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Abstract: The present paper is devoted to the study of hypersurfaces immersed in a recurrent Finsler space. U. P. Singh and G. C. Chaubey\(^1\) obtained some results on hypersurfaces of a recurrent Finsler space under certain conditions. In this paper, a more general definition of a recurrent space has been adopted and several properties of an umbilical hypersurface immersed in such space have been investigated. Results of Singh and Chaubey\(^1\) have been generalized and extended to a larger class of recurrent spaces.
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1. Introduction

U. P. Singh and G. C. Chaubey\(^1\) obtained Gauss characteristic equations of an umbilical hypersurface immersed in a Finsler space from the standpoint of Berwald connection. They defined a recurrent Finsler space which is not equivalent to the definition of several authors such as Kumar\(^2\), Misra\(^3\), Pandey\(^4\) and others. Singh and Chaubey\(^1\) considered two cases: (i) The recurrence vector field of the embedding space is not normal to a non-totally geodesic hypersurface, (ii) The recurrence vector field is normal to the hypersurface. For the first case, they investigated the conditions for the hypersurface immersed in a recurrent space to be recurrent in accordance with their definition of a recurrent space. For the second case, with an additional condition that the embedding space is affinely connected, they derived several properties of the hypersurface. It has been shown by Pandey and Dikshit\(^5\) that a recurrent Finsler space is a Landsberg space if and only if \(\det(H_i^j) \neq 0\). In the present paper, we have adopted the definition of a recurrent space of Kumar\(^2\), Misra\(^3\), Pandey\(^4\) and others. For case (i) discussed above, we have established some conditions for the hypersurface
immersed in a recurrent Finsler space satisfying \( \det(H^i_j) \neq 0 \) to be recurrent. The same conditions have been obtained for a hypersurface immersed in a recurrent Landsberg space to be recurrent, as corollaries. For case (ii), we have investigated several properties of a hypersurface immersed in a recurrent space satisfying \( \det(H^i_j) \neq 0 \). The same properties have been derived, as corollaries, for a Landsberg recurrent space whose class is larger than that of affinely connected recurrent spaces.

Let \((F^n, L)\) be an n-dimensional Finsler space equipped with metric function \( L(x, \dot{x}) \) and corresponding metric tensor \( g = (g_{\alpha}(x, \dot{x})) \). The relation between Berwald connection parameters and Cartan connection parameters is given by

\[
G^i_{jk} = \Gamma^i_{jk} + C^i_{jkp} \dot{x}^p, \tag{1.1}
\]

where \( C^i_{jk} = g^{ip}C_{jp} \) and \( C_{jp} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial \dot{x}^k} \) are symmetric tensors and the symbol \( \Gamma \) stands for the Cartan process of covariant differentiation.

A Finsler space is said to be a Landsberg space if Berwald connection is metrical therein, i.e., \( g_{ij(k)} = 0 \), \( g_{ij(k)} \) denotes the Berwald covariant derivative of \( g_{ij} \) with respect to \( x^k \). This space is characterized by

\[
C_{ij(k)} \dot{x}^k = 0, \tag{1.2}
\]

or, alternatively by

\[
y_r G^r_{jk} = 0, \tag{1.3}
\]

where \( y_i = g_{ir} \dot{x}^r \).

Let \( F^{n-1} \) be a hypersurface of \( F^n \), represented parametrically by the equations* \( x^i = x^i(u^\alpha) \). The fundamental metric tensors of \( F^n \) and \( F^{n-1} \) are related by

\[
g_{\alpha \beta}(u, \dot{u}) = g_{ij}(x, \dot{x})B^i_\alpha B^j_\beta, \tag{1.4}
\]

where \( B^i_\alpha = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^\alpha} \) are the projection factors.

