$$

As $n \to \infty$ we get fu = gu, since c+e < 1. Again, R-weak commutativity of type (A_f) of f and g implies, fgu = ggu and ffu = fgu = gfu = ggu. If $fu \neq ffu$ then using (iii), we get d(fu, ffu) < d(gu, ggu) = d(fu, ffu), a contradiction. Hence fu = ffu = gfu and fu is a common fixed point of f and g.

Example: 3. Let X = [0, 10] and d be the usual metric on X. Define f, g : $X \rightarrow X by$

$$fx = \begin{cases} 3 & if \ x \le 3 \\ 5 & if \ x > 3 \end{cases}$$
 and $gx = \begin{cases} 6 - x & if \ x \le 3 \\ 10 & if \ x > 3 \end{cases}$

Then f and g satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 2 and have a common fixed point at x = 3, f and g satisfy the contraction condition (ii), for any b, c, e such that $0 \le b$, c, e < I and b+c+2e < I together with condition (iii). The mappings f and g are R-weakly commuting of type (A_g) since $d(ffx, gfx) \le d(fx, gx)$ for all x in X. And f and g are weakly reciprocally continuous. To see this, let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $fx_n \to t$, $gx_n \to t$ for some t.

Then
$$t = 3$$
 and $x_n = 3$ for each n or $x_n = 3 - \frac{1}{n}$ where $\frac{1}{n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. If $x_n \to \infty$

= 3 for each *n*,
$$fgx_n = 3 = f3$$
 and $gfx_n = 3 = g3$. If $x_n = 3 - \frac{1}{n}$ then $fx_n = 3$,

$$gx_n = 6 - x_n \rightarrow 3$$
 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $fgx_n = f\left(3 + \frac{1}{n}\right) = 5 \neq f3$ and $gfx_n = g3 = 3$.

Thus $\lim_{n\to\infty} gfx_n = g3$ but $\lim_{n\to\infty} fgx_n \neq f3$. Hence f and g are weak reciprocally continuous. It is also obvious that f and g are not reciprocally continuous mappings. To see that f and g are noncompatible, consider a

sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_n = 3 - \frac{1}{n}$. Then $fx_n \to 3$, $gx_n \to 3$, $fgx_n \to 5$, $gfx_n \to 3$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(fgx_n, gfx_n) \neq 0$, Hence f and g are noncompatible.

The next theorem further generalizes Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 by allowing a to take any non-negative value.

Theorem: 3. Let f and g be weakly reciprocally continuous noncompatible selfmappings of a metric space (X, d) satisfying

(i)
$$fX \subseteq gX$$

(ii)
$$d(fx, fy) \le ad(gx, gy) + b d(fx, gx) + c d(fy, gy) + e[d(fx, gy) + d(fy, gx)]$$
 with $a \ge 0$, $0 \le b$, c , $e < 1$ and $b + c + 2e < 1$

(iii)
$$d(fx, f^2x) < d(gx, g^2x)$$
 whenever $gx \neq g^2x$.

If f and g are R-weakly commuting of type (A_g) or R- weakly commuting of type (A_f) then f and g have a common fixed point.

Proof: Since f and g are noncompatible maps, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $fx_n \to t$ and $gx_n \to t$ for some t in X but either $\lim_n d(fgx_n, gfx_n) \neq 0$ or the limit does not exist. Since $fX \subseteq gX$, for each x_n there exists y_n in X such that $fx_n = gy_n$. Thus, $fx_n \to t$, $gx_n \to t$ and $gy_n \to t$ as $n \to \infty$. By this and using (ii) we obtain $fy_n \to t$. Therefore, we have

(3)
$$fx_n \to t$$
, $gx_n \to t$, $gy_n \to t$, $fy_n \to t$.

Suppose that f and g are R-weakly commuting of type (A_g) . Now, weak reciprocal continuity of f and g implies that $fgx_n \to ft$ or $gfx_n \to gt$. Similarly, $fgy_n \to ft$ or $gfy_n \to gt$. Let us assume that $gfy_n \to gt$. Then R-weak commutativity of type (A_g) of f and g gives $d(ffy_n, gfy_n) \le Rd(fy_n, gy_n)$. On letting $n \to \infty$ we get $ffy_n \to gt$. Also, using (ii) we get

$$\begin{split} d(ffy_n,\,ft) &\leq ad(gfy_n,\,gt) + b\ d(ffy_n,\,gfy_n) +\ c\ d(ft,\,gt) \\ &\quad + e[\ d(ffy_n,\,gt) + d(ft,\,gfy_n)]. \end{split}$$

On letting $n \to \infty$ we get $d(gt, ft) \le c \ d(ft, gt) + e \ d(ft, gt)$. This implies ft = gt, since c + e < l. Again, by the R- weak commutativity of type (A_g), d(fft)

gft) $\leq Rd(ft, gt)$. This gives fft = gft and fft = fgt = gft. If $ft \neq fft$ then using (iii) we get d(ft, fft) < d(gt, ggt) = d(ft, fft), a contradiction. Hence ft = fft = gft and ft is a common fixed point of f and g.

