

Second Order Parallel Tensors on LP-Sasakian Manifolds

R. N. Singh, S. K. Pandey and Giteshwari Pandey

Department of Mathematical Sciences, A. P. S. University, Rewa (M. P.)

Email: shravan.math@gmail.com

(Received November 30, 2009)

Abstract: Levy¹ has proved that a second order symmetric parallel non-singular tensor on a space of constant curvature is a constant multiple of the metric tensor. Sharma² has proved that a second order symmetric parallel tensor in a Kaehler space of constant holomorphic sectional curvature is a linear combination (with constant coefficients) of Kaehlerian metric and the fundamental 2-form. In this paper, we show that on an LP-Sasakian manifold, a second order symmetric parallel tensor is a constant multiple of the associated metric tensor and there is no non-zero parallel 2-form.

AMS Subject Classification: 53C25.

Key words: LP-Sasakian manifold, second order parallel tensor, Parallel 2-form.

1. Introduction

The study of Lorentzian almost paracontact manifolds was initiated by Matsumoto³ (1989). Later on several authors studied Lorentzian almost paracontact manifolds and their different classes, viz. LP- Sasakian and LSP- Sasakian manifolds (cf. Matsumoto & Mihai⁴ (1988), Mihai & Rosca⁵ (1992), Matsumoto, Mihai & Rosca⁶ (1995), Pokhariyal (1996), Mihai, Saikh & De⁷ (1999), Mishra & Ojha⁸ (2000), Saikh & De (2000)). In 1923, Eisenhart⁹ proved that if a positive definite Riemannian manifold admits a second order parallel symmetric tensor other than a constant multiple of metric tensor, then it is reducible. In 1926, Levy¹ proved that a second order parallel symmetric non-singular (with non-vanishing determinant) tensor in a space of constant curvature is proportional to the metric tensor. Recently Sharma² has generalized Levy's result by showing that a second order parallel (not necessarily symmetric and non singular) tensor on an n-dimensional ($n > 2$) space of constant curvature is a constant multiple of metric tensor. Sharma¹⁰ has also proved that there is no non-zero parallel 2-form on a Sasakian manifold. In this paper we prove that a second order symmetric parallel tensor on an LP- Sasakian manifold is a constant

multiple of the associated metric tensor. Further, it is shown that on an LP-Sasakian manifold there is no non-zero parallel 2-form.

2. Preliminaries

A differentiable manifold M of dimension n is called Lorentzian Para-Sasakian^{3,4} if it admits a (1-1) tensor field ϕ , a contravariant vector field η , a covariant vector field ξ and a Lorentzian metric g which satisfy

$$(2.1) \quad \eta(\xi) = -1,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \phi^2 X = X + \eta(X) \xi,$$

$$(2.3) \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X) \eta(Y),$$

$$(2.4) \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi X,$$

$$(2.5) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = \eta(Y) X + g(X, Y) \xi + 2\eta(X) \eta(Y) \xi,$$

where ∇ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to Lorentzian metric g .

It can easily be seen that in an LP-Sasakian manifold M the following relations hold:

$$(2.6) \quad \phi \xi = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad \text{rank}(\phi) = n-1.$$

Further, on an LP-Sasakian manifold with (Φ, ξ, η, g) structure, the following relations hold:

$$(2.7) \quad g(R(X, Y) Z, \xi) = \eta(R(X, Y) Z) = g(Y, Z) \eta(X) - g(X, Z) \eta(Y),$$

$$(2.8) \quad R(\xi, X) Y = g(X, Y) \xi - \eta(Y) X,$$

$$(2.9) \quad R(\xi, X) \xi = X + \eta(X) \xi,$$

$$(2.10) \quad R(X, Y) \xi = \eta(Y) X - \eta(X) Y,$$

$$(2.11) \quad S(X, \xi) = (n-1) \eta(X),$$

$$(2.12) \quad S(\phi X, \phi Y) = S(X, Y) + (n-1) \eta(X) \eta(Y),$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z , where $R(X, Y)Z$ is the Riemannian curvature tensor and S is the Ricci tensor.

Definition: A tensor T of second order is said to be a second order parallel tensor if $\nabla T = 0$, where ∇ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to metric g .

Theorem 2.1: *On an LP-Sasakian manifold M , a second order symmetric parallel tensor is a constant multiple of associated metric tensor.*

Proof: Let α denotes a $(0, 2)$ -symmetric tensor field on an LP-Sasakian manifold M such that $\nabla \alpha = 0$. Then it follows that

$$(2.13) \quad \alpha(R(W, X)Y, Z) + \alpha(Y, R(W, X)Z) = 0,$$

for arbitrary vector fields W, X, Y, Z on M .