*Throughout the discussion Latin indices run from 1 to \( n \) and Greek indices from 1 to \( n-1 \).
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The unit normal \( N_i \) to the hypersurface \( F^{n-1} \), at a point, satisfies the following:

\[
\begin{cases}
(a) \quad N_i B'_i = 0, \\
(b) \quad g_{ij} N^i N^j = 1,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( N^i = g^{ij} N_j \).

Cartan’s induced and intrinsic connection parameters \( \Gamma^*_\alpha_{\beta\gamma} \) and \( ^/\Gamma^*_\alpha_{\beta\gamma} \) (vide Rund\(^7\)) are related by

\[
^/\Gamma^*_\alpha_{\beta\gamma} = \Lambda^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} + \Gamma^*_\alpha_{\beta\gamma},
\]

where

\[
g_{\epsilon\gamma} \Lambda^\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \Lambda^\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = (M_{\beta\gamma} \Omega_{\alpha\sigma} + M_{\alpha\gamma} \Omega_{\beta\sigma} - M_{\alpha\beta} \Omega_{\gamma\sigma}) \dot{u}^\sigma \\
- (M_{\lambda\alpha} C^\lambda_{\beta\gamma} + M_{\lambda\beta} C^\lambda_{\alpha\gamma} - M_{\lambda\gamma} C^\lambda_{\beta\alpha}) \Omega_{\sigma\mu} \dot{u}^\sigma \dot{u}^\mu,
\]

\( M_{\alpha\beta} = M_{ij} B^j_{\alpha\beta} \), \( M_{ij} = C_{ijk} N^k \) and \( \Omega_{\alpha\beta} \) are second fundamental quantities of \( F^{n-1} \).

The normal curvature of \( F^{n-1} \), in the direction of \( \dot{u}^\sigma \), is given by

\[
\kappa_n(u, \dot{u}) = (\Omega_{\sigma\lambda} \dot{u}^\sigma \dot{u}^\lambda) / F^2(u, \dot{u}),
\]

which, in view of the normalizing condition \( F^2(u, \dot{u}) = 1 \), becomes

\[
\kappa_n(u, \dot{u}) = \Omega_{\sigma\lambda} \dot{u}^\sigma \dot{u}^\lambda.
\]

From (1.7) and (1.9) we obtain

\[
(a)\quad \Lambda^\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \dot{u}^\alpha = \kappa_n M^\epsilon_{\beta},
\]

\[
(b)\quad g_{\epsilon\gamma} \dot{u}^\epsilon \Lambda^\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = -\kappa_n M_{\alpha\beta},
\]

where

\[
M^\epsilon_{\beta} = g^{\epsilon\gamma} M_{\gamma\beta}.
\]

The mixed covariant derivative of an arbitrary vector field \( T^i_{\alpha} \) is given by

\[
T^i_{\alpha(\gamma)} = \partial_\gamma T^i_{\alpha} - (\partial_\epsilon T^i_{\alpha}) \partial_\gamma G^\epsilon_{\alpha\gamma} - T^i_{\epsilon} G^\epsilon_{\alpha\gamma} + T^p_{\alpha} G^i_{ph} B^h_{\gamma},
\]
where $\partial_\gamma \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\gamma}$, $\partial_\epsilon \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\epsilon}$ and $G^e_{\alpha \gamma}$ are Berwald’s induced connection parameters. In view of the above equation, the mixed covariant derivative of projection factors is given by

\begin{equation}
B^i_{\alpha(\beta)} = V^{i}_{\alpha \beta} = B^i_{\alpha \beta} - B^i_{\epsilon} G^e_{\alpha \beta} + G^i_{hk} B^h_{\alpha \beta}.
\end{equation}

Equation (1.11) can be put in the following form (vide Sinha and Singh)\(^9\)

\begin{equation}
V^{i}_{\alpha \beta} = N^i \Omega_{\alpha \beta} - B^{i}_{\epsilon}(\Lambda^e_{\alpha \beta} + C^e_{\alpha \beta}[\sigma] \dot{u}^{\sigma}) + C^i_{hk|\gamma} \dot{x}^{k} B^{hk}_{\alpha \beta},
\end{equation}