Next, suppose that $fgy_n \to ft$. Then $fX \subseteq gX$ implies that ft = gu for some $u \in X$ and $fgy_n = ffx_n \to ft$. Thus $fgy_n \to ft = gu$ and $ffx_n \to gu$. Reweak commutativity of type (A_g) of f and g yields $d(ffx_n, gfx_n) \leq Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$. As $n \to \infty$ we get $gfx_n \to gu$ that is $ggy_n \to gu$. Now, using (ii) we get

$$d(fgy_n, fu) \le ad(ggy_n, gu) + b d(fgy_n, ggx_n) + c d(fu, gu) + e[d(fgy_n, gu) + d(fu, ggy_n)].$$

As $n \to \infty$ we get $d(gu, fu) \le (c + e) \ d(gu, fu)$. This implies that fu = gu, since c+e < 1. Again, R-weak commutativity of type (Ag) of f and g implies $d(ffu, gfu) \le Rd(fu, gu)$. This gives ffu = gfu and ffu = fgu = gfu = ggu. If $fu \ne ffu$ then using (iii), we get d(fu, ffu) < d(gu, ggu) = d(fu, ffu), a contradiction. Hence fu = ffu = gfu and fu is a common fixed point of f and g.

Finally, suppose that f and g are R-weakly commuting of type (A_f) . Now, weak reciprocal continuity of f and g implies that $fgx_n \to ft$ or $gfx_n \to gt$. Similarly, $fgy_n \to ft$ or $gfy_n \to gt$. Let $gfx_n \to gt$. Then we have $ggy_n = gfx_n \to gt$. R-weak commutativity of type (A_f) gives $d(fgy_n, ggy_n) \le Rd(fy_n, gy_n)$. As $n \to \infty$ we get $fgy_n \to gt$ that is $ffx_n \to gt$. Also, using (ii) we get

$$d(ffx_n, ft) \leq ad(gfx_n, gt) + bd(ffx_n, gfx_n) + c d(ft, gt) + e[d(ffx_n, gt) + d(ft, gfx_n)].$$

On letting $n \to \infty$ we get $d(gt, ft) \le (c + e) d(ft, gt)$. This implies ft = gt, since c + e < l. R- weak commutativity of type (A_f) implies $d(fgt, ggt) \le Rd(ft, gt)$. This gives fgt = ggt and fft = fgt = gft = ggt. If $ft \ne fft$ then by using (iii) we get d(ft, fft) < d(gt, ggt) = d(ft, fft), a contradiction. Hence ft = fft = gft and ft is a common fixed point of f and g.

Next, suppose that $fgx_n \to ft$. Then $fX \subseteq gX$ implies that ft = gu for some $u \in X$. R-weak commutativity of type (A_f) now implies $d(fgx_n, ggx_n) \le Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$. As $n \to \infty$ we get $ggx_n \to gu$. Now, using (ii) we have

$$\begin{split} d(fgx_{n_i} \ fu) &\leq ad(ggx_{n_i} \ gu) + b \ d(fgx_n, \ ggx_n) + c \ d(fu, \ gu) \\ &\quad + e[d(fgx_n, \ gu) + d(fu, \ ggx_n)]. \end{split}$$

As $n \to \infty$ we get fu = gu, since c+e < 1. Again, R-weak commutativity of type (A_f) of f and g implies, fgu = ggu and ffu = fgu = gfu = ggu. If $fu \neq ffu$ then using (iii), we get d(fu, ffu) < d(gu, ggu) = d(fu, ffu), a contradiction. Hence fu = ffu = gfu and fu is a common fixed point of f and g. The next example illustrates Theorem 3.

Example: 4. Let X = [0, 10] and d be the usual metric on X. Define f, g : $X \rightarrow X$ by

$$fx = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } x \le 3 \\ 7 & \text{if } x > 3 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad gx = \begin{cases} 6 - x & \text{if } x \le 3 \\ 7 & \text{if } x > 3. \end{cases}$$

Then f and g satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 3 and have two common fixed points at x=3 and x=7. f and g satisfy condition (ii) for a=3, b=0, c=0, e=1/5 together with the condition (iii). The mappings f and g are R-weakly commuting of type (Ag) since $d(ffx, gfx) \le d(fx, gx)$ for all x in X. And f and g are weakly reciprocally continuous. To see this, let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $fx_n \to t$, $gx_n \to t$ for some t. Then t=3 or t=7 and $x_n=3$ for each t=3 or t=3 and t=3 for each t=3 or t=3 for each t=3

References

- 1. R. P. Pant, R. K. Bisht and D. Arora, Weak reciprocal continuity and fixed point theorems, *Ann Univ. Ferrara*, **57**(1) (2011) 181-190.
- 2. R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, Bull. Cal. Math Soc., 60(1968) 71-76.
- 3. S. Sessa, On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, Pub. Inst. Math., **32**(46),(1982),149-153

- 4. G. Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed point, *Amer. Math Monthly*, **83**, (1976) 261-263.
- 5. G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **9** (1986) 771-779
- 6. G. Jungek, Common fixed points for noncontinuous nonself maps on non metric spaces, Far East J. Math. Sci., 4(1996) 19-215.
- 7. R. P. Pant, A Common fixed point theorem under a new condition, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **30** (1999) 147-152.
- 8. R. P. Pant, Common fixed points of non-commuting mappings, J. *Math. Anal. Appl.* **188** (1994) 436-440.