Substituting $W = Y = Z = \xi$ in (2.13), we get

$$\alpha(\xi, R(\xi, X)\xi) = 0, \text{ Since } \alpha \text{ is symmetric.}$$

As the manifold is an LP-Sasakian manifold, using equation (2.9) in above equation, we have

$$\alpha(\xi, X + \eta(X)\xi) = 0,$$

which gives

$$(2.14) \quad g(X, \xi)\alpha(\xi, \xi) + \alpha(\xi, X) = 0.$$

Differentiating (2.14) covariantly along Y , we get

$$(2.15) \quad g(\nabla_Y X, \xi)\alpha(\xi, \xi) + g(X, \phi Y)\alpha(\xi, \xi) + 2g(X, \xi)\alpha(\xi, \phi Y) + \alpha(\phi Y, X) + \alpha(\nabla_Y X, \xi) = 0.$$

Putting $X = \nabla_Y X$ in (2.14), we get

$$(2.16) \quad g(\nabla_Y X, \xi)\alpha(\xi, \xi) + \alpha(\xi, \nabla_Y X) = 0.$$

From (2.15) and (2.16), it follows that

$$(2.17) \quad g(X, \phi Y)\alpha(\xi, \xi) + 2g(X, \xi)\alpha(\xi, \phi Y) + \alpha(\phi Y, X) = 0.$$

Replacing X by ϕY in (2.14) and using $\eta(\phi Y) = 0$, we get

$$g(\phi Y, \xi)\alpha(\xi, \xi) + \alpha(\xi, \phi Y) = 0,$$

which reduces to

$$(2.18) \quad \alpha(\xi, \phi Y) = 0.$$

From equations (2.17) and (2.18), it follows that

$$(2.19) \quad g(X, \phi Y)\alpha(\xi, \xi) + \alpha(\phi Y, X) = 0.$$

Replacing Y by ϕY in (2.19), we get

$$(2.20) \quad g(X, Y)\alpha(\xi, \xi) + \eta(Y)\eta(X)\alpha(\xi, \xi) + \alpha(X, Y) + \eta(Y)\alpha(\xi, X) = 0.$$

Now from equations (2.14) and (2.4), we have

$$(2.21) \quad \alpha(\xi, X) = -\eta(X)\alpha(\xi, \xi).$$

Thus from (2.20) and (2.21), we have

$$(2.22) \quad \alpha(X, Y) = -g(X, Y)\alpha(\xi, \xi).$$

Differentiating (2.22) covariantly along any vector field on M , it can be easily seen that $\alpha(\xi, \xi)$ is constant. This completes the proof.

Corollary 1: *A Ricci symmetric LP-Sasakian manifold is an Einstein manifold.*

Theorem 2.2: *On an LP-Sasakian manifold, there is no non-zero parallel 2-form.*

Proof: Again putting $W = Y = \xi$ in equation (2.13), we get

$$\alpha(R(\xi, X)\xi, Z) + \alpha(\xi, R(\xi, X)Z) = 0$$

Using equations (2.8) and (2.9) in above equation, we get

$$(2.23) \quad \alpha(X, Z) = \eta(Z) \alpha(\xi, X) - \eta(X) \alpha(\xi, Z) - g(X, Z) \alpha(\xi, \xi).$$

Now α being 2-form, is a (0, 2) skew-symmetric tensor and therefore $\alpha(\xi, \xi) = 0$. Hence equation (2.23) reduces to

$$(2.24) \quad \alpha(X, Z) = \eta(Z) \alpha(\xi, X) - \eta(X) \alpha(\xi, Z).$$

Now suppose A be a (1, 1) tensor field which is metrically equivalent to α i.e., $\alpha(X, Y) = g(AX, Y)$. Then from (2.24), it follows that

$$g(AX, Z) = \eta(Z) g(A\xi, X) - \eta(X) g(A\xi, Z),$$

from which we have

$$(2.25) \quad AX = g(A\xi, X)\xi - \eta(X)A\xi.$$

Since α is parallel, so A is parallel and hence, using $\nabla_X \xi = \phi X$, it follows that

$$\nabla_X (A\xi) = (\nabla_X A)\xi + A(\nabla_X \xi) = A(\phi X),$$

from which we obtain

$$(2.26) \quad \nabla_{\phi X}(A\xi) = AX + \eta(X)A\xi.$$

Therefore, from equations (2.25) and (2.26), we have

$$(2.27) \quad \nabla_{\phi X}(A\xi) = g(A\xi, X)\xi$$

From equation (2.27), we have

$$g(\nabla_{\phi X}(A\xi), A\xi) = g(A\xi, X)g(A\xi, \xi).$$

Since $g(A\xi, \xi) = \alpha(\xi, \xi) = 0$, therefore, the above equation reduces to

$$(2.28) \quad g(\nabla_{\phi X}(A\xi), A\xi) = 0.$$

Replacing X by ΦX in above equation and using $\nabla_{\xi} \xi = 0$, we get

$$(2.29) \quad g(\nabla_X(A\xi), A\xi) = 0,$$

for any tangent vector X and consequently $\|A\xi\| = \text{constant on } M$.