Sinha and Singh\(^9\) obtained the following form of Gauss equation:

\begin{equation}
H_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma} = H_{hklj} B^{hkl}_{\beta \gamma} + (\Omega_{\epsilon \beta} \Omega_{\delta \gamma} - \Omega_{\epsilon \gamma} \Omega_{\delta \beta})
+ 2M_{ih} B^{h}_{\delta \beta \gamma} + 2B^{i}_{\delta \beta}[\epsilon \alpha] \dot{u}^{\alpha}
- 2N^k C_{hklp} \dot{x}^p \Omega_{\epsilon \beta} B^{k}_{\gamma}[\delta \sigma] + 2B^{i}_{\delta \beta}[\epsilon \sigma] V^{i}_{\gamma}
+ 2\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\epsilon \beta(\gamma)} g_{\alpha \delta} - 2C_{hkl|\rho(j)} \dot{x}^{\sigma} B^{hkl}_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma}
- 2(\dot{\gamma}_{j} C_{hklj}) \dot{x}^{\sigma} B^{hkl}_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma} V^{i}_{\gamma}[\sigma] + 2C_{hkl|\gamma} \dot{x}^{k} B^{hkl}_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma} V^{h}.
\end{equation}

where $H_{hklj}$ and $H_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma}$ are Berwald’s associate curvature tensors in $F^n$ and $F^{n-1}$, square brackets denote the skew-symmetric part with respect to the indices enclosed therein and $\dot{\gamma}_{j} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}^{\gamma}}$.

2. Umbilical Hypersurfaces

An umbilical hypersurface $F^{n-1}$, immersed in a Finsler space $F^n$, is characterized by

\begin{equation}
\Omega_{\epsilon \beta}(u, \dot{u}) = \kappa_n g_{\epsilon \beta}(u, \dot{u}).
\end{equation}

The mean curvature vector $M^{*i}$ of the hypersurface $F^{n-1}$ is given by

\begin{equation}
M^{*i} = \frac{1}{n-1} g^{\alpha \beta} \Omega_{\alpha \beta} N^{i}.
\end{equation}
From equations (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain

\begin{equation}
(2.3)
g_{ij} M^{*i} M^{*j} = M^{*i}_i M^{*j}_j = \kappa^2_n.
\end{equation}

In view of equations (2.1) and (2.3) and the facts

\begin{equation}
M_{lh} N_l^i b^b_i = M_\delta, \quad x^{h}_{(r)} = 0, \quad C_{hr}^l \dot{x}^r = 0, \quad u^{\alpha}_{[\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad C^r_{\alpha \beta} \dot{u}^{\alpha} = 0,
\end{equation}

equation (1.13) takes the form

\begin{equation}
(2.4)
H_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma} = H_{h_{lk}j} B_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma}^{h_{lk}j} + (M^*_i M^{*i}_j) (g_{\epsilon \beta} g_{\delta \gamma} - g_{\epsilon \gamma} g_{\delta \beta}) + 2M_{\delta \beta} \kappa^2_n (g_{\epsilon \beta} g_{\sigma \gamma} - g_{\epsilon \gamma} g_{\sigma \beta}) \dot{u}^\sigma + P_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma},
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
(2.5)
P_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma} = 2M_{lh} N_l^i b^b_i (\Lambda^\lambda_{[\beta \sigma} \Omega^\alpha_{\epsilon \gamma]} - \Lambda^\lambda_{[\gamma \alpha} \Omega^\sigma_{\epsilon \beta]} \delta) + 2N^h C_{h_{lk}r} \dot{x}^r \Omega^\epsilon_{\epsilon \beta} B_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma}^{h_{lk}j} + 2B_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma}^{h_{lk}j} \Lambda^\alpha_{\epsilon \beta} V^{j}_{\gamma} \delta + 2\Lambda^\alpha_{\epsilon \beta} \delta \dot{u}^\sigma - 2C_{h_{lk}r} B_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma}^{h_{lk}j} V^{j}_{\gamma} \sigma + 2C_{h_{lk}r} B_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma}^{h_{lk}j} \dot{u}^\sigma - 2C_{h_{lk}r} \dot{\dot{x}}^r B_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma}^{h_{lk}j} V^{h}.
\end{equation}

Differentiating (2.4) covariantly with respect to \( u^\theta \) and using (1.11), we have

\begin{equation}
(2.6)
H_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma(\theta)} = H_{h_{lk}j(\theta)} B_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma}^{h_{lk}j} + H_{h_{lk}j} B_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma}^{h_{lk}j} V^{h} \dot{u}^\theta + H_{h_{lk}j} B_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma}^{h_{lk}j} \dot{u}^\theta + H_{h_{lk}j} B_{\epsilon \delta \beta \gamma}^{h_{lk}j} \dot{u}^\theta
\end{equation}

The mixed covariant derivative of \( H_{h_{lk}j} \) with respect to \( u^\theta \) is, by definition, given by

\begin{equation}
(2.7)
H_{h_{lk}j(\theta)} = \frac{\partial H_{h_{lk}j}}{\partial \dot{u}^\theta} - \frac{\partial H_{h_{lk}j}}{\partial \dot{u}^\theta} \frac{\partial G^\lambda}{\partial \dot{u}^\theta} - \frac{\partial H_{h_{lk}j}}{\partial \dot{u}^\theta} \frac{\partial G^\alpha}{\partial \dot{u}^\theta} - \frac{\partial H_{h_{lk}j}}{\partial \dot{u}^\theta} \frac{\partial G^r}{\partial \dot{u}^\theta}.
\end{equation}

The following is obvious

\begin{equation}
(2.8)
\frac{\partial H_{h_{lk}j}}{\partial \dot{u}^\theta} = \frac{\partial H_{h_{lk}j}}{\partial \dot{u}^\theta} B^m_{\alpha} + \frac{\partial H_{h_{lk}j}}{\partial \dot{u}^\theta} B^m_{\sigma} \dot{u}^\sigma.
\end{equation}
Using (2.8) in (2.7), we have

\[ H_{hlkij}(\theta) = [\hat{\partial}_m H_{hlkij} - (\hat{\partial}_i H_{hlkij}) G^i_m] \\
+ \left(-H_{rklj} G^r_{hml} + H_{hrkj} G^r_{1lm} + H_{hkrj} G^r_{km} + H_{hklr} G^r_{jm}\right) B^m_\theta \\
- (\dot{\hat{\partial}}_i H_{hlkij}) (G^i_m B^m_\theta + B^i_\sigma \dot{u}^\sigma - G^i_\sigma B^i_\lambda), \]

where \( \hat{\partial}_j \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \) and \( \dot{\hat{\partial}}_j \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \).

In view of (1.11), (2.9) takes the following form

\[ H_{hlkij}(\theta) = H_{hlkij(m)} B^m_\theta + (\hat{\partial}_r H_{hlkij}) V^r_\theta \dot{u}^\sigma. \]

Using (2.10) in (2.6), we obtain the following form of Gauss characteristic equations of an umbilical hypersurface immersed in a Finsler space (vide U. P. Singh and G. C. Chaubey)

\[ H_{e\delta \beta \gamma}(\theta) = [H_{hlkij(m)} B^m_\theta + (\hat{\partial}_r H_{hlkij}) V^r_\theta \dot{u}^\sigma] B^{hlkij}_{e\delta \beta \gamma} \\
+ \left[(M^*_j M^{*i}) \left( g_{e\beta} g_{\delta \gamma} - g_{e\gamma} g_{\delta \beta} \right) \right. \\
+ 2 M_{\delta \beta} \left( g_{e\beta} g_{\delta \gamma} - g_{e\gamma} g_{\delta \beta} \right) \dot{u}^\gamma \\
+ H_{hlkij} B^{ijkl}_{\delta \beta \gamma} V^l_\theta + H_{hlkij} B^{hlkij}_{\delta \beta \gamma} V^l_\theta \\
- \left. + H_{hlkij} B^{hlkij}_{e\delta \beta \gamma} V^l_\theta + H_{hlkij} B^{hlkij}_{e\delta \beta \gamma} V^l_\theta \right]. \]