From the above equation (2.29), we have

$$g(A(\nabla_X \xi), A\xi) = 0,$$

which reduces to

$$-\alpha(A\xi, \nabla_X \xi) = 0,$$

because α is metrically equivalent to A . The above equation can be written as

$$g(\nabla_X \xi, A^2 \xi) = 0.$$

Replacing X by ΦX , we get

$$g(\nabla_{\Phi X} \xi, A^2 \xi) = 0.$$

Using equations (2.2) and (2.4) in above equation, we have

$$g(X, A^2 \xi) = -g(\eta(X)\xi, A^2 \xi),$$

which gives

$$(2.30) \quad A^2 \xi = \|A\xi\|^2 \xi.$$

Differentiating above equation covariantly along X , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X(A^2 \xi) &= A^2(\nabla_X \xi) = A^2(\phi X) \\ &= \|A\xi\|^2 \nabla_X \xi \\ &= \|A\xi\|^2 (\phi X). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence} \quad A^2(\phi X) = \|A\xi\|^2 (\phi X).$$

Replacing X by ΦX in above equation, we get

$$A^2(\phi^2 X) = \|A\xi\|^2 (\phi^2 X),$$

which gives

$$A^2 X + \eta(X)A\xi = \|A\xi\|^2 X + \eta(X)\|A\xi\|^2 \xi.$$

Using equation (2.30) in above equation, we get

$$A^2 X = \|A\xi\|^2 X.$$

Now, if $\|A\xi\| \neq 0$, then from above equation, we have

$$\left(\frac{A}{\|A\xi\|} \right)^2 X = X.$$

Let $F = \frac{A}{\|A\xi\|}$, then we have

$$(2.31) \quad F^2 X = X.$$

Therefore F is an almost product structure on M . The fundamental 2-form is given by

$$g(FX, Y) = g\left(\frac{AX}{\|A\xi\|}, Y\right) = \frac{1}{\|A\xi\|} g(AX, Y)$$

$$= \lambda g (AX, Y) \\ = \lambda \alpha(X, Y),$$

where $\lambda = \frac{1}{\|A\xi\|}$ = constant. But from equation (2.25) we have

$$\alpha(X, Z) = \eta(Z) \alpha(\xi, X) - \eta(X) \alpha(\xi, Z),$$

which shows that α is degenerate, hence a contradiction. Therefore $\|A\xi\| = 0$ and so $\alpha = 0$. This completes the proof.

References

1. H. Levy, Symmetric tensors of the second order whose first covariant derivatives vanishes, *Annals of Maths.*, **27** (1926) 91-98.
2. R. Sharma, Second order parallel tensor in real and complex space forms, Internet., *J. Math. & Math. Sci.*, **12** (1989) 787-790.
3. K. Matsumoto, On Lorentzian Para contact manifolds, *Bulletin of Yamgata University Natural Sciences*, **12** (2) (1989) 151-156.
4. K. Matsumoto and I. Mihai, On a certain transformation in Lorentzian Para Sasakian manifold, *Tensor, N.S.*, **47** (1988) 189-197.
5. I. Mihai and R. Rosca: On Lorentzian P- Sasakian manifolds, *Classical Analysis; world scientific public. Singapore*, (1992) 155-169.
6. K. Matsumoto, I. Mihai and R. Rosca, ξ -null geodesic gradient vector fields on a Lorentzian Para Sasakian manifold, *Journal of Korean Mathematical Society*, **32** (1) (1995) 17-31.
7. I. Mihai, A. A. Saikh and U. C. De, On Lorentzian Para Sasakian manifolds, *Korean journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **6** (1999) 1-13.
8. I. K. Mishra and R. H. Ojha, On Lorentzian Para contact manifolds, *Bulletin of Calcutta Mathematical Society*, **92** (5) (2000) 357-360.
9. L. P. Eisenhart, Symmetric tensors of the second order whose first covariant derivatives are zero, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **25** (1923) 297- 306.
10. R. Sharma, Second order parallel tensor on contact manifolds, *Algebras, Groups and Geometries*, **7** (1990) 145-152.
11. U. C. De, Second order parallel tensors on P-Sasakian manifolds, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **49**(1-2) (1996) 33-37.
12. A. A. A., U. C. De and G. C. Ghosh, On Lorentzian Para Sasakian manifolds, *Kuwait J. Sci. Eng.*, **31** (2) (2004) 1-13.
13. L. Das, Second order parallel tensors on α -Sasakian manifold, *Acta Mathematica Academiæ Paedagogicæ Nyiregyhaziensis*, **23** (2007) 65-69.
14. R. Sharma, Second order parallel tensor on contact manifolds II, *C.R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada*, **13**(6) (1991) 259-264.