3. Recurrent Finsler spaces

A non-flat Finsler space \( F^n \) is called a recurrent space if the curvature tensor satisfies the relation

\[ H^{ij}_{jkh(m)} = a_m H^{ij}_{jkh}, \]

where \( a_m \) is a non-zero vector, called the recurrence vector. Pandey proved that the recurrence vector \( a_m \) is independent of directional arguments.

Using (3.1) in

\[ H^{ij}_{jklh(m)} = g_{il(m)} H^{ij}_{jkh} + g_{il} H^{ij}_{jkh(m)}, \]

we have
In view of (2.4) and (3.2), (2.11) becomes

\[
H_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma(\theta)} = g_{\rho \iota (m)} H^{p}_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} B^{m}_{\beta \gamma} + a_{\theta} B^{m}_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} \]

Pandey and Dikshit\(^5\) proved the following:

**Theorem 3.1.** (P. N. Pandey and Shalini Dikshit\(^5\)): A recurrent space \(F^n\) is a Landsberg space if and only if \(\det(H^j_i) \neq 0\).

Let us consider two cases:

(a) The recurrence vector field \(a_{\theta}\) is not normal to the hypersurface \(F^{n-1}\), i.e.

\[
a_{\theta} B^{m}_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} = 0,
\]

(b) The recurrence vector field \(a_{m}\) is normal to the hypersurface \(F^{n-1}\), i.e.

\[
a_{m} B^{m}_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} = 0.
\]

We assume that the hypersurface is non-totally geodesic. Define

\[
T_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} = H_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} - (M^i_\varepsilon M^i_\delta) \left( g_{\varepsilon \beta} g_{\delta \gamma} - g_{\varepsilon \gamma} g_{\delta \beta} \right) - 2M^i_\delta \epsilon^2 g_{\varepsilon \beta} g_{\delta \gamma} - g_{\varepsilon \gamma} g_{\delta \beta} - P_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma}.
\]

In view of case (a) and equation (3.4), (3.3) becomes

\[
T_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma(\theta)} = g_{\rho \iota (m)} H^{p}_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} B^{m}_{\beta \gamma} + a_{\theta} T_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} + (\hat{\partial}_{r} H_{hi\kappa j}) V^{r}_{\sigma \theta} u^{\sigma} B^{h\iota k j}_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} + H_{hi\kappa j} (B^{h\iota k j V^h}_{\varepsilon \beta \gamma} + B^{h\kappa j V^l}_{\varepsilon \beta \gamma} + B^{h\iota j V^k}_{\varepsilon \beta \gamma} + B^{h\kappa l V^\gamma}_{\varepsilon \beta \gamma}).
\]

Now, if
Theorem 3.2. If $F^{n-1}$ is a non-totally geodesic umbilical hypersurface immersed in a recurrent Finsler space $F^n$ and the recurrence vector field $a_m$ is not normal to the hypersurface, then the tensor $T^r_\sigma \delta \beta \gamma$, defined by (3.4), is recurrent with recurrence vector $a_\theta = a_m B^m_\theta$ if and only if (3.6) holds.

Suppose that $\det(H^i_j) \neq 0$. Then the embedding space $F^n$ is a Landsberg space by Theorem 3.1 and hence $g_{p(l(m))} = 0$. In this case, from equation (3.2), we have

$$H_{jlk(h(m))} = a_m H_{jlk(h}.$$  

Thus, in case of $\det(H^i_j) \neq 0$, our characterization of a recurrent space coincides with that of U. P. Singh and G. C. Chaubey.

From (3.6), we deduce the following:

Theorem 3.3. Let $F^{n-1}$ be a non-totally geodesic umbilical hypersurface immersed in a recurrent Finsler space $F^n$. If the recurrence vector field $a_m$ is not normal to the hypersurface $F^{n-1}$ and $\det(H^i_j) \neq 0$, then the tensor $T^r_\sigma \delta \beta \gamma$, defined by (3.4), is recurrent with recurrence vector $a_\theta = a_m B^m_\theta$ if and only if

$$\left( \dot{\sigma}^r H_{kij} \right) V^r_\sigma \delta \beta \gamma + H_{ijh} \left( B^{ikj} \delta \beta \gamma V^h_\delta \theta + B^{hjk} V^l_\theta + B^{hli} V^k_\theta + B^{hlk} V^j_\theta \right) = 0.$$  

From Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3, we have the following result:

Corollary 3.4. If $F^{n-1}$ is a non-totally geodesic umbilical hypersurface immersed in a recurrent Landsberg space $F^n$ and the recurrence vector field $a_m$ is not normal to the hypersurface $F^{n-1}$, then the tensor $T^r_\sigma \delta \beta \gamma$, defined by (3.4), is recurrent with recurrence vector $a_\theta = a_m B^m_\theta$ if and only if (3.8) holds.

If we define
(3.9) \[ J_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} = (M^i M^* i) (g_{\varepsilon \rho} g_{\delta \gamma} - g_{\varepsilon \gamma} g_{\delta \rho}) + 2M_{\delta} k_n^2 (g_{\varepsilon \rho} g_{\sigma \gamma} - g_{\varepsilon \gamma} g_{\sigma \rho}) \dot{u}^\sigma + P_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma}, \]

it follows from (3.4) that

(3.10) \[ H_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} = T_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} + J_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma}. \]

Let \( \det(H^i_j) \neq 0 \). Then, in the light of equation (3.7), equation (3.10) implies that if \( T_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} \) and \( J_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} \) are recurrent with the recurrence vector \( a_{\theta} \), then \( H_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} \) is also recurrent with the same recurrence vector.

In view of the above fact and Theorem 3.3, we have the following result:

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \( F^{n-1} \) be a non-totally geodesic umbilical hypersurface immersed in a recurrent Finsler space \( F^n \). If the recurrence vector field \( a_m \) is not normal to the hypersurface \( F^{n-1} \) and \( \det(H^i_j) \neq 0 \), then the hypersurface \( F^{n-1} \) is recurrent with recurrence vector \( a_{\theta} \) if (3.8) holds and \( J_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} \), defined by (3.9) is recurrent with recurrence vector \( a_{\theta} \).

From Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.5, we may state:

**Corollary 3.6.** If \( F^{n-1} \) is a non-totally geodesic umbilical hypersurface immersed in a recurrent Landsberg space \( F^n \) and the recurrence vector field \( a_m \) is not normal to the hypersurface \( F^{n-1} \), then the hypersurface \( F^{n-1} \) is recurrent with recurrence vector \( a_{\theta} \) if (3.8) holds and \( J_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} \), defined by (3.9), is recurrent with recurrence vector \( a_{\theta} \).

**Case (b):**

Let the recurrence vector field \( a_m \) is normal to the hypersurface \( F^{n-1} \), i.e. \( a_m B^m_{\theta} = a_{\theta} = 0 \). The Bianchi identities for \( F^n \) are given by

(3.11) \[ H^i_{hhj(r)} + H^i_{hkr(j)} + H^m_{hjr(k)} + H^m_{kjr} G^i_{mhr} + H^m_{hrk} G^i_{mjh} + H^m_{frk} G^i_{mhk} = 0. \]

For a recurrent space, Pandey\(^4\) proved that the Bianchi identities (3.11) split into the following two identities:
\[ (3.12) \quad a_r H^i_{hkj} + a_j H^i_{hrk} + a_k H^i_{hjr} = 0, \]

and

\[ (3.13) \quad H^m_{kj} G^i_{mhr} + H^m_{rk} G^i_{mhj} + H^m_{jr} G^i_{mjk} = 0. \]

Transvecting (3.12) by \( g_{ll} \), we have

\[ (3.14) \quad a_r H^{hlk}_{jl} + a_j H^{hlk}_{hkr} + a_k H^{hlk}_{hjl} = 0. \]

If we transvect (3.14) by \( B^{h\ell k}_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} \), it follows from case (b) that

\[ (3.15) \quad H^{h\ell k}_{jl} B^{h\ell k}_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} = 0. \]

Equation (2.4), in view of (3.15), takes the form

\[ (3.16) \quad H_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} = (M^* \beta^{m*})(g_{\varepsilon \beta} g_{\delta \gamma} - g_{\varepsilon \gamma} g_{\beta \delta}) \]

\[ + 2 M_{\delta \gamma} \kappa^2_n (g_{\varepsilon \beta} g_{\sigma \gamma} - g_{\varepsilon \gamma} g_{\sigma \beta}) u^\sigma + P_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma}. \]

Using (3.16) in (3.4), we obtain

\[ T_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} = 0. \]

Thus, we have the following result:

**Theorem 3.7.** If \( F^{n-1} \) is an umbilical hypersurface immersed in a recurrent Finsler space \( F^n \) and the recurrence vector field \( a_m \) is normal to \( F^{n-1} \), then the tensor \( T_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} \), defined by (3.4), vanishes.

For a Minkowskian hypersurface, \( H_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} = 0 \). Using this in (3.16), we get

\[ (3.17) \quad (M^* \beta^{m*})(g_{\varepsilon \beta} g_{\delta \gamma} - g_{\varepsilon \gamma} g_{\beta \delta}) \]

\[ + 2 M_{\delta \gamma} \kappa^2_n (g_{\varepsilon \beta} g_{\sigma \gamma} - g_{\varepsilon \gamma} g_{\sigma \beta}) u^\sigma + P_{\varepsilon \delta \beta \gamma} = 0. \]

Thus, we have the following result:

**Theorem 3.8.** If \( F^{n-1} \) is an umbilical hypersurface immersed in a recurrent Finsler space \( F^n \) and the recurrence vector field \( a_m \) is normal to \( F^{n-1} \), then the hypersurface \( F^{n-1} \) is Minkowskian if and only if (3.17) holds.
If \( \det(H_j^{'}) \neq 0 \), then embedding space is a Landsberg space by Theorem 3.1 and hence \( C_{i,jk}X^r = 0 \). Equation (2.5), in view of this fact, takes the form

\[
P^{\varepsilon\delta\beta\gamma} = 2M_{i}hB^{hl}_{\partial\varepsilon\delta\beta\gamma}(\Lambda^{\lambda}_{\beta\sigma\gamma}X_{\varepsilon\delta\beta} - \Lambda^{\lambda}_{\gamma\sigma\varepsilon\delta})u^\sigma + 2\Lambda^\sigma_{\varepsilon\delta\beta\gamma}g_{a\delta} + g_{\varepsilon\delta\beta\gamma}g_{\sigma\beta}u^\sigma.
\]

Thus, we have:

**Theorem 3.9.** Let \( F^{n-1} \) be an umbilical hypersurface immersed in a recurrent Finsler space \( F^n \). If the recurrence vector field \( a_m \) is normal to \( F^{n-1} \) and \( \det(H_j^{'}) \neq 0 \), then the hypersurface \( F^{n-1} \) is Minkowskian if and only if

\[
\label{eq:3.19}(M^\ast M^{'}) + 2M_{i}hB^{hl}_{\partial\varepsilon\delta\beta\gamma}(\Lambda^{\lambda}_{\beta\sigma\gamma}X_{\varepsilon\delta\beta} - \Lambda^{\lambda}_{\gamma\sigma\varepsilon\delta})u^\sigma + 2\Lambda^\sigma_{\varepsilon\delta\beta\gamma}g_{a\delta} + g_{\varepsilon\delta\beta\gamma}g_{\sigma\beta}u^\sigma = 0.
\]

From Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.9, we have:

**Corollary 3.10.** If \( F^{n-1} \) is an umbilical hypersurface immersed in a recurrent Landsberg space \( F^n \) and the recurrence vector field \( a_m \) is normal to \( F^{n-1} \), then the hypersurface \( F^{n-1} \) is Minkowskian if and only if (3.19) holds.

If the hypersurface \( F^{n-1} \) is of minimal variety, then the mean curvature vector \( M^{' \ast i} \) vanishes. By virtue of this fact and equations (2.1) and (2.3), it follows that

\[
\label{eq:3.20}\kappa_n = 0, \quad \Omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0.
\]

From equations (1.7) and (3.21), we have

\[
\Lambda^e_{\beta\gamma} = 0.
\]

From Theorem 3.9, equations (3.20) and (3.21), we have the following result:

**Theorem 3.11.** Let \( F^{n-1} \) be an umbilical hypersurface of minimal variety immersed in a recurrent Finsler space \( F^n \). If the recurrence vector field \( a_m \)
is normal to $F^{n-1}$ and $\det(H^i_j) \neq 0$, then the hypersurface $F^{n-1}$ is Minkowskian.

From Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.11, we deduce that:

**Corollary 3.12.** If $F^{n-1}$ is an umbilical hypersurface of minimal variety immersed in a recurrent Landsberg space and the recurrence vector field $a_m$ is normal to $F^{n-1}$, then $F^{n-1}$ is Minkowskian.

Transvecting (3.16) by $\dot{u}^\delta$ and using (3.18) and the fact $M_{i\dot{h}} \dot{x}^{\dot{h}} = 0$, we obtain

\[
H_{e\delta\beta\gamma} \dot{u}^\delta = (M^a_i M^i_n)(g_{e\delta\gamma} - g_{\varepsilon\gamma} g_{\delta\beta}) \dot{u}^\delta + 2\Lambda^\alpha_{e[\beta(\gamma)]} g_{a\delta} \dot{u}^\delta \\
- 2g_{\delta\phi} \dot{u}^\delta \Lambda^\sigma_{e[\phi]} (\Lambda^\delta_{\gamma\sigma} + C^\delta_{\gamma\sigma\varepsilon} \dot{u}^\varepsilon).
\]

We characterize a hypersurface $F^{n-1}$ of constant curvature by

\[
H_{e\delta\beta\gamma} \dot{u}^\delta = K(g_{e\delta\gamma} - g_{\varepsilon\gamma} g_{\delta\beta}) \dot{u}^\delta.
\]

Thus, we may state:

**Theorem 3.13.** Let $F^{n-1}$ be an umbilical hypersurface immersed in a recurrent Finsler space $F^n$. If the recurrence vector field $a_m$ is normal to $F^{n-1}$ and $\det(H^i_j) \neq 0$, then the hypersurface $F^{n-1}$ is of constant curvature if and only if

\[
\Lambda^\alpha_{e[\beta(\gamma)]} - \Lambda^\sigma_{e[\beta]} (\Lambda^\alpha_{\gamma} + C^\alpha_{\gamma\sigma \varepsilon} \dot{u}^\varepsilon) = 0.
\]

From Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.13, we conclude:

**Corollary 3.14.** If $F^{n-1}$ is an umbilical hypersurface immersed in a recurrent Landsberg Finsler space $F^n$ and the recurrence vector field $a_m$ is normal to $F^{n-1}$, then the hypersurface $F^{n-1}$ is of constant curvature if and only if (3.24) holds.
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